

1 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

3 Case No. 22-10943-mew

4 - - - - - x

5 In the Matter of:

6

7 VOYAGER DIGITAL HOLDINGS,

8

9 Debtor.

10 - - - - - x

11 United States Bankruptcy Court

12 One Bowling Green

13 New York, NY 10004

14

15 March 7, 2023

16 2:10 PM

17

18

19

20

21 B E F O R E :

22 HON MICHAEL E. WILES

23 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

24

25 ECRO: F. FERGUSON

1 HEARING re Court reading decision into the record.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

1 A P P E A R A N C E S :

2

3 LATHAM & WATKINS

4 Attorneys for Binance.US

5 1271 Avenue of the Americas

6 New York, NY 10020

7

8 BY: ADAM J. GOLDBERG

9

10 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART SULLIVAN HEARING OFFICER PARIS

11 Attorneys for Special Committee

12 51 Madison Avenue

13 New York, NY 10010

14

15 BY: SUSHEEL KIRPALANI

16

17 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

18 Attorneys for the Debtors

19 601 Lexington Avenue

20 New York, NY 10022

21

22 BY: CHRISTINE OKIKE

23 MIKE SLADE

24

25

1 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

2 Attorneys for the U.S. Trustee

3 Alexander Hamilton Custom House

4 New York, NY 10004

5
6 BY: PETER M. ARONOFF

7 RICHARD C. MORRISSEY

8
9 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

10 Attorneys for the Securities and Exchange Commission

11 100 F Street, NE

12 Washington, DC 20549

13
14 BY: THERESE SCHEUER

15
16 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF ATTORNEYS GENERAL

17 Attorneys for States

18 1850 M St NW, 12th Floor

19 Washington, DC, 20036

20
21 BY: KAREN CORDRY

1 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

2 Attorneys for United States of America

3 86 Chambers Street, 3rd Floor

4 New York, NY 10007

5
6 BY: JEAN-DAVID BARNEA

7
8 MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY

9 Attorneys for Special Committee

10 One Vanderbilt Avenue

11 New York, NY 10017

12
13 BY: DARREN AZMAN

14
15 KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP

16 Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group of Equity Interest Holders

17 1114 Avenue of the Americas

18 New York, NY 10036

19
20 BY: KELLY MOYNIHAN

21
22 TRACY HENDERSHOTT

23 Pro Se Creditor

1 JOHN LOREN

2 Pro Se Creditor

3

4 GINA DiRESTA

5 Pro Se Creditor

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 THE COURT: All right. We're here for the
3 resumption and hopefully completion of our confirmation
4 hearing in the Voyager case. There were a few open issues.
5 Number one, I see that the names of the independent
6 directors have been filed and disclosed.

7 MS. OKIKE: Correct, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Is the representative of the Ad Hoc
9 Equity Committee on the phone?

10 MS. MOYNIHAN: Yes, Your Honor. Kelly Moynihan
11 from Patrick Townsend.

12 THE COURT: Are you pleased with the selection?
13 Do you have any further objection to the people who've been
14 selected and named?

15 MS. MOYNIHAN: No, Your Honor. No further
16 objection. Thank you.

17 THE COURT: Very good. We had also left open a
18 question of just how we were going to treat customer data
19 and the transfers of data, particularly for customers who
20 hadn't yet and may not ever become finance customers. Have
21 we reached any agreements or resolutions of that point?

22 MS. OKIKE: Yes, Your Honor. Christine Okike of
23 Kirkland and Ellis on behalf of the Debtors. I will read
24 into the record the proposed resolution. So there will be a
25 two-week period for customers to opt out of transferring

1 selfies uploaded, identifications, or bank statements, and
2 bank account information. Any opted-out information would
3 not be acquired by the purchaser in the transaction, and
4 that notice will be provided by the Debtors at the Debtors'
5 expense. The expense reimbursement start date for the
6 Debtors, which was to begin on March 18, 2000 --

7 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Selfies? I couldn't
8 write fast enough.

9 MS. OKIKE: Selfies, uploaded IDs. So driver's
10 licenses, passports, other forms of identification.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 MS. OKIKE: Bank statements, and bank account
13 information.

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 MS. OKIKE: The expense reimbursement provision
16 for the Debtor --

17 THE COURT: Social Security numbers?

18 MAN 1: Your Honor, that would be required
19 (indiscernible).

20 THE COURT: Why? Just help me.

21 MAN 1: That is, along with names and addresses,
22 an important part of identifying and establishing the basis
23 for new customer chats should anyone elect to join the
24 platform in the future.

25 THE COURT: But I cannot imagine a piece of

1 information more quickly and easily given to you if a
2 customer wants to do that than their Social Security number.
3 Why you need that in advance I'm having trouble
4 understanding.

5 MAN 1: There's a couple of reasons, Your Honor.
6 You know, I think -- and really this, if I may explain all
7 of these issues?

8 THE COURT: Yeah.

9 MAN 1: I think there's -- the customer data, just
10 to set the context, Your Honor, is really at the heart of
11 the transaction for Binance.US. When we agreed to pay the
12 \$20 million purchase price, we didn't know how many
13 customers would agree to join the Binance.US platform. And
14 so the value of each individual customer's future actions on
15 the platform are as unknown. The only known commodity that
16 Voyager has to sell is the data, and that was at the heart
17 of the deal.

18 So the information has, I think, three main value
19 sources to Binance.US as a technology company that
20 fundamentally depends upon data. One is the ability to
21 simply reach out to a customer and market to them. Second,
22 the information is valuable because whenever a customer
23 onboards to the Binance.US platform -- and they may not do
24 that today. They may do that well down the road. The
25 cryptocurrency markets are extremely volatile, as we all

1 know. There's bear markets like we're in now, and there's
2 bull markets. And when there's a bull market, many people
3 join the platform that may not have had any intention to do
4 so in the bear market.

5 Having the KYC information mitigates the actual
6 monetary cost that Binance.US would have to pay
7 affirmatively to conduct the KYC each time someone joins.
8 And so having the Social Security number and other
9 information that is not part of this excluded information
10 that Ms. Okike mentioned would be valuable to avoid that
11 actual cash expense to Binance.US.

12 Third, as a technology company that uses data to
13 enable and empower its operations, even if someone doesn't
14 join the platform, the data that Voyager has about their
15 past activities is very valuable in enabling Binance.US to
16 market and conduct and enhance its operations going forward.
17 So that is the source of the value for the transaction --

18 THE COURT: What does somebody's Social Security
19 number have to do with that third point you just mentioned?

20 MAN 1: Well, it enables part of the modern
21 marketing process, right? That -- as Your Honor knows,
22 right, we've received tons of emails, tons of direct mail.
23 That's -- we throw it all out. We delete it. But when
24 there's more targeted marketing that can go someone based on
25 knowledge of their circumstances and past transaction

1 history, that maximizes the ability to get someone in the
2 door for a retail operation.

3 THE COURT: If somebody has already opted out of
4 selfies, uploaded IDs, bank statements, and bank account,
5 you would need that information if they later wanted to open
6 an account, right?

7 MAN 1: Not necessarily, Your Honor. The first
8 level of KYC that applies to most customers does not require
9 that information.

10 THE COURT: You don't require that information
11 yourself before the account can actually be up and
12 functioning?

13 MAN 1: My understanding is that not all of that
14 information is required in all cases. The --

15 THE COURT: And why is -- you know, if Voyager
16 sent other information to you, why does the absence of a
17 Social Security number disable the -- you know, your
18 customer data in the way you've kind of suggested to me? I
19 don't understand that.

20 MAN 1: Well, my understanding is that when a new
21 customer joins, they would be providing their Social
22 Security number at that time. And then Binance.US has to
23 pay a third party provider to conduct the KYC in order to
24 run the check on that person.

25 THE COURT: Okay, but -- so -- but you seem to

1 have suggested that the KYC information that you've gotten
2 from the Debtors would somehow not be very good without the
3 Social Security number. Or are you saying you're actually
4 going to do -- use the Social Security numbers in advance to
5 do KYC checks on everybody who might in the future become a
6 customer?

7 MAN 1: Your Honor, my understanding from
8 discussions with my client, and obviously there's a number
9 of technical details here, is that, you know, Voyager's
10 already done KYC checks on all of their customers of course,
11 right? And so part of this transaction is to acquire that
12 information and have the KYC platform for all of these
13 customers in place, and that's a very valuable part of the
14 transaction alone.

15 THE COURT: Okay. So whatever this information
16 is, Voyager gives it to you. Can it be given to you without
17 the Social Security number? Because it seems to me if
18 somebody wants to be a customer -- if somebody elects not to
19 let you have the Social Security number and then changes
20 their mind, all you do is plug that into what you have. Or
21 if, as you say, you want to go out and hire somebody to do
22 an additional check, you would have -- you're certainly not
23 going to be put at any great delay because somebody can give
24 you the Social Security number in an instant.

25 MAN 1: Well, Your Honor, if I may actually

1 express my personal experience, about a year ago when crypto
2 markets were pretty hot before all of this, I actually tried
3 to open an account. And I started doing it, and then I got
4 busy and gave up because it took time and effort to go
5 through. And I think part of the benefit of having this
6 information in place at Binance.US is that it allows
7 instantaneous KYC checks that have already been, you know,
8 pre-done by Voyager and can be relied upon to some extent as
9 well as avoiding the cost of a third-party service. And so
10 --

11 THE COURT: But what I'm not understanding and
12 you're not helping me with is you can have everything all
13 set up, customer name, address, all that information that
14 Voyager gives you. But the only thing if somebody elects
15 within your two-week period not to let you have the Social
16 Security number, all they would have to do is plug that
17 remaining bit of information into the stuff that you have.
18 I absolutely fail to understand why you need that
19 information in advance.

20 MAN 1: Well --

21 THE COURT: It's information that a lot of people
22 regard as very sensitive.

23 MAN 1: The -- that -- in completing the KYC check
24 would come at cash expense to Binance.US. In addition, the
25 delay that it takes and requirement for someone to go ahead

1 and enter their Social Security number and then run that
2 check, even if it's done relatively quickly, that delay,
3 that extra step could leave to slippage and breakage in a
4 colloquial sense and lose a customer.

5 THE COURT: Let me try it this way. If somebody
6 has not given you this other information and you have their
7 Social Security number, then they decide they want to be a
8 customer, and they tell you that, do you have an additional
9 know-your-customer check that you have to do at that time?

10 MAN 1: My understanding is that if we acquired
11 all of the information with the exclusion of the information
12 we've agreed to leave behind, we would have the KYC
13 information in place for Voyager customers.

14 THE COURT: So you'd have it in place. So if you
15 get all of that same information except for the Social
16 Security number, and then somebody wants to be a customer,
17 why do you have to do anything other than plug in the Social
18 Security number? You keep telling me you have to do a new
19 know-your-customer check. I don't understand that. If you
20 have all the other information just not the Social Security
21 number, what's the big deal?

22 MAN 1: I don't know if that would require a new
23 expense. It would certainly involve requiring additional
24 information from the customer, an additional step in the
25 process, and that could lose customers. And that's part of

1 the value for the Binance of the ease of getting people in
2 the door in this transaction.

3 THE COURT: Adding their Social Security number
4 would chase them away. I remind you that, you know, I'm
5 mindful these are people who only are the people who have
6 opted out of letting you have that information in the first
7 place and who might've changed their minds and come back.
8 Do you think they're going to be scared away because they
9 have to enter a Social Security number? That seems
10 ridiculous. Doesn't it? I'm trying to understand, but I'm
11 not. You want the information, but I am absolutely at a
12 loss as to why you need it and why it would involve any
13 other expense to you. Please help me because maybe I'm just
14 not understanding --

15 MAN 1: I think --

16 THE COURT: -- how this works. But what you're
17 telling me doesn't help me at all.

18 MAN 1: Well, my understanding is it is relevant
19 to the second category of expenses, the ease of bringing
20 someone onto the system. In addition to that, it is
21 relevant to the third category of value.

22 THE COURT: But in terms of the ease, if you have
23 all the other information, the difference in ease is whether
24 somebody's Social Security number is already there or
25 whether they have to type in nine digits. For crying out

1 loud, that's nothing. That's absolutely nothing, right?
2 And if what you're saying is, well, that's going to chase
3 away people who have already in the first instance decided
4 they don't want Binance to have their information but have
5 later changed their minds, that seems preposterous to me.

6 MAN 1: The third category of information, Your
7 Honor, is the data analytics part. And whether or not
8 someone joins the platform, the data that Voyager has about
9 their transaction history, and I know Social Security number
10 would be relevant to that, will empower Binance.US' data
11 analytics programs to enhance its own operations and
12 maximize its own profitability.

13 THE COURT: How? Help me with that. What does
14 having the Social Security number allow you to do in terms
15 of that kind of data marketing that you couldn't do without
16 the number if you have all the other information about the
17 customer's name and past history, etcetera?

18 MAN 1: I think that specific question, Your
19 Honor, to be fair, is a level of detail I'd have to speak to
20 my client about.

21 THE COURT: Okay. I think -- I'm not convinced
22 that you need the Social Security numbers, and you're going
23 to have to convince me. Because we are here, based on your
24 proposal, only talking about whoever would affirmatively opt
25 out of these arrangements. And I -- as I said yesterday, I

1 understand that there are some legitimate reasons why you
2 want to have some information, and certainly some contact
3 information, etcetera. But that doesn't necessarily mean
4 there is good reason for you to have every bit of sensitive
5 information about a customer at once, particularly if a
6 customer objects and may not want to be a Binance customer.
7 And so I am trying to balance those two. And I understand
8 you push back on some of this information, but as to the
9 Social Security numbers, what you've told me so far is
10 pretty unconvincing. Okay.

11 MAN 1: Okay. Well, what I'd suggest, Your Honor,
12 is we can proceed to address the other issues and I'll --

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MAN 1: -- confer with my client. Thank you.

15 THE COURT: Very good. Is it too much to hope
16 that you might have had discussions with the governmental
17 authorities on the exculpation disputes and resolved them?

18 MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, that is too much to hope.
19 We have had discussions.

20 THE COURT: Hope springs eternal.

21 MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, I would like to read the
22 rest of the agreement with respect to the customer
23 information just --

24 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Go ahead.

25 MS. OKIKE: -- on the record because it does

1 change certain provisions in the APA. But then I'm happy to
2 address the exculpation. So the expense reimbursement start
3 date for the seller will be moved back from March 18, 2023
4 to April 1, 2023. If --

5 THE COURT: Okay.

6 MS. OKIKE: -- the purchaser is ready to close by
7 April 1, 2023, assuming the closing conditions are satisfied
8 or waived by them, and Voyager is not, including because
9 Voyager declines to waive any closing conditions other than
10 breaches or defaults by the purchaser, then Voyager will
11 cease to have the expense reimbursement protection.

12 THE COURT: Okay.

13 MS. OKIKE: And the last point is that both
14 parties acknowledge that the closing condition relating to
15 entry and finalization of the APA order, so the prior order
16 of Your Honor authorizing entry into the APA has been
17 satisfied. And to its knowledge, there is no breach of the
18 APA by the other party as of the date of the confirmation
19 order.

20 THE COURT: Okay.

21 MS. OKIKE: So Your Honor, with respect to the
22 exculpation, we have provided language to the various
23 governmental entities. Honestly, I'm not sure where the
24 various entities stand. I believe Texas may be okay with
25 the proviso that we are proposing with respect to the

1 provisions in the confirmation order related to the
2 governmental entities. And that proviso basically says
3 provided further, and it goes through all the things that
4 the order is not doing in terms of enjoining governmental
5 entities. And then says provided further that nothing in
6 this paragraph shall limit the exculpation of the exculpated
7 parties set forth in -- and then we put the exculpation into
8 the confirmation order. So it's as set forth in Paragraphs
9 61 to 62 of this order.

10 THE COURT: Let me read for you and for the
11 government my own proposal, all right? I have to note I
12 believe is narrower than the original plan proposed to which
13 none of these governmental entities objected. I propose to
14 say the following. To the fullest extent permissible under
15 applicable law, and without affecting or limiting either the
16 Debtor release or the third-party releases, and except as
17 otherwise specified in the plan, no exculpated parties shall
18 have or incur, and each exculpated party is hereby
19 exculpated from any liability for damages based on the
20 negotiation, execution, and implementation of any
21 transactions approved by the Court. That's the standard
22 language we have that essentially says Creditors,
23 shareholders, you can't sue saying somebody did something
24 stupid when I've already made a decision that they haven't.

25 In addition, this is back to the language I

1 propose, the plan contemplates certain rebalancing
2 transactions and the completion of distributions of
3 cryptocurrencies to Creditors. The exculpated parties shall
4 have no liability for and are exculpated from any claim for
5 fines, penalties, or damages based on their execution and
6 completion of the rebalancing transactions and the
7 distributions of cryptocurrencies to Creditors in the manner
8 provided in the plan. For the avoidance of doubt, the
9 foregoing paragraph reflects the fact that confirmation of
10 the plan requires the exculpated parties to engage in
11 certain conduct, and the fact that no regulatory authority
12 has taken the position during the confirmation hearing that
13 such conduct would violate applicable laws or regulations.

14 Nothing in this provision shall limit in any way
15 the powers of any governmental unit to contend that any
16 rebalancing transaction should be stopped or prevented, or
17 that any other action contemplated by the plan should be
18 enjoined or prevented from proceeding further. Nor does
19 anything in this provision limit the enforcement of any
20 future regulatory or court order that requires that such
21 activities either cease or be modified or limit the
22 penalties that may be applicable if such a future regulatory
23 or court order is issued.

24 Similarly, nothing herein shall limit the
25 authority of the Committee on foreign investment of the

1 United States to bar any of the contemplated transactions.
2 Nor does anything in this provision alter the terms of the
3 plan regarding the compliance of the purchaser with the
4 applicable laws in the unsupported jurisdictions before
5 distributions of cryptocurrencies occur in those unsupported
6 jurisdictions.

7 I think the language that I have just read is
8 fairly narrow. And what it essentially says is, you know,
9 I've got a plan in front of me. One of the things I'm
10 supposed to consider is whether it's proposed in a means
11 that is in compliance with law. I have a bunch of people,
12 governmental authorities, who've done nothing except hint
13 that maybe there's some issue, but nothing else. Nobody
14 else made any other opposition.

15 And if and when I confirm the plan, people will be
16 obligated to do what the plan says. They won't have a
17 choice. And I think for a variety of reasons that I can say
18 and that I can go into, they're entitled to the protection
19 that I've just said and entitled to know that when they do
20 what I compel them to do in between the time I do so and up
21 until the time somebody else tells them they can't, they
22 aren't going to be subject to some ex-post facto argument
23 that, hey, we didn't tell you at the time even though we
24 could've.

25 We didn't even make up our minds at the time, but

1 guess what? You're liable for penalties, and that court
2 order wasn't just approval of a plan. It was a sentence
3 that you, with -- under no volition of your own, would have
4 to do something that was going to subject you to some
5 liability. I think that would be an absurd situation for
6 anybody to be in, and I think that language is perfectly
7 appropriate under the applicable authorities.

8 Do the governmental authorities on the phone
9 object to the language I just read?

10 WOMAN 1: Your Honor, this ****(Indiscernible)** from
11 the State of Texas. I think that language is excellent.
12 And subject to the deletion that's in paragraphs where we
13 tried to craft such eloquent wording but didn't, I am fine
14 with that wording. Thank you.

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. BARNEA: Your Honor, this is J.D. Barnea from
17 the U.S. Attorney's Office. We were not able to get all of
18 that language down. There may still be some concerns we
19 have with it, but it's certainly an improvement over what
20 we've seen, especially if it's combined with deleting the
21 injunctive language that was in the proposed order
22 previously. However, we still may have some concerns about
23 it. I think we would need to see it written. If there's
24 any way to send it around in writing, we'd appreciate the
25 opportunity to take a look -- a closer look.

1 MS. CORDRY: And Your Honor, this is Karen Cordry.
2 I represent a number of other states. We did not formally
3 speak up yesterday because it seemed like the issues were
4 being raised by other folks. I think the language you have
5 there seems very appropriate. And I would note that this
6 all came up with a proviso that was only introduced on March
7 3rd before the -- on March 2nd before the SEC said anything.
8 So we think what you have there does go a very long way
9 towards dealing with our concerns while also protecting the
10 (indiscernible) efforts of the people on the -- you know,
11 who can try to put this plan together.

12 I don't think any of us meant to try to bring
13 those kind of damages and so forth. So if those assurances
14 are helpful, I think that's -- I think the language seems
15 fine. Again, sort of like the United States, I look --
16 didn't actually get every bit of it down, but it sounded
17 appropriate as I was listening to it.

18 THE COURT: All right.

19 MR. SLADE: Your Honor, I have one thing.

20 THE COURT: Go ahead.

21 MR. SLADE: I apologize. Mike Slade for the
22 Debtors.

23 THE COURT: Yep.

24 MR. SLADE: There was one sentence towards the end
25 there where you said nothing limits the penalties that can

1 be imposed. I assume what you meant is if there's a future
2 decision by the government that tells -- gives us rules --

3 THE COURT: Yeah, and if there's a --

4 MR. SLADE: -- and if we violate those rules --

5 THE COURT: If there's an order saying stop doing
6 this and you violate that order, I'm not exculpating you
7 from that.

8 MR. SLADE: That's right, but they can impose
9 penalties for the work that we did in reliance on the order
10 before they --

11 THE COURT: Exactly.

12 MR. SLADE: -- made their decision.

13 THE COURT: Exactly.

14 MR. SLADE: Okay. We're on the same page. Thank
15 you, Your Honor.

16 MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, Darren Azman for the
17 Committee. I just have three minor comments. I don't mean
18 nick the language. I just wanted to clarify something --

19 THE COURT: Well --

20 MR. AZMAN: -- given the importance of the issues.

21 THE COURT: -- we don't -- you know, everybody
22 wants to look at (indiscernible) so let me just say that's
23 what -- I proposed something along those lines recognizing
24 that there may be little tweaks. But I think that
25 everybody's got the substance of it.

1 MR. AZMAN: I'll keep my comment to just one point
2 then --

3 THE COURT: Okay.

4 MR. AZMAN: -- just to make sure it's clear. So
5 you mentioned that the exculpated parties could not be
6 liable for fines, penalties, or damages. I think it needs
7 to be more broader. It needs to be any civil or criminal
8 liability. I don't think fines, penalties, or damages
9 necessarily includes somebody going to jail over it.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MR. BARNEA: Your Honor, this is J.D. Barnea
12 again. It would certainly not be appropriate for this Court
13 to enjoin a criminal prosecution of any person for any
14 reason.

15 THE COURT: Well, if what you're saying is that
16 having sat on the sidelines and said nothing to me to
17 indicate that there's anything illegal about what these
18 people are going to do, that you want to reserve the right
19 to put somebody in jail for doing a rebalancing transaction
20 that they will have no choice but to do under the order that
21 I entered, then I disagree with you. I think the very
22 suggestion offends me to no end. I can't believe that you
23 would even take the position in front of me that you should
24 have that right. It's preposterous. It's absolutely
25 preposterous. If you think something's that illegal, speak

1 up, but don't dare tell me that you kind of want to reserve
2 that right to do that to somebody. Go ahead, Mr. Azman.

3 MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, this is not about hiding
4 in the wings and hoping to arrest someone upon them taking
5 some action. This is about the authority of a bankruptcy
6 court to tell a criminal prosecutor that he's not allowed to
7 do his job. We are not -- there is no intention here to
8 ensnare people. We're not aware of anything specific that
9 would be in that direction. It's simply that it's not
10 appropriate for any court or any bankruptcy court to declare
11 that someone is free from criminal prosecution.

12 If they commit a crime, they shall get prosecuted
13 for it. If they have a defense that they were acting in
14 reliance on a court order, that may well be an excellent
15 defense, and perhaps that would be a reason not to prosecute
16 them. But there's no authority that this court has to order
17 a criminal prosecutor not to prosecute someone.

18 THE COURT: It's a defense to the extent that I
19 say it's a defense, and that's what I'm doing. You know, I
20 read the Government's paper, which essentially acknowledges
21 that courts have held that people are entitled to qualified
22 immunity for doing what they're approved to do, and
23 especially what they're ordered to do under a court order.
24 But to suggest that I should allow --

25 MR. AZMAN: Absolutely as an affirmative defense

1 in an enforcement proceeding, but not as a pre-determined
2 bar by a bankruptcy court.

3 THE COURT: Okay. Please don't interrupt me in
4 the middle of a sentence.

5 MR. AZMAN: I'm sorry about that, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: What I was trying to say is the
7 suggestion that I should be silent and just leave it for
8 somebody else to decide whether my order has any such
9 qualified effect, or what I myself intend my order to have
10 as its effect, and what I'm telling people to do is
11 ridiculous. People who will have to do what my order will
12 compel them to do are entitled to know, okay? And they're
13 entitled to clarity.

14 And some other court who doesn't know bankruptcy
15 is entitled to know what I think people are being compelled
16 to do, and what I think I am authorizing them to do, and
17 what I am in effect, at least on an interim basis until
18 somebody actually steps in and gets an order otherwise, I'm
19 in effect saying by confirming this plan it is okay for you
20 to do this, okay? And none of you have stepped forward to
21 say otherwise.

22 So people are -- I think I'm the one that ought to
23 be defining that, not some future court. And leaving it to
24 some future court in complete uncertainty, I don't know how
25 a bankruptcy case could function. And your arguments that I

1 can't do this are belied completely by the fact that there
2 are literally thousands, thousands of confirmed bankruptcy
3 plans that have done exactly this with no objection by you.
4 You know, you've gotten yourselves all stirred up because
5 the Debtors overreached in what they wanted. And now you
6 want to object to completely ordinary, reasonable provisions
7 that are well-based in authority to which you haven't
8 objected to any of the other bankruptcy cases that I have
9 ever handled. So I think your position's preposterous. Go
10 ahead, Mr. Morrissey.

11 MR. MORRISSEY: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
12 Richard Morrissey for the U.S. Trustee. The U.S. Trustee
13 will certainly review the Court's language and hopefully we
14 can come to an understanding. I just wanted to make two
15 points, Your Honor, about what the Debtors are seeking here
16 with respect to exculpation. And again, I hope the language
17 that Your Honor just read to us will be consistent with our
18 view.

19 The U.S. Trustee believes that the exculpation
20 provision as written in the plan in these cases was
21 different from the corresponding provision in
22 (Indiscernible). As a factual matter, my understanding,
23 Your Honor, is that there weren't regulatory actions
24 pending. And in addition, there were no temporal problems,
25 which is to say that parties in interest were not worried

1 about what exculpated parties might do in the future outside
2 of the Court's purview. And I think I raised this point
3 yesterday. So we think it was a broader release. And Your
4 Honor, another issue is -- that I did not raise --

5 MAN 2: (Indiscernible) --

6 MR. MORRISSEY: -- yesterday has to do with --

7 MAN 2: -- (indiscernible). You did.

8 THE COURT: Who's talking -- whoever's talking on
9 the phone needs to mute themselves because you're -- and
10 wait until it's your turn to speak, okay?

11 MR. MORRISSEY: Thank you, Your Honor -- has to do
12 with actions taken upon it by some counsel, which I think
13 Your Honor used the word "defense" in speaking to Mr. Barnea
14 before. I think that is certainly an affirmative defense in
15 a future proceeding, but it's an issue as to whether that
16 should be part of the ruling here.

17 THE COURT: All right. I'm not purporting in any
18 way to modify to the extent to which reliance on the advice
19 of counsel is or is not a defense for anybody. I'm simply
20 saying that it's quite abundantly clear to everybody that
21 the plan here contemplates rebalancing transactions and
22 distributions of cryptocurrencies. Any governmental
23 authority that thinks that those activities are illegal
24 anyway is on notice of them and has had a full opportunity
25 to come in and tell me why they are illegal, the Bankruptcy

1 Code says I shouldn't confirm it if the plan is proposed by
2 any means that would violate any law.

3 I absolutely have been and made clear from the
4 beginning that I was ready to consider any actual objections
5 that there was a violation of law. I don't have any. I
6 have hints that somebody might think, for reasons that I
7 couldn't quite explain completely, that the two aspects or
8 one aspect of the sale of VGX and perhaps something to do
9 with Binance.US' business operations might raise regulatory
10 issues, but no idea if that means that the transaction
11 cannot be actually accomplished.

12 And therefore, based on the actual record in front
13 of me, no reason at all to think that what the plan calls
14 for people to do would be illegal. And so when I make that
15 determination and then any fact by confirming the plan leave
16 people with no authority but to do those things that I have
17 in effect found are okay, I think it's preposterous to
18 suggest that somebody -- people who do it would be
19 personally liable.

20 You know, the alternative is to tell the
21 Government you had your chance, you didn't speak up. You
22 know, this is what the Debtors were trying to do last week.
23 Therefore, forever shut up, there's nothing illegal about
24 this. I'm not going to do that. I have no intention of
25 doing that. That to me would overstep what is reasonable

1 here.

2 I wish, if the regulators had a problem, that they
3 would've spoken up before me because I have absolutely no
4 desire to set anybody on a course that raises any regulatory
5 concerns. But for whatever reason, the governmental
6 entities either were unwilling or unable to voice any
7 opposition on those points. So if something happens, if
8 they unlock their regulatory brakes and figure out that they
9 have some objection, they can try to stop what's going on,
10 I'm not going to prevent them from doing that. But the idea
11 that they should also then be able to come in and claim any
12 kind of liability for the people who have done what I've
13 ordered them to do and the Government took no action to stop
14 it just seems utterly ridiculous to me. Okay?

15 MR. MORRISSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

16 MS. CORDRY: Your Honor, this is Karen Cordry
17 again. If I could just say very quickly one point here
18 which is that a typical exculpation provision does include
19 in there in the language that the Debtor had written in
20 another claim that we're exculpated from all of those sorts
21 of causes of action. Unless there's a determination of
22 actual fraud, willful misconduct, or gross negligence, which
23 indicates that it's possible to have the basic transaction
24 be approved, but they have some aspect of its being carried
25 out constitute one of those problems.

1 And I think -- and I'm not trying to speak for the
2 United States, but my sense is that that may be the kind of
3 concern that is floating around there, is that the -- that's
4 still -- I mean, it's not like we have been sitting here
5 getting notices of every transaction that goes through in
6 terms of the rebalancing and so forth. I have known
7 basically from the terms that the overall rebalancing was
8 illegal, but it is possible. And I think if we use the term
9 "possible" that somebody could do something illegal in the
10 context of that overall approved process. So I think that's
11 sort of what's floating around out there.

12 THE COURT: Yeah. You know, I don't have a
13 problem. I don't think anybody has a problem with that.
14 Okay?

15 MS. CORDRY: Yep. So I think that's kind of where
16 there was still the concern about.

17 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Everybody's going
18 to want to see the language and make little tweaks. I don't
19 -- I'm not in a position to be able to give it to everybody.
20 I have one copy, which is the copy that I have for the
21 purpose of making my decision right now.

22 MR. MORRISSEY: Your Honor, Richard Morrissey once
23 again for the U.S. Trustee. I was going to raise an issue
24 that's separate and apart from confirmation. I don't know
25 if you wanted me to raise it now or wait until later in the

1 -- later today.

2 THE COURT: Well, I have a lengthy decision to
3 dictate into the record, and last I heard I had a 5:00
4 deadline. Where do we stand on the 5:00 issue?

5 MAN 3: Your Honor, I haven't spoken with my
6 client about it and whether we need more time than that. I
7 hope not.

8 THE COURT: We obviously are going to -- because
9 people are going to have to see, if nothing else, the other
10 revisions that I have already told you I want to make to the
11 confirmation order and this particular language. The idea
12 that I can announce my decision and have an order in the
13 next two hours and ten minutes is just not going to happen.

14 MAN 3: We'd like to keep things moving along, but
15 what would Your Honor suggest?

16 THE COURT: I would suggest that people are going
17 to want to -- once I finish my decision, which is going to
18 take a while, people are going to want to see the order, and
19 that you should extend it until 3:00 tomorrow. Okay.

20 MAN 3: Let me request that from my client. In
21 the meantime, we could suggest perhaps sending the language
22 around to the parties via email to the Debtors.

23 THE COURT: I don't know if anybody --

24 WOMAN 2: Your Honor, when the attorney for
25 Binance speaks, he has to go near a microphone. Otherwise

1 the people in Court Solutions can't hear him.

2 THE COURT: I don't think anybody has the language
3 I just read except for me because I wrote it out for myself
4 here. I don't -- it's not in anything else I've given to
5 anybody else, so...

6 MAN 3: Correct.

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 MAN 3: Thank you, Your Honor. All right.

9 MR. MORRISSEY: Your Honor, the issue I was going
10 to raise just so it's not a mystery to the Court and the
11 parties has to do with Rule 3020. The version I have of the
12 proposed order, it's Paragraph 118, Waiver of Stay. Your
13 Honor, the U.S. Trustee would oppose the waiver of the stay.
14 We think that the 14-day stay should be part of the order,
15 and that Rule 20 should be abided by. Thank you, Your
16 Honor.

17 THE COURT: All right. I understand the
18 Government's position. When is -- if I don't enter a stay,
19 when is the effective date likely to occur at the earliest?

20 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, Adam Goldberg for
21 Binance.US. We would be working to bring the plan effective
22 as quickly as possible.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MR. GOLDBERG: I'd (indiscernible) for the Debtors
25 for anything else.

1 MS. OKIKE: Yes, Your Honor. I think -- Christine
2 Okike for Kirkland and Ellis. We agree with that. I do
3 think it's going to take, you know, some time, but we will
4 be working expeditiously to effectuate the plan.

5 MR. KIRPALANI: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
6 Susheel Kirpalani from Quinn Emanuel on behalf of the
7 Special Committee of the Debtors. I just wanted to let the
8 Court know, I'm sure you have your own way of wanting to
9 announce things, but I wanted to confirm that Your Honor is
10 aware, and if not I wanted to make Your Honor and all
11 interested parties aware, that we have scaled back the
12 releases.

13 THE COURT: I saw.

14 MR. KIRPALANI: And I've got even some additional
15 line item nits to further scale it back because there were
16 things in there --

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. KIRPALANI: -- that I missed. And so I could
19 read those changes into the record, or I could do it any
20 which way you'd like.

21 THE COURT: We'll final -- I saw an in-concept
22 approve of what you've done, and we'll get the wordsmithing
23 done as we enter the order.

24 MR. KIRPALANI: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I want to announce

1 my decision with respect --

2 MS. SCHEUER: Your Honor, if I may just have one
3 moment. I'm sorry, Your Honor. Therese Scheuer for the
4 SEC. Your Honor, the SEC would also like to request the
5 opportunity to review the changes to the order and Your
6 Honor's proposed -- Your Honor's --

7 THE COURT: Understood.

8 MS. SCHEUER: -- exculpation language.

9 THE COURT: Understood.

10 MS. SCHEUER: Thank you, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Of course. I understand. All right.

12 We are here to consider the proposed confirmation of the
13 plan of reorganization of the Voyager Debtors, and also to
14 consider some other motions that have been filed by
15 Creditors. The plan contemplates a transaction that is
16 subject to some strict deadlines, so I'm going to dictate my
17 findings and conclusions into the record so that our timing
18 does not unintentionally trigger any termination rights on
19 the part of the proposed purchaser in the pending
20 transaction.

21 I'm asking the Debtors to have a transcript of my
22 rulings prepared as promptly as possible and to be submitted
23 to chambers in Word format. The decision that I dictate
24 today will explain my rulings and my findings, and we will
25 endeavor to enter an order as soon as we can, reasonably can

1 based on the rulings and findings. But once we receive the
2 transcript, we will correct spelling, citations, inadvertent
3 errors, and places where I may misspeak or where I may find
4 that I was less clear than I would have liked during the
5 course of my dictation. And that corrected decision will be
6 entered as the actual official decision of the Court.

7 We had a lengthy hearing that began on Thursday,
8 March 2 and continued through yesterday, Monday, March 6,
9 and to some extent has continued for another hour's worth of
10 argument today. We have had an unusually large number of
11 participants in the hearing, including a large number of pro
12 se parties who are Voyager accountholders.

13 I want to pause and thank the pro se parties for
14 their participation and for the unusual amount of work and
15 energy that they have put into following this case in
16 comparison to how relatively smaller creditors tend to treat
17 other cases that I have handled. I appreciate that they are
18 not attorneys, and that they have labored under some
19 significant disadvantages as a result. I tried wherever
20 possible over the course of the hearing to give the pro se
21 parties the chance to ask their questions even if at times
22 we may have strayed somewhat from the issues that are
23 strictly before the Court in this particular hearing.

24 Unfortunately, I did have to exclude one pro se
25 party who refused to abide by my instructions and who was

1 disrespectful in his conduct. But the pro se participants
2 clearly have made a very significant effort to be helpful
3 and to follow and to abide by the rules as I explained them,
4 and I greatly appreciate the fact that they did so.

5 The primary issue before me in this hearing is the
6 Debtors' request for a final approval of their Disclosure
7 Statement and confirmation of their proposed Plan of
8 Reorganization. The plan, as I said, generally speaking
9 provides for a sale of customer accounts to Binance.US,
10 although accountholders can elect not to become customers of
11 Binance.US. The plan also includes a backup option in the
12 event that the proposed deal with Binance.US does not close
13 or otherwise has stopped from being completed.

14 The Debtors have argued that the proposed deal
15 with Binance.US -- by the way, if I inadvertently say
16 "Binance", I mean "Binance.US" whenever I make my comments
17 here -- that the proposed deal with Binance.US will maximize
18 the ability to make distributions to accountholders in the
19 form of cryptocurrencies rather than cash. This may have
20 tax benefits to the accountholders, although the tax issues
21 apparently are not completely clear, and nobody has
22 presented evidence to me or made legal submissions to me
23 about the tax issues or tax benefits.

24 The Debtors have also argued that the proposed
25 deal with Binance.US would permit more cryptocurrencies to

1 be distributed in-kind than any of the available
2 alternatives would provide. They have further argued that
3 the Binance.US deal would limit the amounts of
4 cryptocurrency sales that the Debtors would have to make,
5 and thereby would reduce the extent to which sales by the
6 Debtors might adversely affect market prices, particularly
7 in the case of cryptocurrencies where normal trading volumes
8 are not so robust as others.

9 The objections have focused on many things. Some
10 objections have raised relatively common bankruptcy issues,
11 such as objections to some of the releases that the Debtors
12 have proposed. Other objections are focused more
13 specifically on regulatory issues or on the wisdom of
14 potential dealings with Binance.US.

15 Let me say at the outset and as background to my
16 rulings that I cannot think of another case I have had that
17 comes before me in quite a setting like this one does. I'm
18 aware that there are some people who question the very
19 concept of cryptocurrencies and the whole idea of
20 cryptocurrency investment and trading. I note that no party
21 in this case has taken such a position, and it's not for me
22 to decide whether any particular investments are good ideas
23 or not. But it certainly provides an unusual backdrop to
24 this bankruptcy case.

25 I also am aware that Voyager operated and

1 Binance.US currently operates in a regulatory environment
2 that can best be described as highly uncertain. There are
3 firms that operate as cryptocurrency brokers or exchanges
4 and have done so for several years without being subject to
5 clearer and well-defined regulatory requirements. The
6 regulators themselves cannot seem to agree as to whether
7 cryptocurrencies are commodities, they may be subject to
8 regulation by the CFTC, or whether they are securities that
9 are subject to securities laws, or neither, or may in some
10 cases one or the other, or even necessarily on what criteria
11 should be applied in making a decision.

12 This uncertainty has persisted despite the fact
13 that the cryptocurrency exchanges have been around for a
14 number of years. The current regulatory environment can
15 only be characterized as uncertain, but the future
16 regulatory environment can only be characterized as, in my
17 mind, virtually unknowable. There have been differing
18 proposals in congress to adopt different types of regulatory
19 regimes for cryptocurrency trading.

20 Meanwhile, the SEC has filed some actions against
21 particular firms and with regard to particular
22 cryptocurrencies, and those actions suggest that perhaps a
23 wider regulatory assault may be forthcoming. The CFTC seems
24 to have announced some positions that may be at odds with
25 the SEC's views. But just how this will all sort itself

1 out, how the pending actions relating to cryptocurrencies
2 will be decided, and just what future regulatory actions
3 might involve, or how they will affect individual firms or
4 the industry as a whole is very much unclear.

5 Complicating things further is the fact that
6 Voyager operated and Binance.US continues to operate in an
7 industry that has been the subject of some severe financial
8 shocks over the past year. Many firms were adversely
9 affected by the loan defaults of Three Arrows, including
10 Voyager itself. The Three Arrows' defaults led to several
11 bankruptcy filings across the country. The more recent and
12 sudden collapse of FTX has reverberated even more throughout
13 the industry and has also led to some financial problems at
14 other firms.

15 Perhaps most worrisome for me are revelations of
16 apparent misbehavior and misuse of customer assets at some
17 firms. I certainly do not have all of the evidence as to
18 what happened at FTX, and we will all have to wait until
19 judgments can be entered in that case before we are sure
20 exactly what happened. However, public statements by the
21 persons currently handling the bankruptcy of FTX have so far
22 indicated that there was an enormous disparity between the
23 way that FTX actually operated and the way it actually used
24 customer assets as opposed to what it had represented to its
25 customers.

1 I'm also aware of the examiner's report about the
2 business conducted at Celsius and how the actual behavior
3 and custody of customer assets at that firm may have
4 differed from public statements as to how customer assets
5 were being treated and custodied. Once again, I certainly
6 do not have all the evidence as to what actually happened in
7 Celsius, and we'll have to see what further developments
8 there are in that case. But the examiner's report certainly
9 raised the prospect of a disparity during the way that
10 particular firm actually operated and the representations it
11 made to its customers about how assets were handled.

12 Perhaps to some degree, those kinds of events and
13 the fact that regulatory regimes have been so unclear go
14 hand in hand with each other. That I don't know for sure.
15 In this particular case, some accountholders and some other
16 parties have referred me to newspapers, or magazine
17 articles, or to a recent letter sent by a group of U.S.
18 senators all raising questions and accusations about how
19 Binance.US does business and how its affiliated companies do
20 business.

21 Despite the questions that have been raised in
22 this regard, however, I have to note that I have been
23 offered absolutely no, I mean literally zero, no actual
24 admissible evidence that would support an accusation that
25 Binance.US is misusing customer assets or is engaged in any

1 misbehavior of any kind at all. Instead, I am in the
2 absolute unenviable position of having to make a ruling
3 about the proposed transaction in the face of hearsay
4 accusations of potential wrongdoing in an industry where
5 other firms have apparently engaged in real wrongdoing.

6 Knowing that many people are raising questions,
7 and certainly with no desire to put anybody's futures at
8 stake, but with little or more accurately no evidence as to
9 whether there was any good basis at all for any of the
10 questions that have been raised about Binance.US. So with
11 those observations to put things into context, let me turn
12 to some of the actual objections that have been filed.

13 The first one that I will address is the objection
14 filed by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The SEC
15 has argued in its written objection that the Debtors cannot
16 prove the feasibility of their proposed plan for two
17 reasons. First, the SEC argued that in its view the Debtors
18 had the burden to prove that the Debtors' own purchases and
19 sales of cryptocurrencies would not constitute illegal
20 purchases and sales of securities.

21 The objection did not take the position that any
22 particular cryptocurrencies are securities or otherwise
23 explain how or why the Debtors' activities, including their
24 rebalancing activities, might be illegal, although their
25 written objection did contain a vague footnote suggesting

1 that the VTX token was one as to which some unspecified
2 issue might exist.

3 The SEC also suggested that the Debtors should be
4 required to prove that Binance.US is not operating as a
5 securities broker without registering as such. Once again,
6 the SEC did not actually take the position that Binance.US
7 is operating as an unregistered and unlicensed securities
8 broker. Instead, it just suggested that the Debtors had the
9 burden to prove the negative without offering any evidence
10 or even any reasons to think that Binance.US actually was
11 doing anything for which it required further SEC
12 registrations.

13 I questioned the SEC about these objections at the
14 outset of this hearing, and to some extent I rebuked the SEC
15 attorneys for the vagueness of their submission, although in
16 fairness to them, I think they were just the messengers and
17 not necessarily the architects of the message that they were
18 sent to deliver to me. Although the SEC contended that the
19 Debtors somehow how to prove a negative, that is that the
20 Debtors were not violating securities laws and that
21 Binance.US is not violating registration requirements for
22 brokers.

23 Once again, the SEC confirmed that it was not
24 affirmatively contending that the Debtors were doing
25 anything wrong, nor that Binance.US was doing anything

1 wrong. Nor did the SEC have any guidance to offer to any of
2 us to suggest what it was that the Debtors allegedly were
3 supposed to prove with respect to these issues, or how the
4 Debtors could possibly prove what the SEC wanted them to
5 prove without receiving any explanation at all from the SEC
6 and suggest why the Debtors' activities or Binance.US'
7 operations might raise legal issues.

8 Near the end of the hearing on Friday, the SEC
9 asked to provide clarification of the SEC's legal position.
10 It initially asked if it could state its position only to me
11 on an in-camera basis, but I denied that request and ruled
12 that, to the extent the SEC wanted to say something further
13 about its objection, it ought to be stated in the public
14 forum where all interested parties could hear and understand
15 the SEC's position.

16 The SEC representatives then said the following on
17 the record. First, we were told that the SEC staff believes
18 that the VGX token has aspects of a security, but that the
19 Commission itself has not taken any position on that
20 subject. Second, we were told that the SEC staff believes
21 that Binance.US is operating as a securities exchange
22 without registering as such. Once again, the Commission
23 itself has not taken any position on that subject.

24 Although the SEC offered these clarifications as
25 to what the SEC staff apparently believes, it emphasized

1 that only the Commission may take a formal position on
2 behalf of the SEC, and that the views of the staff did not
3 necessarily constitute or certainly did not constitute the
4 official views of the SEC. Furthermore, although the SEC
5 had obtained clearance to reveal the staff's contentions,
6 the SEC confirmed that it was not authorized and did not
7 intend to provide any evidence on these issues or even any
8 further explanation as to the bases for whatever beliefs the
9 SEC staff may have.

10 So to the extent that the SEC nevertheless
11 contends that these issues are bars to the confirmation of
12 the Debtors' plan, I am forced to disagree. In the first
13 place, I reject the contention that the Court and the
14 Debtors somehow were supposed to figure out for themselves
15 just what it is that the SEC might argue about the VGX
16 token, or about particular activities in which Binance.US
17 might be engaged, as well as the reasons why those matters
18 might have raised securities issues, and then somehow to
19 offer evidence and legal argument to rebut them.

20 This bankruptcy case has been pending since July
21 2022. Customers and Creditors have been denied access to
22 their assets for many months, and they deserve to have a
23 resolution of this case. Bankruptcy cases also are very
24 expensive, and each and every delay means that
25 administrative expenses eat away at the recoveries that

1 Creditors may eventually receive.

2 I have a proposed Plan of Reorganization in front
3 of me, and I have an obligation to make a ruling now as to
4 whether it can be confirmed. I cannot simply put the entire
5 case in an indeterminate and expensive deep freeze while
6 regulators figure out whether they do or do not think there
7 is any problem with the transactions that are being
8 proposed.

9 As I said at the outset of the hearing, if a
10 regulator believes there is a legal issue with respect to
11 something that is proposed in front of me, I am more than
12 anxious to hear an explanation and to consider that issue.
13 I have no desire to approve anything that raises legal
14 issues. But I expect a regulator not only to tell me that
15 it has an actual objection if there is a legal issue, but
16 also to tell me what the issue is and why it is an issue,
17 that the other parties may address it and so that I may make
18 a proper and well-considered ruling on the point.

19 Here, I don't know how any party could possibly be
20 expected to address the SEC's comments with the limited
21 guidance that the SEC has provided. The SEC has not
22 explained by the VGX token in its mind should be regarded as
23 a security or what aspects of a security it thinks it has
24 leaving me only to guess as to what the arguments might have
25 been.

1 Similarly, the SEC did not explain why it thought
2 Binance.US might be operating as a securities broker. I do
3 not know, for example, if there is one specific
4 cryptocurrency token that may have been traded by Binance.US
5 and that the SEC thinks was a security for which the
6 relevant remedy might simply be to stop trading in that
7 token, or whether the SEC has different theories. If we
8 were to try to address the issues, we would have to guess
9 just what the issues were and would not even have any idea
10 if we were even discussing the right points.

11 I understand and appreciate that the SEC is
12 limited in what it can say about potential enforcement
13 actions, but I cannot conclude from this record that an
14 enforcement action is even likely, let alone whether it is
15 meritorious, or even the bases for any of the issues that an
16 enforcement action might raise.

17 I also cannot determine, even if an action were
18 meritorious, whether it would affect the transactions that I
19 am being asked to approve. On this very point, for example,
20 I asked the SEC's counsel at the outset of this hearing to
21 explain what the consequences would be if Binance.US were to
22 be found to have been acting as a non-registered
23 broker/dealer. I asked if that would just mean that
24 Binance.US might have to stop certain activities while it
25 pursued a license, or if it would be that Binance.US would

1 have to shut down all of its activities. The SEC said it
2 could not answer the question.

3 Notwithstanding that statement, the SEC took the
4 position yesterday that the Debtor's disclosure statement
5 allegedly was deficient because it did not more specifically
6 predict and describe what the results of a regulatory action
7 against Binance.US might be. As I said yesterday, I do not
8 know how the Debtors could've been expected to be more
9 specific about that question when the SEC itself told me it
10 could not answer the question.

11 In addition, the SEC's argument on these points
12 has all been phrased in terms of whether the Debtors can
13 prove the feasibility of their proposed plan. Feasibility
14 in bankruptcy parlance is a shorthand reference to the
15 provisions of Section 1129(a)(11) of the Bankruptcy Code,
16 which states that in order to confirm a plan, a court must
17 find that the confirmation "is not likely to be followed by
18 the liquidation or the need for further financial
19 reorganization of the Debtor or any successor to the Debtor
20 under the plan unless such liquidation or reorganization is
21 proposed in the plan."

22 Here the only issues the SEC has raised are, A,
23 whether one specific token, VGX's, is a security; and B,
24 whether Binance.US needs to register as a securities broker.
25 There is no reason why these issues affect feasibility of

1 the kind that is discussed in Section 1129(a)(11). As I've
2 said, the SEC has been aware that the VGX token for some
3 time it has not even reached a conclusion of its own as to
4 whether it is a security, let alone taken any action to stop
5 trading in the token.

6 In addition, even if there are problems with the
7 sale or distribution of VGX, there's no reason why, to my
8 knowledge, why that should interfere or impede or affect the
9 remainder of what the Debtors are proposing. Similarly,
10 even if Binance.US were to be told to stop its business
11 entirely, the Debtors' plan in this case provides that what
12 the parties have called a toggle option under which the
13 Binance.US deal could be stopped and the Debtors would
14 instead make distributions to the extent they could without
15 using Binance.US.

16 There would have to be some practical changes as a
17 result, and the recoveries that accountholders would receive
18 would likely diminish, but the plan itself includes the
19 toggle option. So there's no reason to think that the
20 issues that the SEC has raised as to Binance.US would mean
21 that the plan couldn't proceed or that it would need a
22 further liquidation or reorganization of the kind that is
23 not already contemplated by the plan. For that reason, the
24 issues raised by the SEC do not really go to the feasibility
25 of the plan as that term is used in Section 1129(a)(11) of

1 the Bankruptcy Code.

2 I appreciate that the SEC made some effort to tell
3 me something about what the staff is thinking, but in the
4 end it did not support the highly conditional objection that
5 the SEC filed. This is a court. One of the requirements
6 for confirmation of plan is that the plan has been proposed
7 in good faith and not by any means forbidden by law.

8 Obviously, I have no intention of approving anything that is
9 illegal. As I said during the hearing, and I think I may be
10 repeating myself now, I expect that if a regulator believes
11 that what is being proposed in a plan would violate any
12 applicable statute or regulation, that the regulator will
13 bring that to my attention so the issue can be resolved.

14 The SEC and all other government agencies have had
15 a full and fair opportunity to object here if they believe
16 that the rebalancing transactions that are being proposed or
17 the distributions of cryptocurrencies that are being
18 contemplated are illegal in any way. Or if they're in
19 violative of any statute, rule or regulation, they have not
20 actually made any objection on those grounds. They have
21 only vaguely hinted at issues that have not even been
22 described in a manner that would permit the Court or the
23 parties to address them.

24 I have to make decisions based on actual
25 admissible evidence. I have no evidence here from the SEC

1 or any other party that could support a contention that
2 Voyager is purchasing or selling any token that should be
3 considered to be an unregistered security, or that
4 Binance.US is engaged in any activity for which it is
5 required to register as a broker dealer.

6 I therefore reject and overrule any contention
7 that the transactions contemplated by the plan would be
8 illegal, and any suggestion that for regulatory reasons the
9 Debtors would be unable to complete their proposed
10 liquidation. The Debtors have offered evidence that
11 Binance.US has the operational and financial capability to
12 perform its obligations and have not been given any evidence
13 to suggest that Binance.US could not legally perform those
14 obligations.

15 For similar reasons, I reject the contentions by
16 the SEC and others to the effect that the Debtors allegedly
17 did not offer sufficient disclosure about potential
18 regulatory risks. The disclosure statement that was
19 distributed included specific disclosures about regulatory
20 issues faced in so-called unsupported jurisdictions where
21 Binance.US does not have certain regulatory licenses.

22 It also stated that the Debtors' business is
23 subject to an extensive and highly evolving regulatory
24 landscape that involves significant uncertainties, that it
25 is possible that governmental bodies might disagree as to

1 whether particular laws or regulations are applicable to the
2 Debtors or to the contemplated transactions, that the
3 Debtors could not predict whether regulators would take the
4 position that additional regulatory approvals are required
5 for the completion of the contemplated transactions, and
6 could not guarantee that there would not be regulatory
7 issues.

8 The disclosure statement also stated generally
9 that consummation of the transactions might depend on
10 obtaining approvals of some governmental units, and that
11 failure to obtain those approvals could prevent or impose
12 limitations or restrictions on the consummation of the
13 transactions contemplated by the plan.

14 Voyager also disclosed all of the regulatory
15 inquiries it had received from federal and state
16 authorities, and nobody has contended to the contrary.
17 Although I note that none of those inquiries related to the
18 two issues that the SEC said that its staff had concerns
19 about. The disclosure statement disclosed a subpoena from
20 the SEC that Voyager had received in January 2022 relating
21 to the Voyager rewards program and apparently to questions
22 about whether Voyager needed to register as an investment
23 company.

24 The disclosure statement revealed that the SEC had
25 made a follow-up request for some financial statement

1 information and other internal documentation in July 2022,
2 and that the CFTC had made inquiries and sent a subpoena in
3 August and September 2022. And other state and federal
4 inquiries are also described.

5 I do not believe that the disclosure statement,
6 which was circulated in January 2023, needed to be any more
7 specific than that, than what it said, particularly with
8 regard to issues that SEC itself did not identify until
9 March 2023, and that the SEC itself has not been able to
10 explain in anything other than an extremely conclusory form.

11 A number of questions have also been raised about
12 the extent to which accountholders would be protected if
13 they were to become customers of Binance.US. These
14 objections have been posed by the SEC, the Office of the
15 United States Trustee, the State of Texas, and the State of
16 New York. Nobody suggests that the Debtors had information
17 that they were hiding from anyone or that the Debtors had
18 and failed to disclose. Nobody has contended that the
19 Debtors made any misrepresentations as to the Debtors' own
20 conclusions about Binance.US.

21 Instead, the objecting parties have suggested that
22 the Debtors should have obtained or should obtain different
23 or more complete or better assurances from Binance.US as to
24 how it handles and will handle customer assets, and then
25 have argued that the prior disclosures supposedly were

1 inadequate because they did not already anticipate or
2 include the results of these additional discussions or
3 assurances that the objecting parties think the Debtors
4 should obtain.

5 Although these objections have been framed as
6 complaints about the disclosures that were included in the
7 disclosure statement, I do not believe that is an accurate
8 way to characterize them. As I said during the hearing, it
9 is more accurate to say that these are substantive questions
10 masquerading as disclosure issues. They are substantive
11 complaints about what the Debtors have done or should be
12 doing to assure themselves of a lack of problems before the
13 transaction closes rather than proper objections to the
14 disclosures that the Debtors already made.

15 The Debtors have made clear that their due
16 diligence as to Binance.US does business is a constant
17 ongoing project, and that the Debtors will continue to ask
18 questions and to seek assurances as issues are raised. We
19 would all be horrified if the Debtors did not do so. It is
20 simply wrong for various parties to suggest that the January
21 23, 2023 disclosure statement was somehow inadequate because
22 it did not describe all of the follow-up conversations that
23 had not yet taken place, or all of the follow-up assurances
24 that had not yet been received by the Debtors.

25 If I were to impose such a standard, it would

1 mean, in effect, that the Debtors would have to stop their
2 due diligence inquiries once a disclosure statement had been
3 approved for fear that the prior disclosures would
4 immediately be rendered deficient and that the entire
5 expensive process would have to start over again to bring
6 everything forward to what the Debtors had more recently
7 discovered. That would be an absurd result.

8 I do not find anything deficient in what the
9 disclosure statement actually said. First, the disclosure
10 statement revealed that cryptocurrencies would be
11 transferred to Binance.US only as and when they were to be
12 distributed to customers, and that until such time as the
13 distributions were completed, Binance.US would receive and
14 hold the cryptocurrencies "solely in a custodial capacity in
15 trust and solely for the benefit of accountholders who each
16 open an account on the Binance.US platform."

17 Second, Pages 34 to 36 of the disclosure statement
18 revealed that the Debtors had sought and obtained various
19 assurances from Binance.US, including that Binance.US had
20 the financial resources to complete the proposed
21 transaction, that Binance.US maintains 100 percent reserves
22 for its customers' digital assets, and had substantial
23 capital remaining even if all customers were to withdraw all
24 of their digital assets, that Binance.US does not lend any
25 of its customers' assets or offer margin products on its

1 platform, that customer assets transferred to Binance.US
2 would be held by Binance.US pursuant to its standard digital
3 asset wallet infrastructure, which is stored on Amazon Web
4 Services servers located in Northern Virginia and Tokyo, and
5 that Binance.US has various security protocols in place to
6 ensure the safe storage of customer assets, and that those
7 security protocols have achieved various third-party expert
8 certifications attesting to their compliance with industry
9 standards.

10 During the hearing, the Debtors also described
11 other requests for information that they had made and other
12 assurances they had sought. That included testimony that
13 Binance.US had been asked to provide and had provided a
14 sworn statement as to certain of its business practices. At
15 my request, the Debtors obtained the consent of Binance.US
16 to offer that sworn certificate as evidence of the diligence
17 the Debtors had conducted and as evidence of the bases for
18 the conclusions the Debtors had reached.

19 The certificate was admitted into evidence and
20 filed on the docket so that all parties could see it. It is
21 dated February 28, 2023, and it states that Binance.US holds
22 digital assets deposited by its customers solely in a
23 custodial capacity and on a one-to-one reserve basis, that
24 Binance.US segregates the customer assets from the company's
25 digital assets on its general ledger, that only employees of

1 Binance.US are able to move or withdraw customer assets,
2 that Binance.US does not lend or rehypothecate customer
3 assets, and that Binance.US maintains security protocols and
4 procedures that are reviewed by independent parties, and
5 that comply with various applicable standards.

6 This evidence does not satisfy everyone. It's
7 apparent that there are some objectors who are worried about
8 news reports and who would prefer to have nothing to do with
9 Binance.US. During cross-examination, more than a few
10 objectors pointed out that FTX had also made representations
11 to the Debtors, and that those statements turned out to be
12 false. Though in fairness, the witnesses have testified
13 that they have ramped up their investigations and increased
14 the information and assurances that they have sought from
15 Binance.US in light of what happened with FTX.

16 I do not mean to cast dispersions on Binance.US
17 when I say this, but it is of course true that in the end we
18 can never be 100 percent sure that a representation is true
19 and correct even when made under oath. At the same time,
20 however, we do not usually presume that people are lying and
21 we certainly do not usually presume that buyers are
22 dishonest, particularly absence of any evidence suggesting
23 that they actually are.

24 My role as the bankruptcy judge is, in the first
25 instance here, to determine whether the proposed plan

1 complies with the provisions of the bankruptcy code itself.
2 As to the business details and the business wisdom of the
3 arrangement, and as to the selection of the proposed
4 purchaser, my role is more limited. So long as the
5 provisions of the plan comply with the bankruptcy code
6 requirements, my authority is limited to a determination of
7 whether the Debtor's desire to do this particular
8 transaction is within the scope of the Debtors' reasonable
9 business judgment. See *In re Borders Group, Inc.*, 453 B.R.
10 477, 482 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2011) and cases cited therein.

11 Furthermore, as I've said several times, in
12 considering that issue, I am required to make decisions
13 based on the evidence that is submitted to me. I understand
14 the point of view of the skeptics here. Given what has
15 happened in this industry, I cannot help but be worried
16 myself about how any firm might handle customer assets in
17 this business. But the plan fact of the matter is that I
18 have been given absolutely no admissible evidence, literally
19 none, that would support a conclusion that Binance.US will
20 misuse customer assets or that it cannot be trusted. The
21 evidence that is actually before me requires me to conclude
22 that the Debtors are exercising reasonable business judgment
23 in electing to proceed with the transaction.

24 I'm going to continue in a moment, but we're going
25 to take a brief break while I give my throat a brief rest.

1 (Recess)

2 THE COURT: Please be seated. All right. I had
3 just explained why I believe that the evidence requires me
4 to conclude that the Debtors are exercising reasonable
5 business judgement in electing to proceed with the
6 transaction.

7 That does not mean that I thought that every
8 detail of the proposed transaction was necessary or even
9 appropriate. During the course of the hearing, I asked the
10 Debtors and Binance U.S. to consider certain changes to the
11 terms of their proposed arrangement that I believed would
12 not affect the primary business terms but that would help to
13 address other concerns and questions that had been raised.

14 First, after our hearing in January, the Binance
15 U.S. deal was clarified to say, and my order entered in
16 January clearly says that from the time when assets are
17 transferred to Binance U.S. until the time they are
18 distributed to accountholders, Binance U.S. would be acting
19 as a distribution agent for Voyager. Accordingly, during
20 that time, Binance U.S. would only be a nominal owner of the
21 assets. They would not have any beneficial interest in the
22 assets during that distribution period, and instead, the
23 assets would be held strictly in trust for and in a custody
24 arrangement for either the debtors or the accountholders
25 respectively. Binance U.S. has also previously confirmed

1 that customers may immediately withdraw assets from Binance
2 U.S. if they choose to do so.

3 During the hearing, I suggested that it might make
4 sense if what I have referred to as the distribution period,
5 the period during which Binance is deemed to have no
6 beneficial interest in the assets, were to continue for some
7 time after a customer's account is credited so that
8 customers who wanted to make immediate withdrawals could do
9 so without sacrificing any of the protections that the
10 provisions of the order might provide to them in that
11 regard.

12 Binance U.S. has not only agreed to that suggested
13 change, I think the parties have gone further. They have
14 agreed with the Debtor to include language in the plan
15 documents to the effect that the assets transferred to
16 Binance by Voyager, if I'm reading it correct, will always
17 be held in strict trust and in custody for customers and
18 that Binance will not have beneficial interest of those
19 assets. That language now appears in Article 4, Section C
20 of the plan.

21 Second, I asked that the parties consider a change
22 to the proposed treatment of accountholders who live in what
23 the parties have called unsupported jurisdictions, which are
24 four states in which Binance does not have the licenses
25 necessary to distribute cryptocurrencies to customers. I

1 noted that under the parties' contract, customers in other
2 states who do not become customers of Binance U.S. or who
3 would just prefer cash distributions for other reasons will
4 be given cash distributions at the end of a three-month
5 period. I understand and will address below the issues that
6 have been raised regarding the fact that customers in most
7 states will be able to receive distributions in the form of
8 cryptocurrencies whereas customers in the unsupported
9 jurisdictions will have to wait six months to see if Binance
10 U.S. can obtain the needed approvals and will only be able
11 to get cash distributions if Binance U.S. cannot get such
12 approvals.

13 The question that I raised with the parties,
14 however, is as to accountholders in unsupported
15 jurisdictions who do not want to become Binance U.S.
16 customers and who would prefer to take a cash distribution.
17 Customers in most states can get -- excuse me. Under the
18 original proposal, customers in most states could get such
19 cash distributions after three months. And so I raised the
20 question as to why customers in unsupported jurisdictions
21 should not have that same opportunity. I suggested that it
22 could be made available by giving customers a simple opt-out
23 form by which they could elect to take cash distributions
24 and thereby would be entitled to them at the completion of
25 the same three-month period. Binance U.S. agreed to this

1 proposed change and the change has been incorporated in the
2 plan documents.

3 Third, I know that the parties' agreement includes
4 provisions requiring the transfer of customer data from
5 Voyager to Binance U.S. Voyager has argued that its
6 customer arrangements permit the transfer of that data. No
7 party has offered me any contrary evidence or contention.

8 Under the parties' agreement as I understand it,
9 the only customer data that has been transferred so far is
10 as to customers who have already made elections to be
11 customers of Binance U.S. However, if there is an approval
12 of the transaction, there would be a wholesale transfer to
13 Binance U.S. of all remaining customer data, which would
14 mean that Binance U.S. would receive all customer data for
15 all Voyager customers even if those customers elect not to
16 do business with Binance U.S.

17 I asked whether these terms could be modified to
18 provide further protections with regard to the sensitive
19 customer information of customers who might choose not to be
20 Binance customers and who may not wish Binance U.S. to have
21 their information.

22 I recognize that one of the things that Binance
23 U.S. is buying here is the right to market itself to
24 Voyager's customers. I asked, however, whether the transfer
25 of customer data to Binance could be limited to customer

1 contact information in the first instance with the
2 limitation of the transfer of other more sensitive
3 information about bank accounts, social security numbers, et
4 cetera, to such time as a particular customer actually
5 elects to be a Binance U.S. customer.

6 And in response to my concerns, the parties have
7 tentatively agreed that customers will have a two-week opt-
8 out period in which time they would be able to notify the
9 parties and to opt out of any transfer of any selfies or
10 uploaded IDs or bank statements or bank account information,
11 thereby giving them the chance to prevent the transfer of
12 that information to Binance.

13 In my mind, there's still one open issue, which is
14 as to the transfer of social security numbers and whether
15 customers within that same two-week opt-out period should
16 have the right to prevent the transfer of their social
17 security numbers automatically to Binance U.S.

18 Binance U.S. has suggested to me that somehow this
19 information is important to making it -- putting Binance
20 U.S. into a position where it could readily open a customer
21 account if a customer wishes to do so. But I don't really
22 understand if Binance has all the other information just how
23 hard it would be for a customer at that time simply to enter
24 a social security number. Yes.

25 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, I can provide

1 additional information on this point now or at any other
2 time.

3 THE COURT: Go ahead.

4 MR. GOLDBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. I apologize
5 for interrupting, but I thought this was a good time.

6 For the record, Adam Goldberg of Latham & Watkins
7 on behalf of Binance U.S.

8 I've consulted with my client on this issue and
9 can provide additional details of why the social security
10 numbers are of great value to this transaction.

11 There are essentially two levels of KYC checks
12 pursuant to the FinCEN CIP rule, that is the Financial
13 Crimes Enforcement Network, which is a Bureau of the
14 Department of Treasury Customer Identification Program.

15 The first level is basic KYC, and that requires a
16 name, date of birth, address and social security number.

17 The second is advanced. And that requires selfies,
18 identification documents, and other document uploads.

19 Binance U.S. has agreed to forego the transfer of the
20 information that goes to the advanced KYC, which is of
21 higher long-term value, but is prepared to do so based on
22 Your Honor's comments.

23 The social security networks allow Binance U.S. to
24 clear all of Voyager's customers through the basic level one
25 KYC at no additional cost. And that KYC clearance would

1 remain in place forever, indefinitely, under the current
2 regulations.

3 If we don't have that information, the KYC data
4 that would be otherwise acquired, not including social
5 security numbers as Your Honor has requested, that would
6 become stale and would eventually require, should a customer
7 later elect to join the Binance U.S. platform, for example
8 at a time of a bull run in the crypto markets and they
9 change their mind, the cost at present could be up to \$20
10 per customer. And recall there are a million customers in
11 this case, perhaps without coincidence, of the alignment of
12 those figures.

13 So without the social security numbers, Binance
14 U.S. would essentially be acquiring a mailing list for
15 customer marketing, which is of far inferior value relative
16 to the ability to pre-clear for KYC. So we respectfully
17 submit, Your Honor, that the transfer of social security
18 numbers is permitted under the policy and that that transfer
19 is supported by the Debtor's business judgement, the value
20 to the estate, and the overwhelming creditor vote.

21 THE COURT: Thank you. That's very helpful. But
22 I think you said at an earlier time during the hearing that
23 if a customer has a Binance account and closes it, that the
24 customer can elect to have Binance wipe out all of the data
25 it has for that customer. Is that right?

1 MR. GOLDBERG: Yes. That's right, Your Honor.

2 There are a few requirements, such as that there is no
3 pending trades on the account. But yes, the customer can
4 delete their account.

5 THE COURT: So what about for people who haven't
6 become Binance customers? Do they have an equivalent point
7 at which they can ask you to wipe out the information that
8 you have on them?

9 MR. GOLDBERG: They would have to -- under the
10 current system, they would have to join, create an account,
11 and then they could delete that account.

12 THE COURT: Seems like an odd step to require
13 people to go through. Is there some point at which -- I
14 understand you want a marketing period. Isn't there some
15 point at which you can say that those people who haven't
16 joined you in six months can have the same option to tell
17 you to delete their information as somebody who had already
18 joined you would have?

19 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, I completely understand
20 your logic here. And it has some resonance. But I think
21 the context of this deal is really from a retail
22 perspective. We want the ability to welcome a customer at
23 any time. And that's the value of this transaction. We
24 want a customer to be able to come into the store and see
25 the platform. And if they want to delete themselves after

1 that, they are welcome to do so. And that's the business
2 agreement that was reached among the parties.

3 So they could join the platform, never buy or sell
4 anything, and then cancel out and tell you to get rid of the
5 information.

6 MR. GOLDBERG: That's right, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: All right. Thanks. I appreciate the
8 explanation.

9 MR. GOLDBERG: Thank you.

10 THE COURT: All right. To get back to my
11 decision, I have raised issues about the information that
12 would be transferred and as to abilities of any customers to
13 control the information that's been transferred. Binance
14 U.S. has agreed that there will be a two-week period during
15 which customers can opt out of the transfer of selfies,
16 uploaded IDs, bank statements, and bank account information.
17 I have raised the question about the transfer of social
18 security numbers and Binance has now given me a better
19 explanation of why it wants that information.

20 I have a lingering concern that, as I just said to
21 counsel, the testimony is that customers who actually open
22 Binance accounts can close them immediately and can
23 immediately tell Binance to dispose of all the information
24 that Binance has obtained about them, whereas customers who
25 don't open accounts can only exercise that right if they

1 first go through the process of opening account and never
2 even putting anything in it and then giving that same
3 direction to Binance. I'm still not a thousand percent
4 convinced that there isn't some way to give Binance the
5 marketing opportunity it wants here while eventually making
6 it easier for customers to have their data expunged, whether
7 at the end of a six-month period or some other period. And
8 I will leave open the possibility of such a provision in the
9 final order and just ask Binance, since I have only just
10 this very instant raised this question, if it will yet take
11 that additional question from the pestering judge back to
12 its client and find out if there's something we can do.
13 Okay?

14 MR. GOLDBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: By the way, do we have confirmation
16 that we don't have a 5:00 deadline? Because at this pace, I
17 won't even be finished with my decision by then.

18 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, Binance U.S. agrees to
19 your request of 3:00 p.m. tomorrow.

20 THE COURT: Thank you very much. All right.

21 I think that these proposed modifications, subject
22 to answering that one issue that I have just left, clears up
23 many of the objections and issues that came up during the
24 hearing. There are still some other issues that I will now
25 proceed to discuss.

1 The Office of the United States Trustee raised
2 some other objections to the disclosure statement. One
3 objection was their contention that the disclosure statement
4 was not clear as to who would hold cryptocurrencies and in
5 what capacities. I actually think the disclosure statement
6 was clear on that point. It said, as I noted above, that
7 cryptocurrencies would only be transferred to Binance U.S.
8 as and when they would be distributed to accountholders,
9 that until the distributions were complete, Binance U.S.
10 would only have a nominal and not a beneficial interest in
11 the assets to be transferred, and that all such assets would
12 be held in custody and in trust either for the Debtor's or
13 for the account holders as applicable.

14 I believe that these disclosures were sufficient.
15 And, as I have described above, the parties have actually
16 agreed to additional language to provide further assurances
17 to customers in this regard.

18 The SEC complained that the Debtors did not
19 disclose or that there are meaningful economic benefits to
20 the Binance U.S. transaction apart from the \$20 million that
21 Binance would pay -- Binance U.S. would pay in excess of the
22 cryptocurrencies being transferred. I am going to overrule
23 this objection.

24 The liquidation analysis that was attached to the
25 disclosure statement included projections as to what

1 creditors' recoveries would be under the Binance U.S.
2 proposal, under the alternative toggle proposal, and under a
3 Chapter 7 liquidation. It stated that for various reasons
4 that were explained in the footnotes, the Debtors believe
5 that the Binance proposal would make approximately \$90
6 million more available for distribution, resulting in
7 approximately five percent greater recoveries for creditors
8 when compared to the toggle option and about a 14 percent
9 increase when compared to a possible Chapter 7 liquidation.
10 I think those calculations were sufficient to disclose what
11 the Debtors believed as to the benefits of the Binance U.S.
12 proposal.

13 Now, during the hearing, there were many questions
14 about these calculations. The Debtors testified that their
15 current estimates are that the Binance deal will produce
16 approximately \$100 million more in distributable assets than
17 the so-called toggle plan would provide. The Debtors
18 explained the assumptions that underlie those calculations,
19 and of course many of them are based on assumptions of what
20 might happen in the market under certain conditions, and
21 therefore they are not guarantees by any means. But I did
22 find the explanations and testimony to be reasonable and
23 credible. And I note that no contrary evidence was
24 presented.

25 The State of Texas contended that the disclosure

1 statement was insufficient because it did not make
2 sufficient disclosures about certain features of the Binance
3 U.S. terms of use. However, I note that the disclosure
4 statement contains many direct links to those terms of use
5 and all of the arguments about provisions that Texas
6 believes customer should know about are taken directly from
7 the terms of use to which the customers were directed. This
8 is not an argument about actual disclosures and about actual
9 information available to customers so much as it is a
10 contention made in hindsight that the Debtors should have
11 put greater emphasis on particular provisions that Texas at
12 this stage thinks are important and that the Debtor should
13 have highlighted them somehow more prominently in the
14 disclosure statement instead of making available by
15 referring customers to the places where they could be found.

16 I do not find this to be a reason to reject the
17 disclosure statement or a basis on which to decide that the
18 disclosure statement was not adequate and sufficient. I
19 must note that the State of Texas reviewed the proposed
20 disclosure statement before I gave preliminary approval to
21 it in January 2023 and that the State of Texas filed some
22 objections and comments at that time and that in those
23 objections, Texas did not ask for any further disclosures
24 about the Binance U.S. terms of use. That just supports my
25 conclusion that this is not really a complaint about

1 something that was material and that had to be disclosed or
2 highlighted so much as it is a kind of belated decision by
3 one party that maybe something could have been given greater
4 emphasis. But if I were to apply that standard to every
5 disclosure statement that I ever see, I'm not sure any of
6 them would ever pass.

7 Texas has also complained that the disclosure
8 statement allegedly did not disclose the potential effects
9 that a preference lawsuit by Alameda could have on creditor
10 recoveries. However, the figures quoted in the objection as
11 to how much recoveries would be affected were taken from
12 Exhibit C to the disclosure statement itself, at Page 198 of
13 140, ECF Document 863.

14 During argument, Texas contended that the
15 information was too hard to find, but I note that the
16 information was added to the disclosure statement after
17 there was discussion of the point in January 2023. And at
18 that time, I approved the addition of the information at
19 exactly the place where it ultimately appears. To my
20 recollection, no party complained at that time that it
21 should be placed elsewhere.

22 Texas has also complained that the disclosures
23 about creditors' recoveries -- complained in its written
24 objection, I should say, that the disclosures about
25 creditors' recoveries might suggest that recoveries under

1 the plan could be less than they would be in a Chapter 7
2 liquidation. I don't think Texas is actually continuing to
3 press this objection. But just for completeness in the
4 record, I will describe it and describe the reasons why I
5 think it has been withdrawn.

6 The problem with the written objection is that it
7 was based on an apples to oranges comparison. More
8 specifically, Texas compared what the plan recoveries would
9 be if Alameda has a very large, \$400 million administrative
10 claim against the estate compared to what the Chapter 7
11 recoveries would be if Alameda does not have such a claim.

12 The truth, however, is that if Alameda has a
13 large administrative claim, it would have the same claim in
14 both the plan in Chapter 7 liquidation contexts and would
15 have the same proportionate impact on the recoveries in both
16 contexts. So it therefore would not affect the Debtor's
17 conclusion that the plan recoveries will be better than
18 recoveries in Chapter 7 would be.

19 A number of parties have also objected to the
20 releases that have been proposed in the plan. Some of these
21 objections are based on misconceptions as to exactly how the
22 releases work. Just to be clear, the Debtors have proposed
23 to release some claims that the Debtors themselves otherwise
24 would be able to pursue or that the estate would be able to
25 pursue. Some other parties, Binance U.S. itself for

1 example, and the Debtor's officers and directors, have
2 agreed to release claims that they might own against the
3 Debtors and a long list of other parties.

4 In addition, creditors were given the opportunity,
5 and shareholders, to elect to grant releases, or in
6 bankruptcy terms, to opt in to releases if they chose to do
7 so. However, the forms that were sent to creditors made
8 clear that nobody was obligated to opt in and that the
9 choice was strictly voluntary.

10 Many bankruptcy plans provide and there is case
11 authority for the proposition that an affirmative vote in
12 favor of a plan is itself a consent to the grant of releases
13 that are set forth in the plan. But the plan in this case
14 does not say that. Instead, the plan here provides that no
15 creditor or shareholder has released any claims that are
16 owned by that person or entity unless that person has
17 affirmatively granted such a release by executing the opt-
18 out release form.

19 I believe that this disposes of the objection
20 filed by the Federal Trade Commission and quote relevant
21 portions of the objections posed by the United States
22 Trustee and by certain customers, including Mr. Newsom and
23 Warren, Mr. Hendershott, Mr. Jones, and Mr. (indiscernible).
24 They objected to the approval of non-consensual third-party
25 releases, but there are no non-consensual third-party

1 releases here. There is a separate issue regarding the
2 exculpation provisions of the plan that I will discuss in a
3 moment, but there are no non-consensual third-party
4 releases.

5 There are also challenges to some of the
6 settlements and releases of the Debtor's own claims that are
7 included in the plan. The United States Trustee filed an
8 objection stating that the released party and releasing
9 party definitions should not include the winddown debtors.
10 My understanding is that that change has been made and that
11 this particular objection is moot.

12 The Debtors have also proposed a settlement of
13 claims against the Debtors' CEO, Mr. Ehrlich, and a former
14 chief financial officer, Mr. Psaropoulos. The Debtors
15 offered evidence that two independent directors had been in
16 charge of the investigation of claims against certain
17 insiders, that the special committee hired outside counsel,
18 the Quinn Emanuel firm, to assist in that investigation,
19 that the special committee had concluded that the only
20 claims against insiders that were worth pursuing were claims
21 against Mr. Ehrlich and Mr. Psaropoulos relating to the
22 Three Arrows loans, that the special committee further
23 concluded that those claims would be subject to various
24 defenses and that the Debtors did not believe and that the
25 directors, excuse me, did not believe that the claims were

1 slam dunks, that the committee had investigated -- special
2 committee had investigated the officers' resources and that
3 the proposed settlements would provide for payments that
4 represent a significant portion of the officers' available
5 assets, that the Debtors would release other claims against
6 the two officer but would not actually release claims
7 relating to the Three Arrows loans and instead would only
8 agree that any further recoveries on the Debtor's claims as
9 to those loans would come from insurance proceeds and not
10 from the individual assets of the settling defendants.

11 The Debtors also reserve their rights to undo a
12 transaction by which Voyager allegedly paid as much as \$10
13 million just before its bankruptcy filing for an additional
14 \$10 million of director and officer liability coverage.

15 When considering a settlement such as this, the
16 applicable Second Circuit authority makes clear that my role
17 is to determine whether the Debtors' decision to settle was
18 a reasonable one after considering a number of factors.

19 In this case, consistent with the applicable
20 caselaw, I have considered the nature of the claims that
21 would have been asserted, the legal defenses that could have
22 been asserted, including the business judgement defense, the
23 testimony about additional defenses that could have been
24 asserted under the terms of certain exculpatory language in
25 the Debtor's bylaws or other governing documents, the

1 benefits of the proposed settlement, testimony that the
2 settlement was the result of arm's length bargaining, the
3 testimony about the size of the settlement payments in
4 relation to the settling parties' resources, the fact that
5 the settlements preserve the Debtor's rights to pursue the
6 Three Arrows claim further, though further recoveries on
7 such claims would be limited to insurance proceeds, the
8 competency and experience of the counsel retained by the
9 special committee, and the support for the settlement by the
10 official committee of unsecured creditors. See Iridium
11 Operating LLC v. Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
12 (In re Iridium Operating LLC), 478 F.3d 452, 462 (2d Cir.
13 2007), citations omitted.

14 I conclude after considering the relevant factors
15 that the settlement with Mr. Ehrlich and Mr. Psaropoulos is
16 a reasonable one and should be approved. I understand that
17 this is very disappointing to some of the pro se parties who
18 have appeared, a number of whom expressed a strong
19 resentment towards the two settling parties and who
20 expressed a strong desire to pursue them more vigorously and
21 to demand a higher percentage of their net worth as a part
22 of any settlement. I sympathize with their frustrations,
23 but the only actual evidence I have on these relevant points
24 is the evidence that I have described. And I am forced to
25 conclude from that evidence that the settlement is in fact a

1 reasonable one.

2 The releases that the Debtors proposed to give
3 were not limited to the releases to be granted as part of
4 the settlement with Mr. Ehrlich and Mr. Psaropoulos.

5 Instead, the Debtors also proposed to grant broad release of
6 claims that the debtors might have against a number of other
7 parties. The parties who would be the beneficiaries of
8 those releases included the Official Committee of Unsecured
9 Creditors and its members together with a long list of
10 released professionals which appeared to include all of the
11 law firms and other advisors in these cases, plus all
12 "released Voyager employees", a term which was defined as
13 "all directors, officers, and persons employed by each of
14 the Debtors and their affiliates serving in such capacity on
15 or after the petition date but before the effective date."

16 The scope of the releases that the Debtors
17 proposed to give to such persons was extremely broad. The
18 releases proposed to free all released parties from all
19 cause of action, known or unknown, that the Debtors could
20 have asserted in their own right "or on behalf of the holder
21 of any claim." I really do not understand this latter
22 phrase at all. If it is somehow intended to mean that a
23 third party's own claim would be released on the theory that
24 the Debtor somehow could have asserted it in some kind of
25 representative capacity, it is too vague and excessive and I

1 won't allow it.

2 The plan also proposed to release all of the
3 released parties from any claim of any kind that the Debtors
4 might have against those released parties. And the phrase
5 goes on and on. "Based on or relating to or in any manner
6 arising from in whole or in part the Debtors...their capital
7 structure, the purchase, sale, or rescission of the purchase
8 or sale of any security of the Debtors, the subject matter
9 of or the transactions giving rise to any claim or interest
10 that is treated in the plan, the business or contractual
11 arrangements between any debtor and any released party, the
12 Debtor's out-of-court restructuring efforts, intercompany
13 transactions between or among a debtor and another debtor,
14 or upon any other act or omission, transaction, agreement,
15 event, or other occurrence related to the Debtors taking
16 place on or before the effective date but with an exclusion
17 for actual fraud, willful misconduct, or gross negligence."

18 The evidence before me, however, did not suggest
19 that the Debtors or the special committee had done any
20 investigation or made any careful consideration of all of
21 the types of claims that would be covered by this sweeping
22 language. I believe Mr. Kirpalani acknowledged that much
23 during oral argument yesterday.

24 As I said yesterday, this is not a release that is
25 tailored to claims that have actually been renewed and

1 passed by the Debtor. Instead, it is a release that is
2 deliberately as broad and all-encompassing as possible
3 untethered to any actual review of many of the claims that
4 would be subject to the release.

5 I therefore do not believe that the evidence
6 before me justifies and warrants the full scope of those
7 releases as they were proposed, that the Debtors have since
8 proposed to modify the terms of the proposed releases so
9 that they will be limited to new defined term language
10 matters that the special committee actually investigated. I
11 may have to look at some of that language myself and will
12 possibly have tweaks to it in the final confirmation order.
13 But in concept, I think that complies with the comments that
14 I made yesterday or my rulings today and that it will be
15 acceptable.

16 I want to pause to reemphasize a point that came
17 up several times during the hearing. A number of
18 accountholders or shareholders complained during the hearing
19 that they felt that they had been misled as to Voyager's
20 financial condition. If a customer or shareholder believes
21 he or she was misled or that he or she took actions that he
22 or she otherwise would not have taken and suffered damages
23 as a result based on any claim of misrepresentation of
24 Voyager's financial condition, claims based on those
25 injuries would belong to the customers or shareholders.

1 They would not belong to the Debtors or the estate.

2 The Debtor's proposed releases would release
3 claims that the Debtors were injured due to mismanagement or
4 bad decisions by officers or employees. The claims for
5 injuries directly suffered by customers or shareholders,
6 injuries that were not just derivative of injuries directly
7 suffered by the Debtors are not affected.

8 The Office of the United States Trustee also
9 objected to the scope of the proposed exculpation provision
10 in the plan. Broadly speaking, that provision states that
11 certain parties do not have liability for certain
12 transactions and actions that occurred during the course of
13 the bankruptcy case or that will occur in the implementation
14 of a confirmed plan.

15 It is routine that bankruptcy plans contain
16 provisions that state that fiduciaries and other parties do
17 not incur liability by having engaged in transactions that
18 the court has approved and having taken actions that the
19 court has directed them to take.

20 In this case, the version of the plan that was
21 circulated to creditors and other parties-in-interest in
22 January, Docket Number 852, included a proposed exculpation
23 provision that was fairly broad. It stated in relevant part
24 that exculpated parties would have no liability or anything
25 they had done during the course of the bankruptcy cases that

1 -- or anything that they would do in the course of
2 administering the plan and further stated that they would be
3 deemed to be in compliance with all applicable laws
4 regarding not only the solicitation of votes, but the
5 distribution of considerations pursuant to the plan. And
6 therefore, an account of those distributions would not be
7 liable at any time for violation of any applicable law,
8 rule, or regulation governing the solicitation of
9 acceptances or rejections of the plan or such distributions
10 made pursuant to the plan.

11 I have previously issued a decision as to what I
12 regard as the proper scope of an exculpation provision and
13 the legal justifications for it. See *In re Aegean Marine*
14 *Petroleum Network Inc.*, 599 B.R. 717 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2019).

15 As I noted in *Aegean*, exculpation provisions are
16 to some extent based on the theory that court-supervised
17 fiduciaries are entitled to qualified immunity for their
18 actions. However, a proper exculpation provision is also a
19 protection for court-supervised and court-approved
20 transactions. As I noted there, parties should not be
21 liable for doing things that a court authorizes them to do
22 and that a court decides are reasonable and appropriate
23 things to do and in many instances that a court may direct
24 them to do. See e.g. *Airadigm Communications Inc. v. FFDD*
25 (*In re Airadigm Communications Inc.*), 519 F.3d 640, 655-657

1 (7th Cir. 2008) approving a plan provision that exculpated
2 an entity that funded a plan from liability arising out of
3 or in connection with the confirmation of a plan, except for
4 willful misconduct.

5 In re Granite Broadcasting Corp., 369 B.R. 120,
6 139 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2007), approving an exculpation
7 provision that was limited to conduct during the bankruptcy
8 case and noting that the effect of the provision is to
9 require that any claims in connection with the bankruptcy
10 case be raised in the case and not saved for future
11 litigation.

12 My reasoning in the Aegean case has been approved
13 and adopted by other courts in other cases. See e.g. In re
14 Latam Airlines Group S.A., 2022 Bankr. LEXIS 1725 (Bankr.
15 S.D.N.Y. June 18, 2022), In re In re Murray Metallurgical
16 Coal Holdings, 623 B.R. 444 (Bankr. S.D. Ohio 2021). Such
17 provisions are proper to protect those who are authorized
18 and who may be directed by the confirmation of a plan to
19 carry out the terms of the plan. See In re Ditech Holding
20 Corp., 2021 Bankr. LEXIS 2274 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Aug. 20,
21 2021).

22 In this case, the Debtors and the United States
23 Trustee apparently had discussions, and my understanding is
24 that they had tentatively agreed that the proposed
25 exculpation language in the plan and confirmation order

1 would be scaled back to be more in the lines of what I
2 ordered in the Aegean case. This became a much bigger
3 issue, however, when at the end of last week, the Debtors
4 filed revisions to their proposed confirmation order that
5 included a number of paragraphs and additions to paragraphs
6 that could have been read as barring all federal and state
7 governmental entities and any other parties at any time from
8 making any assertion of any kind that the Debtors, Binance
9 U.S. or their representatives had done anything of any kind
10 that violates any federal or state law or regulation.

11 It is not my intention to approve anything of that
12 breadth, and I believe I made that clear as soon as the
13 issue came up yesterday.

14 As we discussed the issue yesterday, however, the
15 parties circled back to the proposed exculpation provisions.
16 And certain governmental entities who previously had taken
17 no position whatsoever and made no objection whatsoever to
18 the original exculpation provisions suddenly took the
19 position that as a matter of law, no exculpation provision
20 of any kind can be granted insofar as it would relate to any
21 federal or state statute or regulation.

22 As somebody put it during argument yesterday, the
23 government's position was that any officers or directors or
24 entities who will implement a confirmed plan just have to
25 take their chances as to whether the government might

1 contend that what they're doing is illegal and even as to
2 whether the government might seek to punish them for doing
3 not only what I had authorized, but what I had directed them
4 to do as a matter of the confirmed plan.

5 Frankly, I think this position by the government
6 is wholly unreasonable and is based on a serious
7 misunderstanding of just what it means when a court confirms
8 a plan of reorganization and the legal reasons for the
9 exculpation.

10 The approval of a plan of reorganization does not
11 just give a debtor an option to proceed with what the plan
12 provides. Instead, Section 1142(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy
13 Code states that "The debtor and any entity organized or to
14 be organized for the purpose of carrying out the plan shall
15 carry out the plan and shall comply with any orders of the
16 court." 11 U.S. Code § 1142(a)(1).

17 Section 1142 thereby imposes an affirmative
18 statutory obligation on the debtors, other entities, and
19 their personnel to do what the plan contemplates. In
20 effect, the confirmation order acts as a court order that
21 the plan be carried out. In this case, confirmation of the
22 plan will require the debtors, Binance U.S. and their
23 respective personnel and representatives, to complete the
24 rebalancing transactions that the plan contemplates and to
25 make the distributions of cryptocurrencies that the plan

1 contemplates. Once in confirm the plan, they will have no
2 choice but to do so.

3 Here, all of the relevant governmental entities
4 have been on notice of the proposed transactions. They had
5 every opportunity to tell me if they believed that anything
6 contemplated by the plan would violate any applicable
7 statue, rule, or regulation. Four states have taken the
8 position that Binance U.S. cannot open customer accounts in
9 those states without additional approvals, and the plan
10 specifically takes account of those objections and that
11 fact. No other regulators have contended during the
12 confirmation hearing that there is anything illegal in what
13 the plan contemplates. As noted above, the SEC has hinted
14 vaguely that it thinks there might be issues with the
15 Debtor's sales of VGX and/or with some unspecified aspect of
16 Binance U.S.'s business. But the SEC has explicitly stopped
17 short of contending that anything actually is illegal and
18 has repeatedly declined to offer evidence or to take a more
19 firm position on these points.

20 In short, what the government is requesting is
21 that I enter a confirmation order that will have the effect
22 under Section 1142 of the Code of compelling employees,
23 officers, professionals, and entities to do the rebalancing
24 transactions that the plan contemplates and to make the
25 distributions that the plan requires while in the view of

1 the government those same people and entities might then be
2 liable for fines, sanctions, damages, or other liabilities
3 just for doing what my confirmation order affirmatively
4 obligates them to do. And the government contends that this
5 daunting prospect of future liability should hang over the
6 heads of the parties and their personnel even though the
7 government itself has had every opportunity to identify any
8 legal issues that are posed by the transactions and is not
9 prepared today to say that there is anything wrongful about
10 what we are currently contemplating.

11 That position is absurd. If the government really
12 wants to litigate the legality of the proposed transaction,
13 it has been free to do so and should have done so during
14 this hearing. Similarly, if the government truly wishes for
15 me to make a decision today as to whether the transactions
16 are or are not legal and to make that binding, then I will
17 do so. But if I have to do that based on the evidence that
18 has actually been offered to me and if I were to have to
19 make that decision today, I would have no choice but to
20 conclude that the transactions are perfectly legal because
21 nobody has offered any evidence to the contrary to me.
22 We're not attempting to do that to the government, although
23 I think maybe the Debtors were attempting to do that by the
24 proposals they made at the end of last week.

25 We're not trying to restrict the SEC or any other

1 governmental entity's ability to argue in the future that
2 the transactions that we are authorizing and directing
3 should be stopped or prevented from going further for
4 regulatory reasons of any kind.

5 However, it is entirely appropriate that I ensure
6 that in the meantime, that the people and entities who will
7 be directed and required by my confirmation order to
8 complete the transactions contemplated by the plan will not
9 themselves face liability for having already done things
10 that I required them to do and that the government elected
11 not to challenge at the time that requirement was imposed.

12 I do agree that a modification of the original
13 exculpation provision is appropriate, and I am prepared to
14 hold that the exculpation provision should be modified to
15 say something along the lines of the following, recognizing
16 that there will be some inevitable further wordsmithing and
17 that the proposed order will reflect that wordsmithing.
18 What I have in mind is language to the following effect.

19 To the fullest extent permissible under applicable
20 law and without affecting or limiting either the debtor
21 release or the third-party release and except as otherwise
22 specified in the plan, no exculpated party shall have or
23 incur and each exculpated party is hereby exculpated from
24 any liability for damages based on the negotiation,
25 execution, and implementation of any transactions approved

1 by the Bankruptcy Court. That's the standard part of the
2 language that essentially just says that if you have pursued
3 a transaction that I have approved, you can't be sued later
4 by somebody saying it was unreasonable. Any claims about
5 the reasonableness of the transaction should have been made
6 to me.

7 The proposed language would continue, in addition,
8 the plan contemplates certain rebalancing transactions and
9 the completion of distributions of cryptocurrencies to
10 creditors. The exculpated party shall have no liability for
11 and are exculpated from any claim for fines, penalties,
12 damages, or other liabilities based on their execution and
13 completion of the rebalancing transaction and the
14 distributions of cryptocurrencies to creditors in the manner
15 provided in the plan.

16 For the avoidance of doubt, the foregoing
17 paragraph reflects the fact that the confirmation of the
18 plan requires the exculpated parties to engage in certain
19 conduct and the fact that no regulatory authority has taken
20 the position during the confirmation hearing that such
21 conduct actually would violate applicable laws or
22 regulations. Nothing in this provision shall limit in any
23 way the powers of any governmental unit to contend that any
24 rebalancing transaction should be stopped or prevented or
25 that any other action contemplated by the plan should be

1 enjoined or prevented from proceeding further, nor does
2 anything in this provision limit the enforcement of any
3 future regulatory or court order that requires that such
4 activities either cease or be modified, nor does anything
5 limit the penalties that might be applicable if such a
6 future regulatory order is issued and violated. Similarly,
7 nothing herein shall limit the authority of the committee on
8 foreign investment of the United States to bar any of the
9 contemplated transactions, nor does anything in this
10 provision alter the terms of the plan regarding the
11 compliance of the purchaser with applicable laws in the
12 unsupported jurisdictions before distributions of
13 cryptocurrencies occur in those unsupported jurisdictions.

14 This morning when this language was discussed,
15 somebody asked if we could add a typical exclusion for
16 liabilities that reflect actual fraud or willful misconduct.
17 I don't think anybody objects to the addition of such an
18 exclusion.

19 As I understand it, the government has argued that
20 even an exculpation provision of this kind somehow amounts
21 to a third party release that offends the principles set
22 forth in Judge McMahon's decision in the Purdue Pharma case
23 or that it otherwise represents an impermissible effort to
24 interfere with the discretion of regulatory authorities or
25 otherwise exceeds my jurisdiction.

1 I think most of the arguments that the government
2 has made in that regard are complete red herrings. They
3 pose reasons and explanations and legal justifications for
4 what I am doing that are absolutely not the actual legal
5 justifications for what I am doing and then rebut those
6 irrelevant purported legal justifications.

7 The actual authorities on which I have relied,
8 including my own Aegean decision and the decisions that I
9 cited in there are not even discussed in the objections and
10 supplemental submissions that the government authorities
11 made to me.

12 I am not barring the government from trying to
13 stop transactions from occurring. I am not barring any
14 regulatory contention at all. I am simply saying that when
15 the government has declined to argue that the rebalancing
16 transaction that the plan contemplates are legal and/or the
17 government has declined to argue that the distributions of
18 cryptocurrencies to creditors that the plan contemplates,
19 either through Binance, or by Voyager if the so-called
20 toggle plan is pursued, are illegal in any way, that
21 individuals who entities who upon confirmation will be
22 required to engage in those activities are entitled to know
23 that I am not thereby sentencing them to an ex post facto
24 contention or finding that they have unknowingly and
25 unwittingly and involuntarily incurred statutory or

1 regulatory liabilities for doing what I have ordered that
2 they can do and what my confirmation order compels them to
3 do. That is fully consistent with ordinary bankruptcy
4 practice with the authorities that I have cited above and
5 with basic principles of equity and estoppel. It is a fair
6 and proper consequence of the government's own unwillingness
7 or inability to challenge the legality of the contemplated
8 transactions during the hearing that I have held and of the
9 fact that I am entering an order that as a statutory matter
10 not only approves those transactions, but actually requires
11 them to be carried out.

12 I note that the government itself has conceded in
13 the supplemental papers that it filed that many courts have
14 considered participants in bankruptcy proceedings to be
15 protected by a species of qualified immunity for undertaking
16 transactions specifically approved by the bankruptcy court.
17 I think that in effect that is all that I am doing here.
18 And I am not by any means preventing the enforcement of any
19 law or regulation. Also, I am not stopping any regulatory
20 body from stepping in and attempting to enjoin any act or
21 transaction on any applicable regulatory ground. If the SEC
22 or any other party believes tomorrow that it has grounds to
23 go to court to enjoin further steps in the completion of
24 this transaction, it is entitled to do so. I am not barring
25 any such thing. I am simply saying that if we get six weeks

1 down the road and then the SEC decides to take action, that
2 in fairness to the people who have spent six weeks doing
3 what I have compelled them to do, those people cannot have
4 liabilities and sanctions and penalties imposed upon them.

5 I also have a suggestion by the government both
6 today and in the papers that I should simply say nothing
7 about these issues and that if somebody is entitled to
8 immunity of any kind for doing what I have ordered them to
9 do, well, they can just raise that sometime in the future in
10 some other regulatory context and we can just guess as to
11 whether another court might agree with me or whether another
12 court might agree that I even intended to grant such freedom
13 to such people and that otherwise those people should just
14 be left at risk as to what a future court might decide.

15 I don't see how that makes any sense at all. If
16 the whole idea here is that I am directing something that
17 gives rise to qualified immunity, I should be the one that
18 says what the scope of that immunity is. Not only does it
19 make sense for me as the court that's making the order to
20 give that guidance and to make that decision, the people who
21 are actually going to be required to do what I am compelling
22 are entitled to know that that's what I am doing, and they
23 are entitled to know that when they do what I have told them
24 to do, it is not subject to the risk that, as I said, that
25 they are being involuntarily sentenced to some sanctions for

1 having done so. So in that respect, I think the
2 government's suggestion is completely off-base.

3 I also note that the government has taken very --
4 have made very broad-ranged accusations that somehow this is
5 completely beyond my jurisdiction and completely unusual and
6 completely beyond my authority. I think it's completely
7 within my authority for the reasons that I have cited. And
8 I also note that that contention by the government is belied
9 by the literally thousands of confirmed bankruptcy plans
10 over the past more than 20 years I am sure that have
11 included similar exculpation provisions without any
12 complaint whatsoever by any of these same governmental
13 authorities. In fact, I have approved similar provisions in
14 my time as a bankruptcy judge and recall no objections to
15 them of the kind that the governmental authorities have
16 raised over the past two days.

17 I also have an objection by the State of New York
18 to the effect that the plan allegedly provides for an unfair
19 discrimination in the treatment of New York customers as
20 opposed to customers in other states. The State of Texas
21 has made a similar objection. It appears though that the
22 Debtors and Binance U.S. have resolved a similar issue as to
23 Vermont and possibly as to Hawaii. In any event, the State
24 of Hawaii has not pressed any objection before me during
25 this hearing. The gist of the New York objection is that

1 customers in 48 states where Binance has the required
2 licenses may receive cryptocurrency distributions relatively
3 quickly but that Binance and the Debtors cannot legally do
4 the same thing in New York. Accordingly, customers in New
5 York would have to wait until such time as Binance may
6 obtain the necessary approvals in New York. Under the plan,
7 if such approvals are not obtained within six months, the
8 New York customers will receive cash distributions instead
9 of distributions that include cryptocurrencies.

10 Curiously, although the State of New York and the
11 State of Texas have filed objections, it does not appear
12 that a single New Yorker accountholder has objected on this
13 ground, and perhaps only one Texas accountholder. This
14 perhaps raises a standing issue as to the State of New York,
15 but I do not believe I need to consider that question
16 because I believe that in any event, the objection does not
17 have merit.

18 New York has asserted that the plan unfairly
19 discriminates between customers in New York and customers in
20 other states. Unfair discrimination technically is not
21 really the right way to describe the objection. Section
22 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that a plan may be
23 confirmed even if not all classes have voted to accept it so
24 long as certain conditions are met. One of those conditions
25 is that the plan does not discriminate unfairly with respect

1 to each class of claim or interest that is impaired under
2 and has not accepted the plan. Here, the relevant class is
3 Class Three, which is made up of accountholders. That class
4 has overwhelmingly voted to accept the plan.

5 I think what the state regulators really mean to
6 argue is that the plan allegedly does not provide the same
7 treatment to all members of Class Three as is required by
8 Section 1123(a)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code.

9 I think there may have been a basis for this
10 objection insofar as it related to the treatment of
11 accountholders who chose not to receive cryptocurrencies and
12 who instead wished to take cash distributions. The plan as
13 proposed would have allowed most customers to receive cash
14 once three months had passed and they had not affirmatively
15 elected to be customers of Binance. Customers in the
16 unsupported jurisdictions, however, would have had to wait
17 six months while Binance tried to work things out with
18 regulators even if they did not want to be Binance U.S.
19 customers and even if they wanted cash. Binance, however,
20 as I have noted, has agreed to change this provision and now
21 the treatment of creditors who want cash is exactly the same
22 in all states.

23 I do not otherwise believe that the objection is
24 correct. It is quite clear that the Debtors and Binance
25 U.S. would like to make in-kind distributions to all

1 customers in all states. It is not the terms of the plan
2 that prevent the Debtors and Binance from doing so, it is
3 the different regulatory requirements and licenses in the
4 different states that account for any different treatment
5 that may occur. Or to put it another way, the plan provides
6 in essence that the Debtors will make in-kind distributions
7 to customers as soon as they become customers of Binance and
8 as soon as the applicable rules and regulations in a given
9 state permit such distributions.

10 However, we are not free to ignore the fact that
11 in certain states, that cannot be accomplished as readily as
12 in others. That does not mean that the plan is providing
13 for different treatment of different customers, it just
14 means that the plan itself does not have the power to sweep
15 away the different regulations that apply in different
16 states and does not have the power to grant licenses to
17 Voyager and/or Binance that they do not already have.

18 Every customer's initial distribution rights will
19 be determined on the effective date -- excuse me, on the
20 same date. The different regulatory regimes in different
21 states may mean that some customers receive distributions on
22 different dates or in different forms, but the Debtors
23 cannot do anything about that. The only solution to the
24 problem New York has raised would be to force everyone in
25 the entire country to delay their distributions until such

1 time as the necessary approvals are in place, if ever, to
2 make distributions to New York customers. That would not
3 make any sense and it is not required by any provision of
4 the Bankruptcy Code, and the State of New York acknowledged
5 during argument yesterday that it is not requesting such a
6 result.

7 At one point I believe one of the unsupported
8 jurisdictions argued that cryptocurrency prices can vary
9 over time so the value of the consideration that customers
10 receive may be different when the customers actually receive
11 it. But that often happens under bankruptcy plans.

12 In the American Airlines case, for example,
13 creditors were entitled to receive stock as distributions on
14 their allowed claims. There were many disputed claims,
15 however. And the people who held those claims only received
16 stock as and when their claims were allowed.

17 In the Meantime, the stock price changed,
18 sometimes very significantly. But there was no way for the
19 debtors to control for those price changes and no practical
20 way for any debtor to ensure that the stock that was
21 reserved and later distributed would have the same value on
22 every distribution date. The creditors received the same
23 amounts of stock, which is all that the Bankruptcy Code
24 required, and as a practical matter, the only thing that the
25 bankruptcy could require when property other than cash is

1 being distributed.

2 Similarly here, the Debtors cannot solve for the
3 fact that cryptocurrency prices inevitably will fluctuate.
4 The distributions that are calculated for creditors will be
5 done on the same day and on the same basis. However, some
6 creditors will have disputed claims and will not receive
7 distributions until disputes are resolved. Other creditors
8 may face delays due to regulatory issues or simply due to
9 delays in their own submission and processing of paperwork
10 needed to open the Binance account. It is just inevitable
11 that some customers will actually receive distributions on
12 different dates and that market values on those dates may
13 fluctuate, but that does not amount to an unequal treatment
14 proposed by the plan. I therefore overrule the objections
15 as to the plan's treatment of customers in unsupported
16 jurisdictions.

17 There were also objections that were filed as to
18 the identity of the proposed plan administrator, Mr. Paul
19 Hage. Many of the objections suggested that the job should
20 not go to anyone associated with the Official Committee of
21 Unsecured Creditors or their individual members apparently
22 because a number of accountholders are not happy with the
23 Committee's decisions and its work.

24 Mr. Hage testified about his qualifications and
25 answered questions about his work in this case and also

1 whether he has any conflicts of interest that would prevent
2 him from serving as the plan administrator. He testified
3 that he was the personal attorney to an individual who was a
4 member of the Unsecured Creditors' Committee and that at the
5 outset of the case he gave some advice to committee members
6 as to how they could organize the process by which they
7 selected a law firm to act as counsel to the committee.

8 A number of customers postulated that the
9 individual who Mr. Hage represented was a close friend of
10 Mr. Ehrlich, the CEO of the Debtors, and that this had
11 improperly influenced the Unsecured Creditors' Committee in
12 considering the settlement with Mr. Ehrlich that is embodied
13 in the plan.

14 However, Mr. Hage testified credibly that the
15 relevant committee member was a large customer of Voyager
16 whose friendly relationship with Mr. Ehrlich ended when
17 Voyager shut down its platform. Mr. Hage further testified
18 that the relevant committee member actually spoke out
19 against the proposed settlement when this was presented for
20 the committees' review and that he ultimately abstained from
21 voting after the votes of other committee members had
22 already made clear that the creditors' committee approved of
23 the settlement terms.

24 I do not believe that the evidence supports the
25 contention that Mr. Hage's limited connections to this

1 committee member gave rise to conflicts of interest or that
2 in the real world they would affect his work.

3 There were objections as to whether Mr. Hage
4 should be appointed because he has never been a plan
5 administrator before. Anybody who has extensive experience
6 in the bankruptcy world and has represented both debtors and
7 creditors is qualified to do the work that a plan
8 administrator in a case such as this will be required to
9 perform. And Mr. Hage absolutely has those qualifications
10 in spaces.

11 There were also expressions of concerns by some
12 pro se investors that I can only say amount to a desire to
13 have more of a pit bull as a plan administrator, someone who
14 will be absolutely more aggressive and satisfy the desires
15 of some people for more aggressive treatment of the people
16 who ran Voyager and more aggressive pursuit of potential
17 litigation claims.

18 I understand what's behind that attitude. It does
19 not actually in the real world translate into what makes for
20 an effective plan administrator, or in my personal
21 experience, what makes for an effective litigator. People
22 who aren't lawyers sometimes think that it is the snarliest
23 and nastiest people who are the most effective litigators.
24 People who actually do litigation and certainly judges know
25 that those are often the people who are the least effective

1 litigators. They are the most annoying and they may satisfy
2 clients' desires to inflict pain on an adversary, but by all
3 means they are absolutely not necessarily the most
4 effective. So I don't think that particular criticism of
5 Mr. Hage is well-taken and I find that he is qualified for
6 the work and that his appointment is reasonable.

7 An ad hoc group of equity securityholders objected
8 to the way the plan described the treatment of intercompany
9 claims. I was under the impression that had been resolved
10 until yesterday. Some additional questions were raised. I
11 won't go into those in detail because the Debtors have now
12 agreed and have disclosed the names of the people who will
13 serve as independent directors of each of the separate
14 debtor estates, and it is clear that if there is a conflict
15 of interest between those estates, that the independent
16 directors will have the right to appear and be heard on any
17 issue involving where such a conflict exists. And I
18 understand that those provisions had been included in the
19 plan documents and that this objection is resolved.

20 A lot of other objections have been resolved and
21 don't need to be discussed in detail here. The amended
22 plan, as requested by the U.S. Trustee, has deleted
23 provisions deeming all claims to be objected to. The
24 proposal that the winddown debtor would have the sole
25 authority to object to claims has been deleted. Provisions

1 regarding the treatment of late claims have been modified to
2 make clear that they will be disallowed only as I so order.
3 Some Alameda FTX had at one point had objections, but it has
4 dropped its objections.

5 The Bank of New York had an absolutely curious
6 objection based on the odd fact that somebody apparently
7 purported recently to transfer some real property to
8 Voyager's name and Bank of New York has a mortgage lien on
9 that property. That one was relatively easy to dispense
10 with, and the confirmation order will provide Voyager is not
11 claiming any ownership or interest in that property and that
12 the automatic stay is no bar to the Bank of New York doing
13 whatever it needs to do to enforce its mortgage.

14 I had previously indicated yesterday that I
15 thought that the plan needed to be modified to say that --
16 to delete the provisions that said that the plan
17 administrator and the Debtor do not have responsibility to
18 try to locate people for whom checks or other communications
19 were returned as undeliverable. Those provisions have been
20 changed and I am satisfied with those changes.

21 I also said that the proposed provisions regarding
22 professional fees had to be modified to make clear that
23 their fees and their compliance with the provisions of the
24 Bankruptcy Code have to continue through the effective date,
25 not just through the confirmation date. I haven't actually

1 seen if those changes have been made, but I cannot imagine
2 that they are controversial at all.

3 Finally, there are a few other objections that
4 were made but that I do not believe had merit. One owner of
5 the VGX token argued that owners of VGX are being unfairly
6 discriminated against. This is based primarily on the fact
7 that there is no guarantee that the VGX token will continue
8 to be traded in a meaningful way and therefore assurance
9 that the VGX token will continue to have much if any value.

10 I note that under the terms of the plan, the
11 amount of the allowed claims that customers have based on
12 their VGX holdings will be based on the value that VGX had
13 on the date that the cases were commenced and not based on
14 its current value.

15 However, to the extent that some of the customers'
16 distributions will be in the form of VGX, the values of
17 those distributions will be based on the value that VGX has
18 on a specified date in advance of the actual distributions.

19 The goal is to try, if possible, to make some in-
20 kind distributions if they can be made, thereby possibly
21 reducing tax consequences. But we have no guarantee that
22 will work from a tax perspective.

23 I do not believe the Debtors are in a position to
24 guarantee that VGX will continue to be traded any more than
25 the Debtors can guarantee that any of the other coins that

1 will be distributed will continue to be traded. Similarly,
2 the Debtors can make no guarantees about what will happen to
3 the future values of any of the coins. Those are all things
4 that will depend on market forces.

5 Customers who elect to receive in-kind
6 distributions instead of cash distributions will receive VGX
7 if they previously owned some VGX. But I don't think this
8 amounts to a deferential treatment or an improper
9 discrimination in violation of any code requirements.

10 The gist of the suggestion I think yesterday was
11 that it is okay to treat other cryptocurrencies as having
12 values based upon their market prices, but that somehow the
13 market prices of VGX are actually illusory. Ordinarily, the
14 market price of an asset represents the market's assessment
15 of the potential risk and rewards associated with that
16 asset. Even when a stock or other marketable item may
17 appear to have little future prospect of value, it may
18 nevertheless carry an option value based on the possibility
19 that circumstances will occur that will lead to future
20 values. Those option values or other market values are real
21 values in the absence of proof to the contrary. And I
22 certainly understand the objectors' worries about VGX, but I
23 have no contrary proof here or nothing that would allow me
24 essentially to say that the VGX token should be excluded
25 from the distribution process and treated just as though it

1 didn't exist and just as though its value was a nullity.

2 I have one objection to the effect that the plan
3 does not provide for a recovery for the holders of equity at
4 the ultimate holding company level. In fact, the plan makes
5 clear that those equity holders will be entitled to
6 distributions if that ultimate holding company has assets
7 once it pays its own creditors in full, although the current
8 predictions are that that will not happen.

9 I believe I have addressed all of the objections
10 that have been filed. And for the reasons stated, I have
11 overruled the remaining objections. I therefore will
12 confirm the plan subject to the changes that I have
13 described.

14 As to the confirmation order, there are a number
15 of provisions that seem to me to be superfluous or
16 repetitive or otherwise unnecessary, or in a few instances
17 improper. And I have made substantial revisions to the
18 proposed confirmation order. And this morning, without
19 making any changes that would suggest how I would rule here,
20 I gave a form of confirmation order to the Debtors that
21 showed those other changes that I would require in the event
22 I were to confirm the plan. There are a lot of questions
23 and a lot of things that are in the draft order that may not
24 necessarily work in light of other provisions that I
25 understand are in the plan, but I'm not going to try to

1 address those now. We will over the course of the next day
2 or so try to work out the terms of the final confirmation
3 order and any of those remaining issues will be resolved in
4 that process.

5 Is there anything else that anybody thinks I need
6 to address in the course of this decision on the
7 confirmation?

8 MR. ARONOFF: Your Honor, this is Peter Aronoff
9 from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of
10 New York.

11 We had filed a letter around midday today
12 requesting that the Court modify the provision in the
13 confirmation order regarding the 14-day default stay
14 (indiscernible). I know other parties have also raised
15 that. I just wanted to (indiscernible).

16 THE COURT: I suspect that's why Mr. Morrissey is
17 also standing up. I'm not going to give you the 14-day
18 stay, but I'm not going to force some poor district judge to
19 live with the kind of schedule I've been living with over
20 the last five days. And so I will give you a -- if we enter
21 an order tomorrow, I will stay its effect until Monday.

22 MR. ARONOFF: Understood, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Before I say that I'm going to do
24 that, is that going to violate some term of the Binance U.S.
25 deal?

1 MR. SLADE: That's why I was looking at Mr.
2 Goldberg.

3 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, Adam Goldberg with
4 Latham & Watkins. Binance U.S.'s goal is to complete this
5 transaction as quickly as possible. If I could have two
6 minutes to confer with the Debtors, we could see if that's
7 an issue.

8 THE COURT: Okay.

9 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, that would -- we are
10 okay with that provision. Thank you.

11 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. All right. I do
12 have another issue, but do you have a point on the
13 confirmation itself, Mr. Morrissey?

14 MR. MORRISSEY: Your Honor, on the stay issue,
15 frankly, Your Honor, I have to get back to the U.S. Trustee
16 regarding whether Monday is okay. The issue obviously is,
17 as I'm sure the people here are aware, is whether we would
18 need to request a stay pending appeal. We would all like to
19 avoid that scenario. But I have to find out whether the
20 extension until Monday will put that issue to bed, at least
21 for now. Thank you, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: All right. Okay. I also have before
23 me a motion to appoint a trustee or motions to --

24 MS. DIRESTA: Your Honor, can I ask a question
25 about the customer data?

1 THE COURT: Let me finish this first. Okay?

2 MS. DIRESTA: Okay, thank you.

3 THE COURT: But promise I won't forget you.

4 I have a motion to appoint a trustee or motions to
5 appoint a trustee and/or to remove the Unsecured Creditors'
6 Committee members and replace its advisors.

7 The Code provides that I may appoint a trustee for
8 cause at any time before the confirmation of the plan, of
9 course based on my decision that we are now less than a day
10 away from the entry of an order confirming a plan. There is
11 no way, even if I were to order the appointment of a
12 trustee, that one would be in place before the whole issue
13 would be moot, as the confirmation order will have been
14 entered. I know that's frustrating for the people who have
15 raised the motion, but I also think that while the motion
16 makes a lot of accusations that actually have not really
17 been answered by the Debtors, at this late stage in the case
18 and right during the middle of the confirmation process, I
19 can't see how the issues that were raised were of a kind
20 that would provide cause to interrupt that process and to
21 throw everything back into disarray. It just wouldn't have
22 made sense to me if for reasons I said yesterday I didn't
23 really think that there was cause at this stage of the case.

24 I also have two motions for returns of assets that
25 essentially argue that customers should be allowed to take

1 out everything that was in their accounts and shouldn't be
2 given only partial distributions as the plan contemplates.
3 I simply cannot allow that. No bankruptcy case could allow
4 that. If one customer started doing that, it would just
5 mean that the next customer would get less. And the whole
6 point of the Bankruptcy Code is to make sure that everybody
7 gets equal distributions. And since there's not enough to
8 go around here, nobody can get everything that was in their
9 accounts. That's simply a basic matter of bankruptcy. So I
10 will deny those objections.

11 And you had a question about the customer
12 information? On the phone? I'm surprised I don't already -
13 -

14 MS. DIRESTA: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: I'm surprised I don't already
16 recognize your names or your voices, but I'm afraid I don't.

17 MS. DIRESTA: Gina DiResta, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Yes.

19 MS. DIRESTA: So I wanted to ask, my home address,
20 is that part of the level one or level two KYC that was
21 being mentioned?

22 THE COURT: Do you know the answer?

23 MS. DIRESTA: Because I want to know if my home
24 address is going to stay with Binance or not.

25 MR. GOLDBERG: Adam Goldberg of Latham & Watkins

1 on behalf of Binance U.S., Your Honor. Home addresses would
2 be part of level one data that would be transferred.

3 THE COURT: I'm sure that's level one.

4 MS. DIRESTA: Okay. And then what about the
5 biometric? You didn't mention that.

6 MR. GOLDBERG: Could you specify what you mean?

7 MS. DIRESTA: When you have to hold the phone to
8 your face and it does this biometric configuration of your
9 face and captures your data and it stores all of that.

10 MR. GOLDBERG: I mean, I would think that's part
11 of the selfies that would be available. So customers will
12 be entitled to opt out of the transfer of that data to
13 Binance U.S.

14 THE COURT: Your phone recognizes your face.
15 That's just on your phone, isn't it?

16 MR. SLADE: Yeah. I think the biometrics is an
17 Apple function, not --

18 MS. DIRESTA: No, it gets sent.

19 THE COURT: It gets sent? That's news to me.

20 MS. DIRESTA: Yeah, the biometric information does
21 get sent to the company.

22 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, we have agreed that we
23 are not acquiring selfies or this data for customers that
24 opt out.

25 THE COURT: Do you mind adding biometric data to

1 what you're agreeing to exclude?

2 MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Okay. So they will exclude that.

4 MS. DIRESTA: Okay. And with regard to the social
5 security information. And the attorney for Binance was
6 saying that it would be costly and it would cost \$20 per
7 customer and there's a million customers. I just want to
8 address the math on that. On paper, there's about 1 million
9 customers of Voyager. I believe there's about 700,000
10 accounts that have under \$100. And I believe there's about
11 400,000 accounts that are under \$10. So those are kind of
12 more like (indiscernible) accounts. And you can kind of see
13 that with how many people actually participated in voting,
14 which is under 62,000 people. And then supposedly 175,000
15 people have already opened accounts. There are only 2,117
16 people who voted no to the plan like I did. So if you
17 assume that in a two-week opt-out period that all 2,117
18 people were to opt out, that only equates to \$32,340 that
19 Binance would have to pay if you pretend that all of those
20 people decide to turn around and open an account. So I just
21 (indiscernible) company that deals in billions of dollars,
22 that that's a lot of money and that that would break them.
23 And if they think it will, I'm happy to pay the \$20 to
24 reinstate all of my KYC information for level one in the
25 event I ever lose my mind and decide to open a Binance

1 account. But I just don't think when you look at it
2 mathematically there's justification for holding the social
3 security number. I just don't think it's justified based on
4 the math.

5 THE COURT: Can you take that back to your client,
6 Mr. Goldberg?

7 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, Adam Goldberg from
8 Latham & Watkins on behalf of Binance U.S. I will of course
9 take that back to my client, but I fully expect the answer
10 will be we are not making any changes to the deal that has
11 been proposed and that is supported by 97 percent of
12 customers voting.

13 MS. DIRESTA: Thank you for allowing me to speak,
14 Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. LOREN: Your Honor, this is John Loren, pro se
17 creditor. I have a few questions regarding Binance.

18 THE COURT: Just hang on. Hang on one second, Mr.
19 Loren.

20 Mr. Goldberg, I've given you a lot of these things
21 to take back. In the real world, I don't know how many
22 people will take advantage of this election that I am making
23 available and just what effect it would be or especially
24 what effect it would be if, as the customer just suggested,
25 people who made the election and then wanted to be Binance

1 customers would have to pay you the \$20 that you say it
2 would cost. I understand you don't want to do this and
3 wouldn't want to do this if, you know, it would mean 700,000
4 potential customers are going to leave you. I find it hard
5 to believe that that's really what we're talking about here.
6 And it certainly makes me more comfortable if customers have
7 the right to stop their social security information. So
8 just keep that in mind and talk to your client as a
9 practical matter about whether it's really so important that
10 they want to make me try to figure out what I have to do
11 here and whether it's a small enough issue that they could
12 just do what I've asked. Okay?

13 MR. GOLDBERG: I understand, Your Honor. Just to
14 give you some insight onto this issue, we sought to
15 negotiate a way to leave behind all of this data with the
16 Debtor. It would require a meaningful price modification
17 per customer that opted out. And the Debtors flatly
18 rejected that. Understandably. This is I think in the end
19 therefore an issue of business judgement of the Debtors in
20 selling their assets. Thank you, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Mr. Loren, you
22 had a question.

23 MR. LOREN: That is correct, Your Honor. Thank
24 you for your time. I have two questions in regards to the
25 Binance withdrawal process. There are fees to withdraw

1 crypto from Binance. Will that fee be waived for Voyager
2 users or are we expected to pay Binance to withdraw?

3 THE COURT: Does anybody here know?

4 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, I don't know. I think
5 all of that would be disclosed on the Binance U.S. website.

6 THE COURT: I don't think any of the attorneys
7 here know the answer to your question.

8 MR. LOREN: Okay. I am on the Binance website,
9 and there are fees to withdraw. So (indiscernible) pay
10 Binance to get our money. The second question is in regards
11 to the daily withdrawal limit. I believe there's a limit of
12 \$5,000 U.S. Dollars per day to withdraw from Binance. Will
13 that be waived for Voyager users? Will my claim take a
14 month to get my money out of Binance?

15 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, Adam Goldberg with
16 Latham & Watkins on behalf of Binance U.S.

17 I am being told that the limit depends upon the
18 level of KYC that a customer complies with. So if they
19 achieve the KYC two level with the additional document
20 uploads, then there would be different withdrawal limits.
21 But I would expect all of this information is available
22 through Binance U.S. website and customer service inquiries.

23 MR. LOREN: Got it. Because the website I see is
24 showing \$5,000 withdrawal per day. And that's going to take
25 me a month to get my money. And I have to pay them to get

1 my money, too. It's very sad.

2 THE COURT: Let me ask you to -- I certainly have
3 no ability to answer this question or to deal with it. This
4 is a practical question. Let me ask you to get in touch or
5 ask the Debtor's counsel to get in touch with you and see if
6 they can facilitate putting you in touch with somebody who
7 can give you a very precise answer to your questions. Okay?

8 MR. LOREN: Okay. Thank you for your time.

9 THE COURT: All right. Anything else?

10 MR. SLADE: Not from us, Your Honor. Thank you
11 very much.

12 THE COURT: All right. Yes.

13 MR. ARONOFF: Your Honor, it's Peter Aronoff from
14 the U.S. Attorney's Office again. I'm trying to run some
15 things down here, talking with several people.

16 Just returning to the question of the stay. You
17 know, we were obviously trying to move as quickly as
18 possible to try to make a decision about whether to seek an
19 appeal. That would require some coordination from the
20 government. And this is an issue that from my perspective
21 only arose just within the last few days. And so we're now
22 -- we're moving as quickly as we can, but it takes time.

23 I believe this is a situation where having a
24 little more time on the stay now will lessen the need for
25 emergency applications when it's possible wouldn't be

1 necessary if we just have an extra few days. And so I would
2 ask that the Court --

3 THE COURT: Listen, you discuss that with the
4 parties if you can work that out with the parties. If you
5 can convince them that there's some prospect that you're not
6 actually going to pursue the issue, you can look at it
7 further. I don't know, maybe you can work something out
8 with them. But in the first instance, do that. Okay?

9 MR. ARONOFF: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: All right. Your judge is very tired
11 and he's going to --

12 MR. HENDERSHOTT: Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Yes.

14 MR. HENDERSHOTT: Your Honor, Tracy Hendershott,
15 pro se creditor. One question and one comment if I may.

16 THE COURT: Yes.

17 MR. HENDERSHOTT: The question is actually for pro
18 se creditor Lisa Trevino, who has had to focus on her day
19 job today. And she asked me to just put into the record if
20 there was any follow-up with her action to getting data back
21 that she requested from the Debtors. Your Honor, once this
22 confirmation is done today, she wasn't sure if she was able
23 to engage with you any further to follow up on that. So I
24 committed to her I would ask that in the hearing on her
25 behalf.

1 MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, Christine Okike on behalf
2 of Kirkland & Ellis. We will provide her with the data.
3 And if she needs an extension of the deadline with respect
4 to the merit objection, we'll allow for that.

5 THE COURT: Very good.

6 MR. HENDERSHOTT: Thank you. I will relay that to
7 her. Thank you both.

8 And then just one comment. I did want to clarify,
9 Your Honor, your interpretation of our request for having a
10 third party (indiscernible) -- I'm drawing a blank. I'm
11 tired. We're all tired -- for the winddown trustee. We
12 weren't looking for a snarling bit pull, Your Honor. We
13 were looking for effectiveness. You've seen the UCC go
14 along with every single exception and (indiscernible) all
15 along we just didn't have confidence that there would be a
16 level of (indiscernible), which is different. Effectiveness
17 is different than a snarling pit bull. I just wanted to
18 clarify that.

19 THE COURT: All right.

20 MR. HENDERSHOTT: Thank you, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Well, if that's true, maybe I'll
22 delete that part of my discussion and commentary from the
23 final version of the decision.

24 MR. HENDERSHOTT: Thank you, Judge.

25 THE COURT: I thought I understood it differently.

1 But thank you for the clarification.

2 MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, on behalf of the Debtors,
3 we just want to thank you for taking extensive time to
4 really help us navigate through very complex and challenging
5 issues. So we really appreciate it.

6 THE COURT: okay.

7 MR. GOLDBERG: Gratitude to Your Honor and all of
8 your staff and chambers. Thank you. The Committee thanks
9 you as well.

10 THE COURT: Thank you all for your submissions,
11 and we are adjourned.

12 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at
13 5:14 PM)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I N D E X

RULINGS

	Page	Line
Amended Plan Confirmed	107	12

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Sonya M. Ledanski Hyde".

Sonya Ledanski Hyde

Veritext Legal Solutions

330 Old Country Road

Suite 300

Mineola, NY 11501

Date: March 10, 2023

[& - 97]

Page 1

&	11501 122:23	84:14,15	477 59:10
& 3:3,17 5:8,15 65:6 109:4 111:25 114:8 116:16 119:2	118 34:12 12 121:5 120 84:5 12151 122:6 1271 3:5 12th 4:18 139 84:6 14 34:14 71:8 108:13,17 140 73:13 1725 84:14 175,000 113:14 18 8:6 18:3 84:15 1850 4:18 198 73:12	2023 1:15 18:3 18:4,7 54:6,9 55:21 57:21 72:21 73:17 122:25 20549 4:12 22-10943 1:3 2274 84:20 23 55:21 28 57:21 2:10 1:16 2d 78:12 2nd 23:7	478 78:12 48 96:1 482 59:10
1	2 29:5,7 33:24 37:8 2,117 113:15 113:17 20 9:12 34:15 66:9 70:20 84:20 95:10 113:6,23 115:1 2000 8:6 20036 4:19 2007 78:13 84:6 2008 84:1 2011 59:10 2019 83:14 2021 84:16,20 84:21 2022 46:21 53:20 54:1,3	3 3 33:5,14,20 34:6,8 300 122:22 3020 34:11 32,340 113:18 330 122:21 34 56:17 36 56:17 369 84:5 3:00 33:19 69:19 3rd 5:3 23:7	5 5,000 116:12 116:24 51 3:12 519 83:25 599 83:14 5:14 120:13
1 8:18,21 9:5,9 10:20 11:7,13 11:20 12:7,25 13:20,23 14:10 14:22 15:15,18 16:6,18 17:11 17:14 18:4,7 22:10 86:12,16 113:8 10 77:12,14 113:11 122:25 100 4:11 56:21 58:18 71:16 113:10 10004 1:13 4:4 10007 5:4 10010 3:13 10017 5:11 10020 3:6 10022 3:20 10036 5:18 107 121:5 11 49:15 50:1 50:25 86:16 1114 5:17 1123 97:8 1129 49:15 50:1,25 96:22 1142 86:12,16 86:17 87:22	2	3	6 6 37:8 601 3:19 61 19:9 62 19:9 62,000 113:14 623 84:16 640 83:25 655-657 83:25
		4	7 7 1:15 71:3,9 74:1,10,14,18 700,000 113:9 115:3 717 83:14 7th 84:1
			8 852 82:22 86 5:3 863 73:13
			9 90 71:5 97 114:11

a	acceptable	accusation	46:16 48:24
abide 37:25	81:15	42:24	49:1 91:4
38:3	acceptances	accusations	92:22
abided 34:15	83:9	42:18 43:4	activity 52:4
abilities 68:12	accepted 97:2	95:4 110:16	acts 86:20
ability 9:20	access 46:21	achieve 116:19	actual 10:5,11
11:1 38:18	accomplished	achieved 57:7	30:4,12 31:22
66:16 67:22	30:11 98:11	acknowledge	37:6 42:2,23
89:1 117:3	account 8:2,12	18:14	43:12 47:15
able 22:17	11:4,6,11 13:3	acknowledged	51:24 72:8,8
31:11 32:19	56:16 61:7	80:22 99:4	78:23 80:17
54:9 58:1 62:7	64:10,21 66:23	acknowledges	81:3 91:16
62:10 64:8	67:3,4,10,11	26:20	92:4,7 105:18
67:24 74:24,24	68:16 69:1	acquire 12:11	actually 11:11
118:22	70:13 83:6	acquired 8:3	12:3,25 13:2
above 70:6,15	87:10 98:4	14:10 66:4	23:16 27:18
87:13 93:4	100:10 113:20	acquiring	30:11 41:23,23
absence 11:16	114:1	66:14 112:23	42:6,10 44:6
58:22 106:21	accountholder	act 80:14 93:20	44:10 51:20
absolute 43:2	96:12,13	101:7	56:9 58:23
absolutely	accountholders	acting 26:13	59:21 64:4
13:18 15:11	37:12 38:10,18	48:22 60:18	68:21 70:5,15
16:1 25:24	38:20 42:15	action 20:17	74:2 77:6
26:25 30:3	50:17 54:12	26:5 31:13,21	80:25 81:10
31:3 42:23	56:15 60:18,24	48:14,16,17	87:17 88:18
59:18 92:4	61:22 62:14	49:6 50:4	90:21 93:10
102:9,14 103:3	70:8 81:18	79:19 90:25	94:21 99:10
104:5	97:3,11 100:22	94:1 118:20	100:11 101:18
abstained	accounts 38:9	actions 9:14	102:19,24
101:20	64:3 68:22,25	28:23 29:12	104:25 106:13
absurd 22:5	87:8 111:1,9	40:20,22 41:1	110:16 113:13
56:7 88:11	113:10,11,12	41:2 48:13	118:6,17
abundantly	113:15	81:21 82:12,18	ad 5:16 7:8
29:20	accurate 55:7	83:18	103:7
accept 96:23	55:9 122:4	activities 10:15	adam 3:8
97:4	accurately	20:21 29:23	34:20 65:6
	43:8	43:23,24 45:6	109:3 111:25

[adam - amended]

Page 3

114:7 116:15 add 91:15 added 73:16 adding 15:3 112:25 addition 13:24 15:20 19:25 28:24 49:11 50:6 73:18 75:4 90:7 91:17 additional 12:22 14:8,23 14:24 35:14 53:4 55:2 65:1 65:9,25 69:11 70:16 77:13,23 87:9 103:10 116:19 additions 85:5 address 13:13 17:12 18:2 43:13 47:17,20 48:8 51:23 60:13 62:5 65:16 108:1,6 111:19,24 113:8 addressed 107:9 addresses 8:21 112:1 adequate 72:18 adjourned 120:11 administering 83:2	administrative 46:25 74:9,13 administrator 100:18 101:2 102:5,8,13,20 104:17 admissible 42:24 51:25 59:18 admitted 57:19 adopt 40:18 adopted 84:13 advance 9:3 12:4 13:19 105:18 advanced 65:17,20 advantage 114:22 adversary 103:2 adversely 39:6 41:8 advice 29:18 101:5 advisors 79:11 110:6 aegean 83:13 83:15 84:12 85:2 92:8 affect 39:6 41:3 48:18 49:25 50:8 60:12 74:16 102:2 affected 41:9 73:11 82:7	affecting 19:15 89:20 affiliated 42:19 affiliates 79:14 affirmative 26:25 29:14 75:11 86:17 affirmatively 10:7 16:24 44:24 75:17 88:3 97:14 afraid 111:16 afternoon 28:11 35:5 agencies 51:14 agent 60:19 aggressive 102:14,15,16 ago 13:1 agree 9:13 35:2 40:6 77:8 89:12 94:11,12 agreed 9:11 14:12 61:12,14 62:25 64:7 65:19 68:14 70:16 75:2 84:24 97:20 103:12 112:22 agreeing 113:1 agreement 17:22 63:3,8 68:2 80:14 agreements 7:21 agrees 69:18	ahead 13:25 17:24 23:20 26:2 28:10 65:3 airadigm 83:24 83:25 airlines 84:14 99:12 alameda 73:9 74:9,11,12 104:3 alexander 4:3 alignment 66:11 allegedly 45:2 49:5 52:16 73:8 77:12 95:18 97:6 allow 16:14 26:24 65:23 80:1 106:23 111:3,3 119:4 allowed 26:6 97:13 99:14,16 105:11 110:25 allowing 114:13 allows 13:6 alter 21:2 91:10 alternative 30:20 71:2 alternatives 39:2 amazon 57:3 amended 103:21 121:5
--	---	--	--

america 5:2	anyway 29:24	applied 40:11	89:25 90:3
american	apa 18:1,15,16	applies 11:8	93:16 95:13
99:12	18:18	apply 73:4	101:22
americas 3:5	apart 32:24	98:15	approves 93:10
5:17	70:20	appoint 109:23	approving 51:8
amount 37:14	apologize	110:4,5,7	84:1,6
100:13 102:12	23:21 65:4	appointed	approximately
105:11	apparent 41:16	102:4	71:5,7,16
amounts 39:3	58:7	appointment	april 18:4,7
91:20 99:23	apparently	103:6 110:11	architects
106:8	38:21 43:5	appreciate	44:17
analysis 70:24	45:25 53:21	22:24 37:17	aren't 21:22
analytics 16:7	84:23 100:21	38:4 48:11	102:22
16:11	104:6	51:2 68:7	argue 46:15
announce	appeal 109:18	120:5	89:1 92:15,17
33:12 35:9,25	117:19	appropriate	97:6 110:25
announced	appear 96:11	22:7 23:5,17	argued 38:14
40:24	103:16 106:17	25:12 26:10	38:24 39:2
annoying	appeared	60:9 83:22	43:15,17 54:25
103:1	78:18 79:10	89:5,13	63:5 91:19
answer 49:2,10	appears 61:19	approval 22:2	99:8 105:5
111:22 114:9	73:19 95:21	38:6 63:11	argument
116:7 117:3,7	apple 112:17	72:20 75:24	21:22 37:10
answered	apples 74:7	86:10	46:19 49:11
100:25 110:17	applicable	approvals 53:4	72:8 73:14
answering	19:15 20:13,22	53:10,11 62:10	80:23 85:22
69:22	21:4 22:7	62:12 87:9	99:5
anticipate 55:1	51:12 53:1	96:6,7 99:1	arguments
anxious 47:12	58:5 70:13	approve 35:22	27:25 47:24
anybody 22:6	77:16,19 83:3	47:13 48:19	72:5 92:1
29:19 31:4	83:7 87:6	85:11	arising 80:6
32:13 33:23	89:19 90:21	approved	84:2
34:2,5 91:17	91:5,11 93:21	19:21 26:22	arm's 78:2
102:5 108:5	98:8	31:24 32:10	aronoff 4:6
116:3	applications	56:3 73:18	108:8,8,22
anybody's 43:7	117:25	78:16 82:18	117:13,13
		83:19 84:12	118:9

arose 117:21	57:1,6,22,24	attorneys 3:4	112:11 114:23
arrangement 59:3 60:11,24	57:25 58:1,3	3:11,18 4:2,10	116:21
arrangements 16:25 63:6	59:16,20 60:16	4:16,17 5:2,9	avenue 3:5,12
80:11	60:21,22,23	5:16 37:18	3:19 5:10,17
arrest 26:4	61:1,6,15,19	44:15 116:6	avoid 10:10
arrows 41:9	70:11,11 71:16	attorney's 22:17 108:9	109:19
76:22 77:7	77:5,10 107:6	aug 84:20	avoidance 20:8
78:6	110:24 115:20	august 54:3	90:16
arrows' 41:10	assist 76:18	authorities 17:17 21:12	avoiding 13:9
article 61:19	associated 100:20 106:15	22:7,8 53:16	aware 26:8
articles 42:17	association 4:16	91:24 92:7,10	35:10,11 39:18
asked 45:9,10	assume 24:1	93:4 95:13,15	39:25 42:1
48:19,20,23	113:17	authority 20:11,25 26:5	50:2 109:17
57:13 60:9	assuming 18:7	26:16 28:7	azman 5:13
61:21 63:17,24	assumptions 71:18,19	29:23 30:16	24:16,16,20
91:15 115:12	assurance 105:8	59:6 75:11	25:1,4 26:2,3
118:19	assurances 23:13 54:23	77:16 90:19	26:25 27:5
asking 36:21	55:3,18,23	91:7 95:6,7	b
aspect 30:8	56:19 57:12	103:25	b 1:21 49:23
31:24 87:15	58:14 70:16	authorized 46:6 84:17	96:22
aspects 30:7	assure 55:12	86:3	b.r. 59:9 83:14
45:18 47:23	attached 70:24	authorizes 83:21	84:5,16
assault 40:23	attempting 88:22,23 93:20	authorizing 18:16 27:16	back 15:7 17:8
asserted 77:21	attention 51:13	89:2	18:3 19:25
77:22,24 79:20	attesting 57:8	automatic 104:12	35:11,15 68:10
79:24 96:18	attitude 102:18	automatically 64:17	69:11 85:1,15
assertion 85:8	attorney 33:24	available 39:1	109:15 110:21
assessment 106:14	101:3 113:5	62:22 71:6	114:5,9,21
asset 57:3	attorney's 5:1	72:9,14 77:4	118:20
106:14,16	117:14		backdrop 39:23
assets 41:16,24			background 39:15
42:3,4,11,25			backup 38:11
46:22 54:24			bad 82:4
56:22,24,25			balance 17:7

bank 8:1,2,12 8:12 11:4,4 64:3,10,10 68:16,16 104:5 104:8,12 bankr 59:10 83:14 84:6,14 84:14,16,20,20 bankruptcy 1:1,11,23 26:5 26:10 27:2,14 27:25 28:2,8 29:25 39:10,24 41:11,21 46:20 46:23 49:14,15 51:1 58:24 59:1,5 75:6,10 77:13 82:13,15 82:25 84:7,9 86:12 90:1 93:3,14,16 95:9,14 96:22 97:8 99:4,11 99:23,25 102:6 104:24 111:3,6 111:9 bar 21:1 27:2 91:8 104:12 bargaining 78:2 barnea 5:6 22:16,16 25:11 25:11 29:13 barring 85:6 92:12,13 93:24 bars 46:11	base 95:2 based 10:24 16:23 19:19 20:5 28:7 30:12 37:1 51:24 59:13 65:21 71:19 74:7,21 80:5 81:23,24 83:16 86:6 88:17 89:24 90:12 104:6 105:6,11 105:12,13,17 106:12,18 110:9 114:3 bases 46:8 48:15 57:17 basic 31:23 65:15,24 93:5 111:9 basically 19:2 32:7 basis 8:22 27:17 43:9 45:11 57:23 72:17 97:9 100:5 bear 10:1,4 bed 109:20 began 37:7 beginning 30:4 behalf 7:23 35:6 46:2 65:7 79:20 112:1 114:8 116:16 118:25 119:1 120:2	behavior 42:2 belated 73:2 belied 28:1 95:8 beliefs 46:8 believe 18:24 19:12 25:22 51:15 54:5 55:7 60:3 70:14 71:4 75:19 76:24,25 80:22 81:5 85:12 96:15,16 97:23 99:7 101:24 105:4 105:23 107:9 113:9,10 115:5 116:11 117:23 believed 60:11 71:11 87:5 believes 28:19 45:17,20,25 47:10 51:10 72:6 81:20 93:22 belong 81:25 82:1 beneficial 60:21 61:6,18 70:10 beneficiaries 79:7 benefit 13:5 56:15 benefits 38:20 38:23 70:19 71:11 78:1	best 40:2 better 54:23 68:18 74:17 beyond 95:5,6 big 14:21 bigger 85:2 billions 113:21 binance 15:1 16:4 17:6 33:25 38:16 60:10,14,17,18 60:20,25 61:1 61:5,12,16,18 61:24 62:2,9 62:11,15,25 63:5,11,13,14 63:16,20,20,22 63:25 64:5,12 64:17,18,19,22 65:7,19,23 66:7,13,23,24 67:6 68:13,18 68:22,23,24 69:3,4,9,18 70:7,9,20,21 70:21 71:1,5 71:11,15 72:2 72:24 74:25 85:8 86:22 87:8,16 92:19 95:22 96:1,3,5 97:15,17,18,19 97:24 98:2,7 98:17 100:10 108:24 109:4 111:24 112:1 112:13 113:5
--	--	--	---

113:19,25 114:8,17,25 115:25 116:1,2 116:5,8,10,12 116:14,16,22 binance.us 3:4 9:13,19,23 10:6,15 11:22 13:6 16:10 30:9 38:9,12 38:15,16,17,25 39:3 40:1 41:6 42:19,25 44:4 44:6,10,21,25 45:21 46:16 48:2,4,21,24 48:25 49:7,24 50:10,13,20 52:4,11,13,21 54:23 55:16 56:11,13,16,19 56:19,21,24 57:1,2,5,13,15 57:21,24 58:1 58:2,3,15,16 59:19 binance.us. 9:11 10:11 13:24 34:21 38:11 39:14 43:10 50:15 54:13,20 58:9 binance.us' 45:6 binding 88:16 biometric 112:5,8,20,25	biometrics 112:16 birth 65:16 bit 13:17 17:4 23:16 119:12 blank 119:10 bodies 52:25 body 93:20 borders 59:9 bowling 1:12 brakes 31:8 breach 18:17 breaches 18:10 breadth 85:12 break 59:25 113:22 breakage 14:3 brief 59:25,25 bring 23:12 34:21 51:13 56:5 bringing 15:19 broad 79:5,17 81:2 82:23 95:4 broadcasting 84:5 broader 25:7 29:3 broadly 82:10 broker 44:5,8 48:2,23 49:24 52:5 brokers 40:3 44:22 bull 10:2,2 66:8 102:13	119:17 bunch 21:11 burden 43:18 44:9 bureau 65:13 business 30:9 42:2,19,20 50:10 52:22 55:16 57:14 59:2,2,9,17,22 60:5,12 63:16 66:19 68:1 77:22 80:10 87:16 115:19 busy 13:4 buy 68:3 buyers 58:21 buying 63:23 bylaws 77:25 c c 3:1 4:7 7:1 61:19 73:12 122:1,1 calculated 100:4 calculations 71:10,14,18 called 50:12 52:20 61:23 71:17 92:19 calls 30:13 camera 45:11 cancel 68:4 can't 19:23 21:21 25:22 28:1 34:1 90:3 110:19	capability 52:11 capacities 70:5 capacity 56:14 57:23 79:14,25 capital 56:23 80:6 captures 112:9 careful 80:20 carried 31:24 86:21 93:11 carry 84:19 86:15 106:18 carrying 86:14 case 1:3 7:4 27:25 37:15 39:7,16,21,24 41:19 42:8,15 46:20,23 47:5 50:11 66:11 75:10,13 77:19 82:13,20 84:8 84:10,10,12,22 85:2 86:21 91:22 99:12 100:25 101:5 102:8 110:17 110:23 111:3 caselaw 77:20 cases 11:14 28:8,20 37:17 40:10 46:23 59:10 79:11 82:25 84:13 105:13 cash 10:11 13:24 38:19
--	--	---	--

[cash - clear]

Page 8

62:3,4,11,16 62:19,23 96:8 97:12,13,19,21 99:25 106:6 cast 58:16 category 15:19 15:21 16:6 cause 79:19 110:8,20,23 causes 31:21 cease 18:11 20:21 91:4 celsius 42:2,7 ceo 76:13 101:10 certain 18:1 20:1,11 48:24 52:21 57:14 60:10 71:20 72:2 75:22 76:16 77:24 82:11,11 85:16 90:8,18 96:24 98:11 certainly 12:22 14:23 17:2 22:19 25:12 28:13 29:14 39:23 41:17 42:5,8 43:7 46:3 58:21 102:24 106:22 115:6 117:2 certificate 57:16,19 certifications 57:8	certified 122:3 cetera 64:4 cftc 40:8,23 54:2 challenge 89:11 93:7 challenges 76:5 challenging 120:4 chambers 5:3 36:23 120:8 chance 30:21 37:21 64:11 chances 85:25 change 18:1 61:13,21 63:1 63:1 66:9 76:10 97:20 changed 15:7 16:5 99:17 104:20 changes 12:19 35:19 36:5 50:16 60:10 99:19 104:20 105:1 107:12 107:19,21 114:10 chapter 71:3,9 74:1,10,14,18 characterize 55:8 characterized 40:15,16 charge 76:16 chase 15:4 16:2	chats 8:23 check 11:24 12:22 13:23 14:2,9,19 checks 12:5,10 13:7 65:11 104:18 chief 76:14 choice 21:17 25:20 75:9 87:2 88:19 choose 61:2 63:19 chose 75:6 97:11 christine 3:22 7:22 35:1 119:1 cip 65:12 cir 78:12 84:1 circled 85:15 circuit 77:16 circulated 54:6 82:21 circumstances 10:25 106:19 citations 37:2 78:13 cited 59:10 92:9 93:4 95:7 civil 25:7 claim 20:4 31:11,20 74:10 74:11,13,13 78:6 79:21,23 80:3,9 81:23 90:11 97:1	116:13 claiming 104:11 claims 74:23 75:2,15 76:6 76:13,16,20,20 76:23,25 77:5 77:6,8,20 78:7 79:6 80:21,25 81:3,24 82:3,4 84:9 90:4 99:14,14,15,16 100:6 102:17 103:9,23,25 104:1 105:11 clarification 45:9 120:1 clarifications 45:24 clarified 60:15 clarify 24:18 119:8,18 clarity 27:13 class 97:1,2,3,3 97:7 classes 96:23 clear 25:4 29:20 30:3 37:4 38:21 55:15 65:24 66:16 70:4,6 74:22 75:8 77:16 85:12 97:24 101:22 103:14 104:2 104:22 107:5
---	--	---	--

[clearance - conceded]

Page 9

clearance 46:5 65:25 clearer 40:5 clearly 38:2 60:16 clears 69:22 client 12:8 16:20 17:14 33:6,20 65:8 69:12 114:5,9 115:8 clients' 103:2 close 18:6 38:12 68:22 101:9 closer 22:25 closes 55:13 66:23 closing 18:7,9 18:14 coal 84:16 code 30:1 49:15 51:1 59:1,5 86:13 86:16 87:22 96:22 97:8 99:4,23 104:24 106:9 110:7 111:6 coincidence 66:11 coins 105:25 106:3 collapse 41:12 colloquial 14:4 combined 22:20	come 13:24 15:7 28:14 29:25 31:11 67:24 77:9 comes 39:17 comfortable 115:6 commenced 105:13 comment 25:1 118:15 119:8 commentary 119:22 comments 24:17 38:16 47:20 65:22 72:22 81:13 commission 4:9,10 43:14 45:19,22 46:1 75:20 commit 26:12 committed 118:24 committee 3:11 5:9 7:9 20:25 24:17 35:7 76:17,19 76:22 77:1,2 78:9,10,11 79:8 80:19 81:10 91:7 100:20 101:4,5 101:7,11,15,18 101:21,22 102:1 110:6 120:8	committees' 101:20 committee's 100:23 commodities 40:7 commodity 9:15 common 39:10 communicati... 83:24,25 104:18 companies 42:19 company 9:19 10:12 53:23 107:4,6 112:21 113:21 company's 57:24 compared 71:8 71:9 74:8,10 comparison 37:16 74:7 compel 21:20 27:12 compelled 27:15 94:3 compelling 87:22 94:21 compels 93:2 competency 78:8 complained 70:18 73:7,20 73:22,23 81:18	complaint 72:25 95:12 complaints 55:6,11 complete 27:24 52:9 54:23 56:20 70:9 86:23 89:8 92:2 109:4 completed 38:13 56:13 completely 28:1,6 30:7 38:21 67:19 95:2,5,5,6,6 completeness 74:3 completing 13:23 completion 7:3 20:2,6 53:5 62:24 90:9,13 93:23 complex 120:4 compliance 21:3,11 57:8 83:3 91:11 104:23 complicating 41:5 complies 59:1 81:13 116:18 comply 58:5 59:5 86:15 conceded 93:12
--	--	---	---

concept 35:21 39:19 81:13 concern 32:3 32:16 68:20 concerns 22:18 22:22 23:9 31:5 53:18 60:13 64:6 102:11 conclude 48:13 59:21 60:4 78:14,25 88:20 concluded 76:19,23 120:12 conclusion 50:3 59:19 72:25 74:17 conclusions 36:17 54:20 57:18 conclusory 54:10 condition 18:14 81:20,24 conditional 51:4 conditions 18:7 18:9 71:20 96:24,24 conduct 10:7 10:16 11:23 20:11,13 38:1 84:7 90:19,21 conducted 42:2 57:17	confer 17:14 109:6 confidence 119:15 configuration 112:8 confirm 21:15 30:1 35:9 49:16 87:1 107:12,22 confirmation 7:3 18:18 19:1 19:8 20:9,12 32:24 33:11 36:12 38:7 46:11 49:17 51:6 69:15 81:12 84:3,18 84:25 85:4 86:20,21 87:12 87:21 88:3 89:7 90:17,20 92:21 93:2 104:10,25 107:14,18,20 108:2,7,13 109:13 110:8 110:13,18 118:22 confirmed 28:2 44:23 46:6 47:4 60:25 82:14 85:24 86:4 95:9 96:23 121:5 confirming 27:19 30:15	110:10 confirms 86:7 conflict 103:14 103:17 conflicts 101:1 102:1 congress 40:18 connection 84:3,9 connections 101:25 consensual 75:24,25 76:3 consent 57:15 75:12 consequence 93:6 consequences 48:21 105:21 consider 21:10 30:4 36:12,14 47:12 60:10 61:21 96:15 consideration 80:20 99:9 considerations 83:5 considered 47:18 52:3 77:20 93:14 considering 59:12 77:15,18 78:14 101:12 consistent 28:17 77:19 93:3	constant 55:16 constitute 31:25 43:19 46:3,3 consulted 65:8 consummation 53:9,12 contact 17:2 64:1 contain 43:25 82:15 contains 72:4 contemplated 20:17 21:1 50:23 51:18 52:7 53:2,5,13 87:6 89:8 90:25 91:9 93:7 contemplates 20:1 29:21 36:15 86:19,24 87:1,13,24 90:8 92:16,18 111:2 contemplating 88:10 contend 20:15 86:1 90:23 contended 44:18 53:16 54:18 71:25 73:14 87:11 contending 44:24 87:17 contends 46:11 88:4
---	---	--	---

[contention - creditors]

Page 11

contention 46:13 52:1,6 63:7 70:3 72:10 92:14,24 95:8 101:25	convinced 16:21 69:4	82:12,25 83:1 108:1,6 110:9 114:8	83:19,19,21,22 83:23 86:7,16 86:20 90:1
contentions 46:5 52:15	coordination 117:19	court 1:1,11	91:3 93:16,23
context 9:10 32:10 43:11 67:21 94:10	copy 32:20,20	2:1 7:2,8,12,17	94:11,12,14,19
contexts 74:14 74:16	cordry 4:21 23:1,1 31:16 31:16 32:15	8:7,11,14,17 8:20,25 9:8 10:18 11:3,10 11:15,25 12:15 13:11,21 14:5 14:14 15:3,16 15:22 16:13,21 17:13,15,20,24 18:5,12,20 19:10,21 20:20 20:23 22:1,15 23:18,20,23 24:3,5,11,13 24:19,21 25:3 25:10,12,15 26:6,10,10,14 26:16,18,23 27:2,3,6,14,23 27:24 29:8,17 32:12,17 33:2 33:8,16,23 34:1,2,7,10,17 34:23 35:8,13 35:17,21,25 36:7,9,11 37:6 37:23 46:13 49:16 51:5,22 60:2 65:3 66:21 67:5,12 68:7,10 69:15 69:20 80:12 82:18,19 83:16	108:12,16,23 109:8,11,22 110:1,3 111:15 111:18,22 112:3,14,19,25 113:3 114:5,15 114:18 115:21 116:3,6 117:2 117:9,12 118:2 118:3,10,13,16 119:5,19,21,25 120:6,10
continue 55:17 59:24 61:6 90:7 104:24 105:7,9,24 106:1	correct 7:7 34:6 37:2 58:19 61:16 97:24 115:23		courts 26:21 84:13 93:13
continued 37:8 37:9	corrected 37:5		court's 28:13 29:2
continues 41:6	corresponding 28:21		coverage 77:14
continuing 74:2	cost 10:6 13:9 65:25 66:9 113:6 115:2		covered 80:21
contract 62:1	costly 113:6		craft 22:13
contractual 80:10	couldn't 8:7 16:15 30:7 50:21		create 67:10
contrary 53:16 63:7 71:23 88:21 106:21 106:23	could've 21:24 49:8		credible 71:23
control 68:13 99:19	counsel 29:12 29:19 48:20 68:21 76:17 78:8 101:7 117:5		credibly 101:14
controversial 105:2	country 41:11 98:25 122:21		credited 61:7
conversations 55:22	couple 9:5		creditor 5:23 6:2,5 66:20 73:9 75:15 114:17 118:15 118:18
convince 16:23 118:5	course 12:10 31:4 36:11 37:5,20 58:17 60:9 71:19		creditors 19:22 20:3,7 36:15 37:16 46:21

47:1 71:7 75:4 75:7 78:10,11 79:9 82:21 90:10,14 92:18 97:21 99:13,22 100:4,6,7,21 102:7 107:7 creditors' 71:1 73:23,25 101:4 101:11,22 110:5 crime 26:12 crimes 65:13 criminal 25:7 25:13 26:6,11 26:17 criteria 40:10 criticism 103:4 cross 58:9 crying 15:25 crypto 13:1 66:8 116:1 cryptocurren... 20:3,7 21:5 29:22 38:19,25 39:7,19 40:7 40:22 41:1 43:19,22 51:17 56:10,14 61:25 62:8 70:4,7,22 86:25 90:9,14 91:13 92:18 96:9 97:11 106:11 cryptocurrency 9:25 39:4,20 40:3,13,19	48:4 96:2 99:8 100:3 curious 104:5 curiously 96:10 current 40:14 66:1 67:10 71:15 105:14 107:7 currently 40:1 41:21 88:10 custodial 56:14 57:23 custodied 42:5 custody 42:3 60:23 61:17 70:12 custom 4:3 customer 7:18 8:23 9:2,9,21 9:22 11:18,21 12:6,18 13:13 14:4,8,9,16,19 14:24 17:5,6,6 17:22 38:9 41:16,24 42:3 42:4,25 54:24 57:1,6,24 58:1 58:2 59:16,20 63:4,6,9,13,14 63:19,25,25 64:4,5,20,21 64:23 65:14 66:6,10,15,23 66:24,25 67:3 67:22,24 72:6 81:20 87:8	101:15 109:25 111:4,5,11 113:7 114:24 115:17 116:18 116:22 customers 7:19 7:20,25 9:13 11:8 12:10,13 14:13,25 38:10 41:25 42:11 46:21 54:13 56:12,23 57:22 61:1,8,17,25 62:1,2,6,8,16 62:17,18,20,22 63:10,11,15,15 63:19,20,24 64:7,15 65:24 66:10 67:6 68:12,15,21,24 69:6 70:17 72:7,9,15 75:22 81:25 82:5 95:19,20 96:1,4,8,19,19 97:13,15,15,19 98:1,7,7,13,21 99:2,9,10 100:11,15 101:8 105:11 106:5 110:25 112:11,23 113:7,9 114:12 115:1,4,6 customers' 56:22,25 105:15	customer's 9:14 16:17 61:7 98:18 d d 7:1 121:1 daily 116:11 damages 19:19 20:5 23:13 25:6,8 81:22 88:2 89:24 90:12 dare 26:1 darren 5:13 24:16 data 7:18,19 9:9,16,20 10:12,14 11:18 16:7,8,10,15 63:4,6,9,13,14 63:25 66:3,24 69:6 109:25 112:2,9,12,23 112:25 115:15 118:20 119:2 date 8:5 18:3 18:18 34:19 65:16 79:15,15 80:16 98:19,20 99:22 104:24 104:25 105:13 105:18 122:25 dated 57:21 dates 98:22 100:12,12 daunting 88:5 david 5:6
--	--	---	--

[day - delete]

Page 13

day 34:14 100:5 108:1,13 108:17 110:9 116:12,24 118:18 days 95:16 108:20 117:21 118:1 dc 4:12,19 deadline 33:4 69:16 119:3 deadlines 36:16 deal 9:17 14:21 38:12,14,17,25 39:3 50:13 60:15 67:21 71:15 108:25 114:10 117:3 dealer 48:23 52:5 dealing 23:9 dealings 39:14 deals 113:21 debtor 1:9 8:16 19:16 31:19 49:19,19 61:14 72:12 79:24 80:11,13,13 81:1 86:11,13 89:20 99:20 103:14,24 104:17 115:16 debtor's 49:4 59:7 debtors 3:18 7:23 8:4,6 12:2	23:22 28:5,15 30:22 33:22 34:24 35:7 36:13,21 38:14 38:24 39:4,6 39:11 43:15,17 44:3,8,19,20 44:24 45:2,4 46:14 49:8,12 50:9,11,13 52:9,10,16 53:2,3 54:16 54:17,19,22 55:3,11,14,15 55:17,19,24 56:1,6,18 57:10,15,17,18 58:11 59:8,22 60:4,10,24 70:18 71:4,11 71:14,17 72:10 74:22,23 75:3 76:9,12,14,24 77:5,11 79:2,5 79:6,14,16,19 80:3,6,8,15,19 81:7 82:1,3,7 84:22 85:3,8 86:18,22 88:23 95:22 96:3 97:24 98:2,6 98:22 99:19 100:2 101:10 102:6 103:11 105:23,25 106:2 107:20 109:6 110:17	115:17,19 118:21 120:2 debtors' 8:4 38:6 43:18,23 45:6 46:12 52:22 54:19 76:13 77:17 debtor's 66:19 70:12 74:16 75:1 76:6 77:8 77:25 78:5 80:12 82:2 87:15 117:5 decide 14:7 27:8 39:22 72:17 94:14 113:20,25 decided 16:3 41:2 decides 83:22 94:1 decision 2:1 19:24 24:2,12 32:21 33:2,12 33:17 36:1,23 37:5,6 40:11 68:11 69:17 73:2 77:17 83:11 88:15,19 91:22 92:8 94:20 108:6 110:9 117:18 119:23 decisions 51:24 59:12 82:4 92:8 100:23	declare 26:10 declined 87:18 92:15,17 declines 18:9 deemed 61:5 83:3 deeming 103:23 deep 47:5 default 108:13 defaults 18:10 41:9,10 defendants 77:10 defense 26:13 26:15,18,19,25 29:13,14,19 77:22 defenses 76:24 77:21,23 deferential 106:8 deficient 49:5 56:4,8 defined 40:5 79:12 81:9 defining 27:23 definitions 76:9 degree 42:12 delay 12:23 13:25 14:2 46:24 98:25 delays 100:8,9 delete 10:23 67:4,11,17,25 104:16 119:22
---	---	--	---

[deleted - discrimination]

Page 14

deleted 103:22 103:25	detail 16:19 60:8 103:11,21	digital 1:7 56:22,24 57:2 57:22,25	disappointing 78:17
deleting 22:20	details 12:9 59:2 65:9	digits 15:25	disarray 110:21
deletion 22:12	determination 30:15 31:21 59:6	diligence 55:16 56:2 57:16	disclose 54:18 70:19 71:10 73:8
deliberately 81:2	determine 48:17 58:25 77:17	diminish 50:18	disclosed 7:6 53:14,19 73:1 103:12 116:5
deliver 44:18	determined 27:1 98:19	direct 10:22 72:4 83:23	disclosure 38:6 49:4 52:17,18 53:8,19,24 54:5 55:7,10 55:21 56:2,9,9 56:17 70:2,3,5 70:25 71:25 72:3,14,17,18 72:20 73:5,7 73:12,16
demand 78:21	developments 42:7	directing 89:2 94:16	disclosures 52:19 54:25 55:6,14 56:3 70:14 72:2,8 72:23 73:22,24
denied 45:11 46:21	dictate 33:3 36:16,23	direction 26:9 69:3	discovered 56:7
deny 111:10	dictation 37:5	directly 72:6 82:5,6	discretion 91:24
department 4:1 65:14	didn't 9:12 21:23,25 22:13 23:16 30:21 107:1 110:22 112:5 119:15	director 77:14	discriminate 96:25
depend 53:9 106:4	differed 42:4	directors 7:6 75:1 76:15,25 79:13 85:23 103:13,16	discriminated 105:6
depends 9:20 116:17	difference 15:23	diresta 6:4 109:24 110:2 111:14,17,17 111:19,23 112:4,7,18,20 113:4 114:13	discriminates 96:19
deposited 57:22	different 28:21 40:18 48:7 54:22 98:3,4,4 98:13,13,15,15 98:20,20,22,22 99:10 100:12 116:20 119:16 119:17	disable 11:17	discrimination 95:19 96:20
derivative 82:6		disadvantages 37:19	
describe 49:6 55:22 74:4,4 96:21		disagree 25:21 46:12 52:25	
described 40:2 51:22 54:4 57:10 70:15 78:24 103:8 107:13		disallowed 104:2	
deserve 46:22			
desire 31:4 43:7 47:13 59:7 78:20 102:12			
desires 102:14 103:2			
despite 40:12 42:21			

[discrimination - effective]

Page 15

106:9 discuss 69:25 76:2 118:3 discussed 50:1 85:14 91:14 92:9 103:21 discussing 48:10 discussion 73:17 119:22 discussions 12:8 17:16,19 55:2 84:23 dishonest 58:22 disparity 41:22 42:9 dispense 104:9 dispersions 58:16 dispose 68:23 disposes 75:19 disputed 99:14 100:6 disputes 17:17 100:7 disrespectful 38:1 distributable 71:16 distribute 61:25 distributed 39:1 52:19 56:12 60:18 70:8 99:21 100:1 106:1	distribution 50:7 60:19,22 61:4 62:16 71:6 83:5 98:18 99:22 106:25 distributions 20:2,7 21:5 29:22 38:18 50:14 51:17 56:13 62:3,4,7 62:11,19,23 70:9 83:6,9 86:25 87:25 90:9,14 91:12 92:17 96:2,8,9 97:12,25 98:6 98:9,21,25 99:2,13 100:4 100:7,11 105:16,17,18 105:20 106:6,6 107:6 111:2,7 district 1:2 108:9,18 ditech 84:19 docket 57:20 82:22 document 65:18 73:13 116:19 documentation 54:1 documents 61:15 63:2 65:18 77:25 103:19	doesn't 10:13 15:10,17 17:3 27:14 doing 13:3 19:4 24:5 25:19 26:19,22 30:25 31:10 44:11,24,25 55:12 83:21 86:1,2 88:3 92:4,5 93:1,17 94:2,8,22 98:2 104:12 111:4 dollars 113:21 116:12 don't 11:10,19 14:19 16:4 23:12 24:17,21 25:8 26:1 27:3 30:5 32:12,13 32:18 33:23 34:2,4,18 64:21 66:3 68:25 69:16 74:2 91:17 94:15 103:4,21 106:7 111:12 111:15,16 114:1,3,21 115:2 116:4,6 118:7 door 11:2 15:2 doubt 20:8 90:16 draft 107:23 drawing 119:10	driver's 8:9 dropped 104:4 due 55:15 56:2 82:3 100:8,8 dunks 77:1 e e 1:21,21,22 3:1,1 7:1,1 121:1 122:1 e.g. 83:24 84:13 earlier 66:22 earliest 34:19 ease 15:1,19,22 15:23 easier 69:6 easily 9:1 easy 104:9 eat 46:25 ecf 73:13 economic 70:19 ecro 1:25 effect 27:9,10 27:17,19 30:17 52:16 56:1 61:15 84:8 86:20 87:21 89:18 93:17 95:18 107:2 108:21 114:23 114:24 effective 34:19 34:21 79:15 80:16 98:19 102:20,21,23 102:25 103:4
---	---	---	---

104:24 effectiveness 119:13,16 effects 73:8 effectuate 35:4 effort 13:4 38:2 51:2 91:23 efforts 23:10 80:12 ehrlich 76:13 76:21 78:15 79:4 101:10,12 101:16 either 19:15 20:21 31:6 60:24 70:12 89:20 91:4 92:19 elect 8:23 38:10 62:23 63:15 66:7,24 75:5 106:5 elected 89:10 97:15 electing 59:23 60:5 election 114:22 114:25 elections 63:10 elects 12:18 13:14 64:5 ellis 3:17 7:23 35:2 119:2 eloquent 22:13 email 33:22	emails 10:22 emanuel 3:10 35:6 76:18 embodied 101:12 emergency 117:25 emery 5:8 emphasis 72:11 73:4 emphasized 45:25 employed 79:13 employees 57:25 79:12 82:4 87:22 empower 10:13 16:10 enable 10:13 enables 10:20 enabling 10:15 encompassing 81:2 endeavor 36:25 ended 101:16 energy 37:15 enforce 104:13 enforcement 20:19 27:1 48:12,14,16 65:13 91:2 93:18 engage 20:10 90:18 92:22 118:23	engaged 42:25 43:5 46:17 52:4 82:17 enhance 10:16 16:11 enjoin 25:13 93:20,23 enjoined 20:18 91:1 enjoining 19:4 enormous 41:22 ensnare 26:8 ensure 57:6 89:5 99:20 enter 14:1 15:9 34:18 35:23 36:25 64:23 87:21 108:20 entered 25:21 37:6 41:19 60:15 110:14 entering 93:9 entire 47:4 56:4 98:25 entirely 50:11 89:5 entities 18:23 18:24 19:2,5 19:13 31:6 85:7,16,24 86:18 87:3,23 88:1 89:6 92:21 entitled 21:18 21:19 26:21 27:12,13,15	62:24 83:17 92:22 93:24 94:7,22,23 99:13 107:5 112:12 entity 75:16 84:2 86:13 entity's 89:1 entry 18:15,16 110:10 environment 40:1,14,16 equal 111:7 equates 113:18 equity 5:16 7:9 93:5 103:7 107:3,5 equivalent 67:6 errors 37:3 especially 22:20 26:23 114:23 essence 98:6 essentially 19:22 21:8 26:20 65:11 66:14 90:2 106:24 110:25 establishing 8:22 estate 66:20 74:10,24 82:1 estates 103:14 103:15 estimates 71:15
--	--	---	--

[estoppel - extensive]

Page 17

estoppel 93:5	74:21 97:21	90:10,11,18	expense 8:5,5
et 64:3	examination	exculpating	8:15 10:11
etcetera 16:17	58:9	24:6	13:24 14:23
17:3	examiner's	exculpation	15:13 18:2,11
eternal 17:20	42:1,8	17:17 18:2,22	expenses 15:19
event 38:12	example 48:3	19:6,7 28:16	46:25
80:15 95:23	48:19 66:7	28:19 31:18	expensive
96:16 107:21	75:1 99:12	36:8 76:2 82:9	46:24 47:5
113:25	exceeds 91:25	82:22 83:12,15	56:5
events 42:12	excellent 22:11	83:18 84:6,25	experience
eventually 47:1	26:14	85:15,18,19	13:1 78:8
66:6 69:5	except 14:15	86:9 89:13,14	102:5,21
everybody	19:16 21:12	91:20 95:11	expert 57:7
12:5 24:21	34:3 84:3	exculpatory	explain 9:6
29:20 32:19	89:21	77:24	30:7 36:24
111:6	exception	excuse 62:17	43:23 48:1,21
everybody's	119:14	76:25 98:19	54:10
24:25 32:17	excess 70:21	executing	explained 38:3
evidence 38:22	excessive 79:25	75:17	47:22 60:3
41:17 42:6,24	exchange 4:9	execution	71:4,18
43:8 44:9 46:7	4:10 43:14	19:20 20:5	explanation
46:19 51:25,25	45:21	89:25 90:12	45:5 46:8
52:10,12 57:16	exchanges 40:3	exercise 68:25	47:12 68:8,19
57:17,19 58:6	40:13	exercising	explanations
58:22 59:13,18	exclude 37:24	59:22 60:4	71:22 92:3
59:21 60:3	113:1,3	exhibit 73:12	explicitly 87:16
63:7 71:23	excluded 10:9	exist 44:2	express 13:1
76:15 78:23,24	106:24	107:1	expressed
78:25 80:18	exclusion	exists 103:17	78:18,20
81:5 87:18	14:11 80:16	expect 47:14	expressions
88:17,21	91:15,18	51:10 114:9	102:11
101:24	exculpated	116:21	expunged 69:6
evolving 52:23	19:6,17,18,19	expected 47:20	extend 33:19
ex 21:22 92:23	20:3,4,10 25:5	49:8 116:2	extension
exactly 24:11	29:1 31:20	expeditiously	109:20 119:3
24:13 28:3	82:24 84:1	35:4	extensive 52:23
41:20 73:19	89:22,23,23		102:5 120:3

[extent - following]

Page 18

extent 13:8 19:14 26:18 29:18 37:9 39:5 44:14 45:12 46:10 50:14 54:12 83:16 89:19 105:15 extra 14:3 118:1 extremely 9:25 54:10 79:17	factual 28:22 fail 13:18 failed 54:18 failure 53:11 fair 16:19 51:15 93:5 fairly 21:8 82:23 fairness 44:16 58:12 94:2 faith 51:7 false 58:12 far 17:9 41:21 63:9 66:15 fast 8:8 favor 75:12 fear 56:3 feasibility 43:16 49:13,13 49:25 50:24 features 72:2 february 57:21 federal 53:15 54:3 75:20 85:6,10,21 fee 116:1 fees 104:22,23 115:25 116:9 felt 81:19 ferguson 1:25 ffdd 83:24 fiduciaries 82:16 83:17 figure 31:8 46:14 47:6 115:10	figures 66:12 73:10 filed 7:6 36:14 40:20 43:12,14 51:5 57:20 72:21 75:20 76:7 85:4 93:13 96:11 100:17 107:10 108:11 filing 77:13 filings 41:11 final 35:21 38:6 69:9 81:12 108:2 119:23 finalization 18:15 finally 105:3 finance 7:20 financial 41:7 41:13 49:18 52:11 53:25 56:20 65:12 76:14 81:20,24 fincen 65:12 find 37:3 49:17 56:8 69:12 71:22 72:16 73:15 103:5 109:19 115:4 finding 92:24 findings 36:17 36:24 37:1 fine 22:13 23:15	finer 20:5 25:6 25:8 88:2 90:11 finish 33:17 110:1 finished 69:17 firm 42:3,10 59:16 76:18 87:19 101:7 firms 40:3,21 41:3,8,14,17 43:5 79:11 first 11:7 15:6 16:3 43:13,17 45:17 46:12 56:9 58:24 60:14 64:1 65:15 69:1 110:1 118:8 five 71:7 108:20 flatly 115:17 floating 32:3 32:11 floor 4:18 5:3 fluctuate 100:3 100:13 focus 118:18 focused 39:9 39:12 folks 23:4 follow 38:3 53:25 55:22,23 118:20,23 followed 49:17 following 19:14 37:15
f			
f 1:21,25 4:11 122:1 f.3d 78:12 83:25 face 43:3 89:9 100:8 112:8,9 112:14 faced 52:20 facilitate 117:6 fact 20:9,11 28:1 30:15 38:4 40:12 41:5 42:13 59:17 62:6 78:4,25 87:11 90:17,19 93:9 95:13 98:10 100:3 104:6 105:6 107:4 facto 21:22 92:23 factors 77:18 78:14			

[following - goes]

Page 19

45:16 89:15,18 footnote 43:25 footnotes 71:4 forbidden 51:7 force 98:24 108:18 forced 46:12 78:24 forces 106:4 forego 65:19 foregoing 20:9 90:16 122:3 foreign 20:25 91:8 forever 30:23 66:1 forget 110:3 form 38:19 54:10 62:7,23 75:18 105:16 107:20 formal 46:1 formally 23:2 format 36:23 former 76:13 forms 8:10 75:7 98:22 forth 19:7,8 23:13 32:6 75:13 91:22 forthcoming 40:23 forum 45:14 forward 10:16 27:20 56:6 found 30:17 48:22 72:15	four 61:24 87:7 framed 55:5 frankly 86:5 109:15 fraud 31:22 80:17 91:16 free 26:11 79:18 88:13 98:10 freedom 94:12 freeze 47:5 friday 45:8 friend 101:9 friendly 101:16 front 21:9 25:23 30:12 47:2,11 frustrating 110:14 frustrations 78:22 ftx 41:12,18,21 41:23 58:10,15 104:3 full 29:24 51:15 81:6 107:7 fullest 19:14 89:19 fully 93:3 114:9 function 27:25 112:17 functioning 11:12 fundamentally 9:20	funded 84:2 further 7:13,15 19:3,5 20:18 35:15 39:2 41:5 42:7 44:11 45:12 46:8 49:18 50:22 61:13 63:18 70:16 72:23 76:22 77:8 78:6,6 83:2 89:3,16 91:1 93:23 101:17 118:7 118:23 furthermore 46:4 59:11 future 8:24 9:14 12:5 20:20,22 24:1 27:23,24 29:1 29:15 40:15 41:2 84:10 88:5 89:1 91:3 91:6 94:9,14 106:3,17,19 futures 43:7	g g 7:1 general 4:16 57:25 generally 38:8 53:8 getting 15:1 32:5 118:20 gina 6:4 111:17	gist 95:25 106:10 give 12:23 32:19 37:20 59:25 69:4 79:2,17 86:11 94:20 108:17 108:20 115:14 117:7 given 9:1 12:16 14:6 24:20 34:4 52:12 59:14,18 62:4 68:18 73:3 75:4 98:8 111:2 114:20 gives 12:16 13:14 24:2 94:17 giving 62:22 64:11 69:2 80:9 go 10:24 12:21 13:4,25 17:24 21:18 23:8,20 26:2 28:9 33:25 42:13 50:24 65:3 67:13 69:1 93:23 100:20 103:11 111:8 119:13 goal 105:19 109:4 goes 19:3 32:5 65:20 80:5
---	---	--	---	---

going 7:18 10:16 12:4,23 15:8 16:2,22 21:22 22:4 25:9,18 30:24 31:9,10 32:17 32:23 33:8,9 33:13,16,17,18 34:9 35:3 36:16 59:24,24 70:22 89:3 94:21 107:25 108:17,18,23 108:24 111:24 115:4 116:24 118:6,11 goldberg 3:8 34:20,20,24 64:25 65:4,6 67:1,9,19 68:6 68:9 69:14,18 109:2,3,3,9 111:25,25 112:6,10,22 113:2 114:6,7 114:7,20 115:13 116:4 116:15,15 120:7 good 7:17 12:2 17:4,15 28:11 35:5 39:22 43:9 51:7 65:5 119:5 gotten 12:1 28:4	governing 77:25 83:8 government 19:11 24:2 30:21 31:13 51:14 85:25 86:2,5 87:20 88:1,4,7,11,14 88:22 89:10 91:19 92:1,10 92:12,15,17 93:12 94:5 95:3,8 117:20 governmental 17:16 18:23 19:2,4,13 20:15 21:12 22:8 29:22 31:5 52:25 53:10 85:7,16 87:3 89:1 90:23 95:12,15 government's 26:20 34:18 85:23 93:6 95:2 granite 84:5 grant 75:5,12 79:5 94:12 98:16 granted 75:17 79:3 85:20 gratitude 120:7 great 12:23 65:10	greater 71:7 72:11 73:3 greatly 38:4 green 1:12 gross 31:22 80:17 ground 93:21 96:13 grounds 51:20 93:22 group 5:16 42:17 59:9 84:14 103:7 guarantee 53:6 105:7,21,24,25 guarantees 71:21 106:2 guess 22:1 47:24 48:8 94:10 guidance 45:1 47:21 94:20 h hadn't 7:20 hage 100:19,24 101:9,14,17 102:3,9 103:5 hage's 101:25 hamilton 4:3 hand 42:14,14 handle 54:24 59:16 handled 28:9 37:17 42:11 handles 54:24 handling 41:21	hang 88:5 114:18,18 happen 33:13 71:20 106:2 107:8 happened 41:18,20 42:6 58:15 59:15 happens 31:7 99:11 happy 18:1 100:22 113:23 hard 64:23 73:15 115:4 haven't 19:24 28:7 33:5 67:5 67:15 104:25 hawaii 95:23 95:24 heads 88:6 hear 34:1 45:14 47:12 heard 33:3 103:16 hearing 2:1 3:10 7:4 20:12 37:7,11,20,23 38:5 44:14 45:8 47:9 48:20 51:9 55:8 57:10 60:9,14 61:3 66:22 69:24 71:13 81:17,18 87:12 88:14 90:20 93:8 95:25 118:24
--	---	--	--

[hearsay - impede]

Page 21

hearsay 43:3 heart 9:10,16 held 26:21 57:2 60:23 61:17 70:12 93:8 99:15 help 8:20 15:13 15:17 16:13 59:15 60:12 120:4 helpful 23:14 38:2 66:21 helping 13:12 hendershott 5:22 75:23 118:12,14,14 118:17 119:6 119:20,24 herrings 92:2 hey 21:23 he's 26:6 118:11 hiding 26:3 54:17 higher 65:21 78:21 highlighted 72:13 73:2 highly 40:2 51:4 52:23 hindsight 72:10 hint 21:12 hinted 51:21 87:13 hints 30:6	hire 12:21 hired 76:17 history 11:1 16:9,17 hoc 5:16 7:8 103:7 hold 56:14 70:4 89:14 112:7 holder 79:20 holders 5:16 70:13 107:3,5 holding 84:19 107:4,6 114:2 holdings 1:7 84:16 105:12 holds 57:21 home 111:19 111:23 112:1 hon 1:22 honestly 18:23 honor 7:7,10 7:15,22 8:18 9:5,10 10:21 11:7 12:7,25 16:7,19 17:11 17:18,21 18:16 18:21 22:10,16 23:1,19 24:15 24:16 25:11 26:3 27:5 28:11,15,17,23 29:4,11,13 31:15,16 32:22 33:5,15,24 34:8,9,13,16 34:20 35:1,5,9	35:10,24 36:2 36:3,4,10 64:25 65:4 66:5,17 67:1 67:19 68:6 69:14,18 108:8 108:22 109:3,9 109:14,15,21 109:24 111:14 111:17 112:1 112:22 113:2 114:7,14,16 115:13,20,23 116:4,15 117:10,13 118:9,12,14,21 119:1,9,12,20 120:2,7 honor's 36:6,6 65:22 hope 17:15,18 17:20 28:16 33:7 hopefully 7:3 28:13 hoping 26:4 horrified 55:19 hot 13:2 hours 33:13 hour's 37:9 house 4:3 hyde 2:25 122:3,8 i idea 30:10 31:10 33:11 39:19 48:9	94:16 ideas 39:22 identification 8:10 65:14,18 identifications 8:1 identify 54:8 88:7 identifying 8:22 identity 100:18 ids 8:9 11:4 64:10 68:16 ignore 98:10 illegal 25:17,25 29:23,25 30:14 30:23 32:8,9 43:19,24 51:9 51:18 52:8 86:1 87:12,17 92:20 illusory 106:13 imagine 8:25 105:1 immediate 61:8 immediately 56:4 61:1 68:22,23 immunity 26:22 83:17 93:15 94:8,17 94:18 impact 74:15 impaired 97:1 impede 50:8
---	---	---	---

[impermissible - instructions]

impermissible 91:23	included 52:19 55:6 57:12	34:24 75:23 108:14,15	65:20 66:3 67:7,17 68:5
implement 85:24	70:25 76:7 79:8 82:22	113:12,21 116:9 119:10	68:11,13,16,19 68:23 72:9
implementati... 19:20 82:13 89:25	85:5 95:11 103:18	119:14,16 individual 9:14 41:3 77:10	73:15,16,18 111:12 112:20 113:5,24 115:7
importance 24:20	includes 25:9 38:11 50:18 63:3	100:21 101:3,9 individuals 92:21	116:21 infrastructure 57:3
important 8:22 64:19 72:12 115:9	including 18:8 37:11 41:9 43:23 56:19	industry 41:4,7 41:13 43:4 57:8 59:15	initial 98:18 initially 45:10 injunctive 22:21
impose 24:8 53:11 55:25	66:4 75:22 77:22 92:8	inevitable 89:16 100:10	injured 82:3 injuries 81:25 82:5,6,6
imposed 24:1 89:11 94:4	incorporated 63:1	inevitably 100:3	inquiries 53:15 53:17 54:2,4 56:2 116:22
imposes 86:17	increase 71:9	inferior 66:15	insiders 76:17 76:20
impression 103:9	increased 58:13	inflict 103:2	insight 115:14 insofar 85:20 97:10
improper 106:8 107:17	incur 19:18 82:17 89:23	influenced 101:11	instance 16:3 58:25 64:1 118:8
improperly 101:11	incurred 92:25	information 8:2,2,13 9:1,18 9:22 10:5,9,9 11:5,9,10,14 11:16 12:1,12 12:15 13:6,13 13:17,19,21 14:6,11,11,13 14:15,20,24 15:6,11,23 16:4,6,16 17:2 17:3,5,8,23 54:1,16 57:11 58:14 63:19,21 64:1,3,10,12 64:19,22 65:1	instances 83:23 107:16 instant 12:24 69:10 instantaneous 13:7 instructions 37:25
improvement 22:19	indefinitely 66:1		
inability 93:7	independent 7:5 58:4 76:15 103:13,15		
inadequate 55:1,21	indeterminate 47:5		
inadvertent 37:2	indicate 25:17		
inadvertently 38:15	indicated 41:22 104:14		
include 31:18 55:2 61:14 76:9 79:10 96:9	indicates 31:23 indiscernible 8:19 22:10 23:10 24:22 28:22 29:5,7		

insufficient 72:1 insurance 77:9 78:7 intend 27:9 46:7 intended 79:22 94:12 intention 10:3 26:7 30:24 51:8 85:11 intercompany 80:12 103:8 interest 5:16 28:25 60:21 61:6,18 70:10 80:9 82:21 97:1 101:1 102:1 103:15 104:11 interested 35:11 45:14 interfere 50:8 91:24 interim 27:17 internal 54:1 interpretation 119:9 interrupt 27:3 110:20 interrupting 65:5 introduced 23:6 investigated 77:1,2 81:10	investigation 76:16,18 80:20 investigations 58:13 investment 20:25 39:20 53:22 91:8 investments 39:22 investors 102:12 involuntarily 92:25 94:25 involve 14:23 15:12 41:3 involves 52:24 involving 103:17 iridium 78:10 78:12 irrelevant 92:6 isn't 67:14 69:4 112:15 issue 21:13 29:4,15 32:23 33:4 34:9 38:5 44:2 47:10,12 47:15,16,16 51:13 59:12 64:13 65:8 69:22 76:1 85:3,13,14 95:22 96:14 103:17 109:7 109:12,14,16 109:20 110:12 115:11,14,19	117:20 118:6 issued 20:23 83:11 91:6 issues 7:4 9:7 17:12 23:3 24:20 30:10 37:22 38:20,23 39:10,13 45:3 45:7 46:7,11 46:18 47:14 48:8,9,15 49:22,25 50:20 50:24 51:21 52:20 53:7,18 54:8 55:10,18 62:5 68:11 69:23,24 87:14 88:8 94:7 100:8 108:3 110:19 120:5 item 35:15 106:16 it's 13:21 14:2 19:8 21:10 22:19,20 25:4 25:24,24 26:9 26:9,18,19 29:10,15,20 30:17 31:23 32:4 34:4,10 34:12 35:3 39:21 58:6 95:6 114:3 115:9,11 117:1 117:13,25 i'd 16:19 17:11 34:24	i'll 17:12 25:1 119:21 i'm 9:3 13:11 15:4,10,10,13 16:21 17:24 18:1,23 21:9 24:6 26:19 27:5,10,18,22 29:17,19 30:24 31:10 32:1,19 35:8 36:3,16 36:21 39:17 42:1 59:24 61:16 69:3 73:5 107:25 108:17,18,23 109:17 111:12 111:15,16 112:3 113:23 117:14 119:10 119:10 i've 19:24 21:9 21:19 31:12 34:4 35:14 50:1 59:11 65:8 108:19 114:20 115:12 j j 3:8 j.d. 22:16 25:11 jail 25:9,19 january 53:20 54:6 55:20 60:14,16 72:21 73:17 82:22
---	---	--	--

jean 5:6 job 26:7 100:19 118:19 john 6:1 114:16 join 8:23 9:13 10:3,14 66:7 67:10 68:3 joined 67:16 67:18 joins 10:7 11:21 16:8 jones 75:23 judge 1:23 58:24 69:11 91:22 95:14 108:18 118:10 119:24 judgement 60:5 66:19 77:22 115:19 judges 102:24 judgment 59:9 59:22 judgments 41:19 july 46:20 54:1 june 84:15 jurisdiction 91:25 95:5 jurisdictions 21:4,6 52:20 61:23 62:9,15 62:20 91:12,13 97:16 99:8 100:16	justice 4:1 justification 114:2 justifications 83:13 92:3,5,6 justified 114:3 justifies 81:6 k karen 4:21 23:1 31:16 keep 14:18 25:1 33:14 115:8 kelly 5:20 7:10 kilpatrick 5:15 kind 11:18 16:15 23:13 26:1 31:12 32:2,15 39:1 43:1 50:1,22 73:2 79:24 80:3 85:8,9,20 89:4 91:20 94:8 95:15 97:25 98:6 105:20 106:5 108:19 110:19 113:11,12 kinds 42:12 kirkland 3:17 7:23 35:2 119:2 kirpalani 3:15 35:5,6,14,18 35:24 80:22 know 9:6,12 10:1 11:15,17	12:9 13:7 14:9 14:19,22 15:4 16:9 21:8,19 23:10 24:21 26:19 27:12,14 27:15,24 28:4 30:20,22 32:12 32:24 33:23 35:3,8 42:14 47:19 48:3 49:8 63:3 72:6 92:22 94:22,23 102:24 108:14 110:14 111:22 111:23 114:21 115:3 116:3,4 116:7 117:17 118:7 knowing 43:6 knowledge 10:25 18:17 50:8 known 9:15 32:6 79:19 knows 10:21 kyc 10:5,7 11:8 11:23 12:1,5 12:10,12 13:7 13:23 14:12 65:11,15,20,25 65:25 66:3,16 111:20 113:24 116:18,19 l labored 37:18 lack 55:12	landscape 52:24 language 18:22 19:22,25 21:7 22:6,9,11,18 22:21 23:4,14 24:18 28:13,16 31:19 32:18 33:11,21 34:2 36:8 61:14,19 70:16 77:24 80:22 81:9,11 84:25 89:18 90:2,7 91:14 large 37:10,11 74:9,13 101:15 latam 84:14 late 104:1 110:17 latham 3:3 65:6 109:4 111:25 114:8 116:16 law 19:15 21:11 30:2,5 51:7 79:11 83:7 85:10,19 89:20 93:19 101:7 laws 20:13 21:4 40:9 44:20 53:1 83:3 90:21 91:11 lawsuit 73:9 lawyers 102:22
---	---	--	---

[lead - made]

Page 25

lead 106:19	116:19 119:16	63:25 78:7	living 108:19
leave 14:3,12	levels 65:11	79:3 81:9 84:7	llc 78:11,12
27:7 30:15	lexington 3:19	101:25	llp 3:17 5:15
69:8 115:4,15	lexis 84:14,20	limiting 19:15	loan 41:9
leaving 27:23	liabilities 88:2	89:20	loans 76:22
47:24	90:12 91:16	limits 23:25	77:7,9
led 41:10,13	93:1 94:4	116:20	locate 104:18
ledanski 2:25	liability 19:19	line 35:15	located 57:4
122:3,8	20:4 22:5 25:8	121:4	logic 67:20
ledger 57:25	31:12 77:14	lines 24:23	long 23:8 59:4
left 7:17 69:22	82:11,17,24	85:1 89:15	65:21 75:3
94:14	84:2 88:5 89:9	lingering 68:20	79:9 96:24
legal 38:22	89:24 90:10	links 72:4	look 22:25,25
45:7,9 46:19	liable 22:1 25:6	liquidation	23:15 24:22
47:10,13,15	30:19 83:7,21	49:18,20 50:22	81:11 114:1
77:21 83:13	88:2	52:10 70:24	118:6
86:8 88:8,16	license 48:25	71:3,9 74:2,14	looking 109:1
88:20 92:3,4,6	licenses 8:10	lisa 118:18	119:12,13
92:16 122:20	52:21 61:24	list 66:14 75:3	loren 6:1
legality 88:12	96:2 98:3,16	79:9	114:16,16,19
93:7	lien 104:8	listen 118:3	115:21,23
legally 52:13	light 58:15	listening 23:17	116:8,23 117:8
96:3	107:24	literally 28:2	lose 14:4,25
legitimate 17:1	liked 37:4	42:23 59:18	113:25
lend 56:24 58:2	likely 34:19	95:9	loss 15:12
length 78:2	48:14 49:17	litigate 88:12	lot 13:21
lengthy 33:2	50:18	litigation 84:11	103:20 107:22
37:7	limit 19:6	102:17,24	107:23 110:16
lessen 117:24	20:14,19,21,24	litigator	113:22 114:20
letter 42:17	39:3 90:22	102:21	loud 16:1
108:11	91:2,5,7	litigators	lying 58:20
letting 15:6	116:11,11,17	102:23 103:1	m
level 11:8	limitation 64:2	little 24:24	m 4:6,18
16:19 65:15,24	limitations	32:18 43:8	made 19:24
107:4 111:20	53:12	106:17 117:24	21:14 24:12
111:20 112:2,3	limited 47:20	live 61:22	30:3 38:2,22
113:24 116:18	48:12 59:4,6	108:19	42:11 51:2,20

[made - microphone]

Page 26

53:25 54:2,19	117:18	marketing	79:22 97:5
55:14,15 57:11	makes 77:16	10:21,24 16:15	98:12,21 111:5
58:10,19 62:22	94:15 102:19	66:15 67:14	112:6,10 115:3
63:10 72:10	102:21 107:4	69:5	meaningful
75:7 76:10	110:16 115:6	markets 9:25	70:19 105:8
80:20 81:14	making 32:21	10:1,2 13:2	115:16
83:10 85:12,17	40:11 64:19	66:8	means 21:10
88:24 90:5	69:5 72:14	market's	30:2,10 46:24
92:2,11 95:4	85:8 94:19	106:14	51:7 71:21
95:21 97:3	107:19 114:10	masquerading	86:7 93:18
101:22 105:1,4	114:22	55:10	98:14 103:3
105:20 107:17	man 8:18,21	material 73:1	meant 23:12
110:22 114:25	9:5,9 10:20	math 113:8	24:1
madison 3:12	11:7,13,20	114:4	member 101:4
magazine	12:7,25 13:20	mathematica...	101:15,18
42:16	13:23 14:10,22	114:2	102:1
mail 10:22	15:15,18 16:6	matter 1:5	members 79:9
mailing 66:14	16:18 17:11,14	28:22 59:17	97:7 100:21
main 9:18	29:5,7 33:5,14	80:8 85:19	101:5,21 110:6
maintains	33:20 34:6,8	86:4 93:9	mention 112:5
56:21 58:3	manner 20:7	99:24 111:9	mentioned
make 21:25	51:22 80:5	115:9	10:10,19 25:5
25:4 28:14	90:14	matters 46:17	111:21
30:14 32:18	march 1:15 8:6	81:10	merit 96:17
33:10 35:10	18:3 23:6,7	maximize	105:4 119:4
38:16,18 39:4	37:8,8 54:9	16:12 38:17	meritorious
43:2 47:3,17	122:25	maximizes	48:15,18
50:14 51:24	margin 56:25	11:1	message 44:17
59:12 61:3,8	marine 83:13	mcdermott 5:8	messengers
71:5 72:1	market 9:21	mcmahon's	44:16
86:25 87:24	10:2,4,16 39:6	91:22	met 96:24
88:15,16,19	63:23 71:20	mean 17:3	metallurgical
94:19,20 97:25	100:12 106:4	24:17 32:4	84:15
98:6 99:2,3	106:12,13,14	38:16 42:23	mew 1:3
104:2,22	106:20	48:23 50:20	michael 1:22
105:19 106:2	marketable	56:1 58:16	microphone
111:6 115:10	106:16	60:7 63:14	33:25

midday 108:11 middle 27:4 110:18 might've 15:7 mike 3:23 23:21 million 9:12 66:10 70:20 71:6,16 74:9 77:13,14 113:7 113:8 mind 12:20 40:17 47:22 64:13 66:9 89:18 112:25 113:25 115:8 mindful 15:5 minds 15:7 16:5 21:25 mineola 122:23 minor 24:17 minute 8:7 minutes 33:13 109:6 misbehavior 41:16 43:1 misconcepci... 74:21 misconduct 31:22 80:17 84:4 91:16 misled 81:19 81:21 mismanage... 82:3 misrepresent... 81:23	misrepresent... 54:19 missed 35:18 misspeak 37:3 misundersta... 86:7 misuse 41:16 59:20 misusing 42:25 mitigates 10:5 modern 10:20 modification 89:12 115:16 modifications 69:21 modified 20:21 63:17 89:14 91:4 104:1,15 104:22 modify 29:18 81:8 108:12 moment 36:3 59:24 76:3 monday 37:8 108:21 109:16 109:20 monetary 10:6 money 113:22 116:10,14,25 117:1 month 62:4,25 69:7 116:14,25 months 46:22 62:9,19 67:16 96:7 97:14,17 moot 76:11 110:13	morning 91:14 107:18 morrissey 4:7 28:10,11,12 29:6,11 31:15 32:22,22 34:9 108:16 109:13 109:14 mortgage 104:8,13 motion 109:23 110:4,15,15 motions 36:14 109:23 110:4 110:24 move 58:1 117:17 moved 18:3 moving 33:14 117:22 moynihan 5:20 7:10,10,15 murray 84:15 mute 29:9 mystery 34:10 n n 3:1 7:1 121:1 122:1 name 13:13 16:17 65:16 104:8 named 7:14 names 7:5 8:21 103:12 111:16 narrow 21:8 narrower 19:12	nastiest 102:23 national 4:16 nature 77:20 navigate 120:4 ne 4:11 near 33:25 45:8 necessarily 11:7 17:3 25:9 40:10 44:17 46:3 103:3 107:24 necessary 60:8 61:25 96:6 99:1 118:1 need 9:3 11:5 13:18 15:12 16:22 22:23 33:6 49:18 50:21 96:15 103:21 108:5 109:18 117:24 needed 53:22 54:6 62:10 100:10 104:15 needs 25:6,7 29:9 49:24 104:13 119:3 negative 44:9 44:19 negligence 31:22 80:17 negotiate 115:15 negotiation 19:20 89:24
---	--	--	--

neither 40:9 net 78:21 network 65:13 83:14 networks 65:23 never 58:18 68:3 69:1 102:4 nevertheless 46:10 106:18 new 1:2,13 3:6 3:13,20 4:4 5:4 5:11,18 8:23 11:20 14:18,22 54:16 81:9 95:17,19,25 96:4,4,6,8,10 96:12,14,18,19 98:24 99:2,4 104:5,8,12 108:10 news 58:8 112:19 newsom 75:22 newspapers 42:16 nick 24:18 nine 15:25 nits 35:15 nominal 60:20 70:10 non 48:22 75:24,25 76:3 normal 39:7 northern 57:4	note 19:11 23:5 39:20 42:22 53:17 71:23 72:3,19 73:15 93:12 95:3,8 105:10 noted 62:1 70:6 83:15,20 87:13 97:20 notice 8:4 29:24 87:4 notices 32:5 notify 64:8 noting 84:8 notwithstanding... 49:3 nullity 107:1 number 7:5 9:2 10:8,19 11:17,22 12:3 12:8,17,19,24 13:16 14:1,7 14:16,18,21 15:3,9,24 16:9 16:14,16 23:2 37:10,11 40:14 54:11 64:24 65:16 74:19 77:18 78:18 79:6 81:17 82:22 85:5 100:22 101:8 107:14 114:3 numbers 8:17 12:4 16:22 17:9 64:3,14 64:17 65:10	66:5,13,18 68:18 nw 4:18 ny 1:13 3:6,13 3:20 4:4 5:4,11 5:18 122:23 o o 1:21 7:1 122:1 oath 58:19 object 22:9 28:6 51:15 103:25 objected 19:13 28:8 74:19 75:24 82:9 96:12 103:7,23 objecting 54:21 55:3 objection 7:13 7:16 28:3 31:9 43:13,15,21,25 45:13 47:15 51:4,20 70:3 70:23 73:10,24 74:3,6 75:19 76:8,11 85:17 95:17,21,24,25 96:16,21 97:10 97:23 103:19 104:6 107:2 119:4 objections 30:4 39:9,10,11,12 43:12 44:13 54:14 55:5,13 69:23 70:2	72:22,23 74:21 75:21 87:10 92:9 95:14 96:11 100:14 100:17,19 102:3 103:20 104:3,4 105:3 107:9,11 111:10 objectors 58:7 58:10 objectors' 106:22 objects 17:6 91:17 obligated 21:16 75:8 obligates 88:4 obligation 47:3 86:18 obligations 52:12,14 observations 43:11 obtain 53:11 54:22 55:4 62:10 96:6 obtained 46:5 54:22 56:18 57:15 68:24 96:7 obtaining 53:10 obviously 12:8 33:8 51:8 109:16 117:17
---	--	--	---

occur 21:5 34:19 82:13 91:13 98:5 106:19 occurred 82:12 occurrence 80:15 occurring 92:13 odd 67:12 104:6 odds 40:24 offends 25:22 91:21 offer 45:1 46:19 52:17 56:25 57:16 87:18 offered 42:23 45:24 52:10 63:7 76:15 88:18,21 offering 44:9 office 5:1 22:17 54:14 70:1 82:8 108:9 117:14 officer 3:10 76:14 77:6,14 officers 75:1 79:13 82:4 85:23 87:23 officers' 77:2,4 official 37:6 46:4 78:10,11 79:8 100:20	ohio 84:16 okay 8:11,14 11:25 12:15 16:21 17:10,11 17:13 18:5,12 18:20,24 22:15 24:14 25:3,10 27:3,12,19,20 29:10 30:17 31:14 32:14,17 33:19 34:7,23 35:17,24,25 69:13 106:11 109:8,10,11,16 109:22 110:1,2 112:4 113:3,4 114:15 115:12 116:8 117:7,8 118:8,9 120:6 okike 3:22 7:7 7:22,22 8:9,12 8:15 10:10 17:18,21,25 18:6,13,21 35:1,2 119:1,1 120:2 old 122:21 omission 80:14 omitted 78:13 onboards 9:23 once 17:5 32:22 33:17 37:1 42:5 44:5 44:23 45:22 56:2 87:1 97:14 107:7 118:21	ongoing 55:17 open 7:4,17 11:5 13:3 56:16 64:13,20 68:21,25 69:8 87:8 100:10 113:20,25 opened 113:15 opening 69:1 operate 40:3 41:6 operated 39:25 41:6,23 42:10 operates 40:1 operating 44:4 44:7 45:21 48:2 78:11,12 operation 11:2 operational 52:11 operations 10:13,16 16:11 30:9 45:7 opportunity 22:25 29:24 36:5 51:15 62:21 69:5 75:4 87:5 88:7 oppose 34:13 opposed 41:24 95:20 opposition 21:14 31:7 opt 7:25 16:24 62:22 64:7,9 64:15 68:15 75:6,8,17	112:12,24 113:17,18 opted 8:2 11:3 15:6 115:17 option 38:11 50:12,19 67:16 71:8 86:11 106:18,20 oral 80:23 oranges 74:7 order 11:23 18:15,15,19 19:1,4,8,9 20:20,23 22:2 22:21 24:5,6,9 25:20 26:14,16 26:23 27:8,9 27:11,18 33:11 33:12,18 34:12 34:14 35:23 36:5,25 49:16 60:15 61:10 69:9 81:12 84:25 85:4 86:20,20 87:21 88:3 89:7,17 91:3,6 93:2,9 94:19 104:2,10 107:14,18,20 107:23 108:3 108:13,21 110:10,11,13 ordered 26:23 31:13 85:2 93:1 94:8 orders 86:15
---	--	---	---

ordinarily 106:13 ordinary 28:6 93:3 organize 101:6 organized 86:13,14 original 19:12 62:18 85:18 89:12 ought 27:22 45:13 outset 39:15 44:14 47:9 48:20 101:5 outside 29:1 76:17 overall 32:7,10 overreached 28:5 overrule 52:6 70:22 100:14 overruled 107:11 overstep 30:25 overwhelming 66:20 overwhelmin... 97:4 own 16:11,12 19:11 22:3 35:8 43:18 50:3 54:19 75:2 76:6 79:20,23 92:8 93:6 100:9 107:7	owned 75:16 106:7 owner 60:20 105:4 owners 105:5 ownership 104:11 p p 3:1,1 7:1 p.m. 69:19 pace 69:16 page 24:14 73:12 121:4 pages 56:17 paid 77:12 pain 103:2 paper 26:20 113:8 papers 93:13 94:6 paperwork 100:9 paragraph 19:6 20:9 34:12 90:17 paragraphs 19:8 22:12 85:5,5 paris 3:10 parlance 49:14 part 8:22 10:9 10:20 12:11,13 13:5 14:25 16:7 29:16 34:14 36:19 78:21 79:3 80:6 82:23	90:1 111:20 112:2,10 119:22 partial 111:2 participants 37:11 38:1 93:14 participated 113:13 participation 37:14 particular 33:11 37:23 39:22 40:21,21 42:10,15 43:22 46:16 53:1 59:7 64:4 72:11 76:11 103:4 particularly 7:19 17:5 39:6 54:7 58:22 parties 18:14 19:7,17 20:3 20:10 25:5 28:25 29:1 33:22 34:11 35:11 37:12,13 37:21 42:16 45:14 47:17 50:12 51:23 54:21 55:3,20 57:20 58:4 61:13,21,23 62:13 64:6,9 68:2 70:15 74:19,25 75:3	78:17,19 79:7 79:7,18 80:3,4 82:11,16,21,24 83:20 85:7,15 88:6 90:18 108:14 118:4,4 parties' 62:1 63:3,8 78:4 party 11:23 13:9 18:18 19:16,18 37:25 39:20 47:19 52:1 57:7 63:7 73:3,20 75:24 75:25 76:3,8,9 80:11 89:21,22 89:23 90:10 91:21 93:22 119:10 party's 79:23 pass 73:6 passed 81:1 97:14 passports 8:10 past 10:15,25 16:17 41:8 95:10,16 patrick 7:11 paul 100:18 pause 37:13 81:16 pay 9:11 10:6 11:23 70:21,21 113:19,23 115:1 116:2,9 116:25
--	---	--	---

[payments - platform]

Page 31

payments 77:3 78:3	percentage 78:21	petition 79:15	53:13 58:25
pays 107:7	perfectly 22:6	petroleum 83:14	59:5,17 61:14
penalties 20:5 20:22 22:1 23:25 24:9 25:6,8 90:11 91:5 94:4	88:20	pharma 91:22	61:20 63:2
pending 28:24 36:19 41:1 46:20 67:3 109:18	perform 52:12 52:13 102:9	phone 7:9 22:8 29:9 111:12 112:7,14,15	71:17 74:1,8 74:14,17,20 75:12,13,13,14 76:2,7 80:2,10
people 7:13 10:2 13:21 15:1,5,5 16:3 21:11,15 23:10 25:18 26:8,21 27:10,11,15,22 30:14,16,18 31:12 33:9,16 33:18 34:1 39:18 43:6 58:20 67:5,13 67:15 88:1 89:6 94:2,3,13 94:13,20 99:15 102:15,15,21 102:23,24,25 103:12 104:18 109:17 110:14 113:13,14,15 113:16,18,20 114:22,25 117:15	period 7:25 13:15 60:22 61:4,5 62:5,25 64:8,15 67:14 68:14 69:7,7 113:17	phrase 79:22 80:4	82:10,14,20 83:2,5,9,10 84:1,2,3,18,19 84:25 85:24 86:4,8,10,11 86:14,15,19,21 86:22,24,25 87:1,6,9,13,24 87:25 89:8,22 90:8,15,18,25 91:10 92:16,18 92:20 95:18 96:6,18,22,25 97:2,4,6,12 98:1,5,12,14 100:14,18 101:2,13 102:4 102:7,13,20 103:8,19,22 104:15,16 105:10 107:2,4 107:12,22,25 110:8,10 111:2 113:16 121:5
percent 56:21 58:18 69:3 71:7,8 114:11	permissible 19:14 89:19	phrased 49:12	plans 28:3 75:10 82:15 95:9 99:11
	permit 38:25 51:22 63:6 98:9	piece 8:25	plan's 100:15
	permitted 66:18	pit 102:13 119:17	platform 8:24 9:13,15,23
	persisted 40:12	place 12:13 13:6 14:13,14 15:7 46:13 55:23 57:5 66:1 73:19 80:16 99:1 110:12	
	person 11:24 25:13 75:16,16	placed 73:21	
	personal 13:1 101:3 102:20	places 37:3 72:15	
	personally 30:19	plan 19:12,17 20:1,8,10,17 21:3,9,15,16 22:2 23:11 27:19 28:20 29:21 30:1,13 30:15 34:21 35:4 36:13,15 38:7,8,11 43:16 46:12 47:2 49:13,16 49:20,21 50:11 50:18,21,23,25 51:6,6,11 52:7	
	personnel 86:19,23 88:6		
	persons 41:21 79:13,17		
	perspective 67:22 105:22 117:20		
	pestering 69:11		
	peter 4:6 108:8 117:13		

[platform - problems]

Page 32

10:3,14 12:12 16:8 56:16 57:1 66:7 67:25 68:3 101:17 please 15:13 27:3 60:2 pleased 7:12 plug 12:20 13:16 14:17 plus 79:11 pm 1:16 120:13 point 7:21 10:19 18:13 25:1 29:2 31:17 47:18 48:19 59:14 65:1 67:6,13 67:15 70:6 73:17 81:16 99:7 104:3 109:12 111:6 pointed 58:10 points 28:15 31:7 48:10 49:11 78:23 87:19 policy 66:18 poor 108:18 portion 77:4 portions 75:21 pose 92:3 posed 54:14 75:21 88:8 position 20:12 25:23 32:19	34:18 39:21 43:2,21 44:6 45:9,10,15,19 45:23 46:1 49:4 53:4 64:20 85:17,19 85:23 86:5 87:8,19 88:11 90:20 105:23 positions 40:24 position's 28:9 possibility 69:8 106:18 possible 31:23 32:8,9 34:22 36:22 37:20 52:25 71:9 81:2 105:19 109:5 117:18 117:25 possibly 45:4 47:19 81:12 95:23 105:20 post 21:22 92:23 postulated 101:8 potential 39:14 43:4 48:12 52:17 73:8 102:16 106:15 115:4 power 98:14 98:16 powers 20:15 90:23	practical 50:16 99:19,24 115:9 117:4 practice 93:4 practices 57:14 pre 13:8 27:1 66:16 precise 117:7 predict 49:6 53:3 predictions 107:8 prefer 58:8 62:3,16 preference 73:9 preliminary 72:20 prepared 36:22 65:21 88:9 89:13 preposterous 16:5 25:24,25 28:9 30:17 present 66:9 presented 38:22 71:24 101:19 preserve 78:5 press 74:3 pressed 95:24 presume 58:20 58:21 pretend 113:19 pretty 13:2 17:10	prevent 31:10 53:11 64:11,16 98:2 101:1 prevented 20:16,18 89:3 90:24 91:1 preventing 93:18 previously 22:22 60:25 83:11 85:16 104:14 106:7 price 9:12 99:17,19 106:14 115:16 prices 39:6 99:8 100:3 106:12,13 primarily 105:6 primary 38:5 60:12 principles 91:21 93:5 prior 18:15 54:25 56:3 pro 5:23 6:2,5 37:11,13,20,24 38:1 78:17 102:12 114:16 118:15,17 problem 31:2 32:13,13 47:7 74:6 98:24 problems 28:24 31:25 41:13 50:6
--	--	---	--

55:12 procedures 58:4 proceed 17:12 50:21 59:23 60:5 69:25 86:11 proceeding 20:18 27:1 29:15 91:1 proceedings 93:14 120:12 122:4 proceeds 77:9 78:7 process 10:21 14:25 32:10 56:5 69:1 101:6 106:25 108:4 110:18 110:20 115:25 processing 100:9 produce 71:15 products 56:25 professional 104:22 professionals 79:10 87:23 profitability 16:12 program 53:21 65:14 programs 16:11 project 55:17	projections 70:25 prominently 72:13 promise 110:3 promptly 36:22 proof 106:21 106:23 proper 47:18 55:13 83:12,18 84:17 93:6 property 99:25 104:7,9,11 proportionate 74:15 proposal 16:24 19:11 62:18 71:2,2,5,12 103:24 proposals 40:18 88:24 propose 19:13 20:1 proposed 7:24 19:12 21:10 22:21 24:23 30:1 34:12 36:6,12,19 38:7,12,14,17 38:24 39:12 43:3,16 47:2,8 47:11 49:13,21 51:6,11,16 52:9 56:20 58:25 59:3 60:8,11 61:22	63:1 69:21 72:19 74:20,22 76:12 77:3 78:1 79:2,5,17 79:18 80:2 81:7,8,8 82:2,9 82:22 84:24 85:4,15 87:4 88:12 89:17 90:7 97:13 100:14,18 101:19 104:21 107:18 114:11 proposing 18:25 50:9 proposition 75:11 prosecute 26:15,17 prosecuted 26:12 prosecution 25:13 26:11 prosecutor 26:6,17 prospect 42:9 88:5 106:17 118:5 protect 84:17 protected 54:12 93:15 protecting 23:9 protection 18:11 21:18 83:19 protections 61:9 63:18	protocols 57:5 57:7 58:3 prove 43:16,18 44:4,9,19 45:3 45:4,5 49:13 provide 39:2 45:9 46:7 57:13 61:10 63:18 64:25 65:9 70:16 71:17 75:10 77:3 97:6 104:10 107:3 110:20 119:2 provided 8:4 18:22 19:3,5 20:8 47:21 57:13 90:15 provider 11:23 provides 38:9 39:23 50:11 75:14 86:12 95:18 96:22 98:5 110:7 providing 11:21 98:12 provision 8:15 20:14,19 21:2 28:20,21 31:18 69:8 82:9,10 82:23 83:12,18 84:1,7,8 85:19 89:13,14 90:22 91:2,10,20 97:20 99:3 108:12 109:10
--	---	--	---

[provisions - really]

Page 34

provisions 18:1 19:1 28:6 49:15 59:1,5 61:10 63:4 72:5,11 76:2 82:16 83:15 84:17 85:15,18 95:11,13 103:18,23,25 104:16,19,21 104:23 107:15 107:24 proviso 18:25 19:2 23:6 psaropoulos 76:14,21 78:15 79:4 public 41:20 42:4 45:13 pull 119:12 punish 86:2 purchase 9:12 80:7,7 purchaser 8:3 18:6,10 21:3 36:19 59:4 91:11 purchases 43:18,20 purchasing 52:2 purdue 91:22 purported 92:6 104:7 purporting 29:17	purpose 32:21 86:14 pursuant 57:2 65:12 83:5,10 pursue 74:24 74:25 78:5,20 118:6 pursued 48:25 90:2 92:20 pursuing 76:20 pursuit 102:16 purview 29:2 push 17:8 put 12:23 19:7 23:11 25:19 37:15 43:7,11 47:4 72:11 85:22 98:5 109:20 118:19 putting 64:19 69:2 117:6	118:17 questioned 44:13 questions 37:21 42:18,21 43:6,10 53:21 54:11 55:9,18 60:13 71:13 100:25 103:10 107:22 114:17 115:24 117:7 quickly 9:1 14:2 31:17 34:22 96:3 109:5 117:17 117:22 quinn 3:10 35:6 76:18 quite 29:20 30:7 39:17 97:24 quote 75:20 quoted 73:10	68:11,17 69:10 70:1 84:10 95:16 98:24 103:10 108:14 110:15,19 raises 31:4 47:13 96:14 raising 42:18 43:6 ramped 58:13 ran 102:16 ranged 95:4 rather 38:19 55:13 reach 9:21 reached 7:21 50:3 57:18 68:2 read 7:23 17:21 19:10 21:7 22:9 26:20 28:17 34:3 35:19 85:6 readily 64:20 98:11 reading 2:1 61:16 ready 18:6 30:4 real 43:5 102:2 102:19 104:7 106:20 114:21 really 9:6,10 50:24 64:21 67:21 72:25 79:21 88:11
	q		
	qualifications 100:24 102:9 qualified 26:21 27:9 83:17 93:15 94:17 102:7 103:5 question 7:18 16:18 39:18 49:2,9,10 62:13,20 68:17 69:10,11 96:15 109:24 111:11 115:22 116:7 116:10 117:3,4 117:16 118:15	r	
		r 1:21 3:1 7:1 122:1 raise 29:4 30:9 32:23,25 34:10 45:7 48:16 94:9 raised 23:4 29:2 39:10 42:9,21 43:10 46:18 49:22 50:20,24 54:11 55:18 60:13 62:6,13,19	

<p>96:21 97:5 110:16,23 115:5,9 120:4 120:5 reason 17:4 25:14 26:15 30:13 31:5 49:25 50:7,19 50:23 72:16 reasonable 28:6 30:25 59:8,22 60:4 71:22 77:18 78:16 79:1 83:22 103:6 reasonableness 90:5 reasonably 36:25 reasoning 84:12 reasons 9:5 17:1 21:17 30:6 43:17 44:10 46:17 52:8,15 62:3 71:3 74:4 86:8 89:4 92:3 95:7 107:10 110:22 rebalancing 20:1,6,16 25:19 29:21 32:6,7 43:24 51:16 86:24 87:23 90:8,13 90:24 92:15</p>	<p>rebuked 44:14 rebut 46:19 92:5 recall 66:10 95:14 receive 37:1 47:1 50:17 56:13 62:7 63:14 96:2,8 97:11,13 98:21 99:10,10,13 100:6,11 106:5 106:6 received 10:22 53:15,20 55:24 99:15,22 receiving 45:5 recent 41:11 42:17 recently 56:6 104:7 recess 60:1 recognize 63:22 111:16 recognizes 112:14 recognizing 24:23 89:15 recollection 73:20 record 2:1 7:24 17:25 30:12 33:3 35:19 36:17 45:17 48:13 65:6 74:4 118:19 122:4</p>	<p>recoveries 46:25 50:17 71:1,7 73:10 73:11,23,25,25 74:8,11,15,17 74:18 77:8 78:6 recovery 107:3 red 92:2 reduce 39:5 reducing 105:21 reemphasize 81:16 reference 49:14 referred 42:16 61:4 referring 72:15 reflect 89:17 91:16 reflects 20:9 90:17 refused 37:25 regard 13:22 40:21 42:22 54:8 61:11 63:18 70:17 83:12 92:2 113:4 regarded 47:22 regarding 21:3 62:6 76:1 83:4 91:10 104:1,21 108:13 109:16 114:17</p>	<p>regards 115:24 116:10 regimes 40:19 42:13 98:20 register 49:24 52:5 53:22 registered 48:22 registering 44:5 45:22 registration 44:21 registrations 44:12 regulation 40:8 51:12,19 83:8 85:10,21 87:7 93:19 regulations 20:13 53:1 66:2 90:22 98:8,15 regulator 47:10,14 51:10 51:12 regulators 31:2 40:6 47:6 53:3 87:11 97:5,18 regulatory 20:11,20,22 28:23 30:9 31:4,8 39:13 40:1,5,14,16 40:18,23 41:2 42:13 49:6 52:8,18,19,21 52:23 53:4,6</p>
--	---	--	---

53:14 89:4 90:19 91:3,6 91:24 92:14 93:1,19,21 94:10 98:3,20 100:8 rehypothecate 58:2 reimbursement 8:5,15 18:2,11 reinstate 113:24 reject 46:13 52:6,15 72:16 rejected 115:18 rejections 83:9 relate 85:20 related 19:1 53:17 80:15 97:10 relating 18:14 41:1 53:20 76:21 77:7 80:5 relation 78:4 relationship 101:16 relative 66:15 relatively 14:2 37:16 39:10 96:2 104:9 relay 119:6 release 19:16 29:3 74:23 75:2,17,18 77:5,6 79:5	80:2,24 81:1,4 82:2 89:21,21 91:21 released 75:15 76:8 79:10,12 79:18,23 80:3 80:4,11 releases 19:16 35:12 39:11 74:20,22 75:5 75:6,12,25 76:1,4,6 79:2,3 79:8,16,18 81:7,8 82:2 releasing 76:8 relevant 15:18 15:21 16:10 48:6 75:20 78:14,23 82:23 87:3 97:2 101:15,18 reliance 24:9 26:14 29:18 relied 13:8 92:7 remain 66:1 remainder 50:9 remaining 13:17 56:23 63:13 107:11 108:3 remedy 48:6 remind 15:4 remove 110:5 rendered 56:4	renewed 80:25 reorganization 36:13 38:8 47:2 49:19,20 50:22 86:8,10 repeatedly 87:18 repeating 51:10 repetitive 107:16 replace 110:6 report 42:1,8 reports 58:8 represent 23:2 77:4 representation 58:18 representations 42:10 58:10 representative 7:8 79:25 representatives 45:16 85:9 86:23 represented 41:24 101:9 102:6 represents 91:23 106:14 request 33:20 36:4 38:6 45:11 53:25 57:15 69:19 109:18 119:9 requested 66:5 103:22 118:21	requesting 87:20 99:5 108:12 requests 57:11 require 11:8 11:10 14:22 66:6 67:12 84:9 86:22 99:25 107:21 115:16 117:19 required 8:18 11:14 44:4,11 52:5 53:4 59:12 89:7,10 92:22 94:21 96:1 97:7 99:3 99:24 102:8 requirement 13:25 89:11 requirements 40:5 44:21 51:5 59:6 67:2 98:3 106:9 requires 20:10 20:20 59:21 60:3 65:15,17 87:25 90:18 91:3 93:10 requiring 14:23 63:4 rescission 80:7 resentment 78:19 reserve 25:18 26:1 57:23 77:11
---	--	---	---

[reserved - saw]

Page 37

reserved 99:21	result 37:19	31:14	107:19
reserves 56:21	50:17 56:7	right 7:2 10:21	ruled 45:11
resolution 7:24	78:2 81:23	10:22 11:6	rules 24:2,4
46:23	99:6	12:11 16:1	38:3 98:8
resolutions	resulting 71:6	19:11 23:18	ruling 29:16
7:21	results 49:6	24:8 25:18,24	43:2 47:3,18
resolved 17:17	55:2	26:2 29:17	rulings 36:22
51:13 95:22	resumption 7:3	32:17,21 34:8	36:24 37:1
100:7 103:9,19	retail 11:2	34:17 35:25	39:16 81:14
103:20 108:3	67:21	36:11 48:10	121:3
resonance	retained 78:8	60:2 63:23	run 11:24 14:1
67:20	returned	64:16 66:25	66:8 117:14
resources	104:19	67:1 68:6,7,10	s
56:20 77:2	returning	68:25 69:20	s 3:1 7:1
78:4	117:16	79:20 96:21	s.a. 84:14
respect 17:22	returns 110:24	103:16 109:11	s.d. 84:16
18:21,25 28:16	reveal 46:5	109:22 110:18	s.d.n.y 84:6,20
36:1 45:3	revealed 53:24	115:7,21 117:9	s.d.n.y. 59:10
47:10 95:1	56:10,18	117:12 118:10	83:14 84:15
96:25 119:3	revelations	119:19	sacrificing
respectfully	41:15	rights 36:18	61:9
66:16	reverberated	77:11 78:5	sad 117:1
respective	41:12	98:18	safe 57:6
86:23	review 28:13	rise 80:9 94:17	sale 30:8 38:9
respectively	36:5 81:3	102:1	50:7 80:7,8
60:25	101:20	risk 94:14,24	sales 39:4,5
response 64:6	reviewed 58:4	106:15	43:19,20 87:15
responsibility	72:19	risks 52:18	sanctions 88:2
104:17	revisions 33:10	road 9:24 94:1	94:4,25
rest 17:22	85:4 107:17	122:21	sat 25:16
59:25	rewards 53:21	robust 39:8	satisfied 18:7
restrict 88:25	106:15	role 58:24 59:4	18:17 104:20
restrictions	richard 4:7	77:16	satisfy 58:6
53:12	28:12 32:22	routine 82:15	102:14 103:1
restructuring	rid 68:4	rule 34:11,15	saved 84:10
80:12	ridiculous	51:19 65:12	saw 35:13,21
	15:10 27:11	83:8 87:7	

saying 12:3 16:2 19:23 24:5 25:15 27:19 29:20 90:4 92:14 93:25 113:6 says 19:2,5,22 21:8,16 30:1 60:16 90:2 94:18 scale 35:15 scaled 35:11 85:1 scared 15:8 scenario 109:19 schedule 108:19 scheuer 4:14 36:2,3,8,10 scope 59:8 79:16 81:6 82:9 83:12 94:18 se 5:23 6:2,5 37:12,13,20,24 38:1 78:17 102:12 114:16 118:15,18 seated 60:2 sec 23:7 36:4,4 40:20 43:14,17 44:3,6,11,13 44:14,18,23 45:1,4,5,8,12 45:16,17,20,24 45:25 46:2,4,4	46:6,9,10,15 47:21,21 48:1 48:5,7,11 49:1 49:3,9,22 50:2 50:20,24 51:2 51:5,14,25 52:16 53:18,20 53:24 54:8,9 54:14 70:18 87:13,16 88:25 93:21 94:1 second 9:21 15:19 45:20 56:17 61:21 65:17 77:16 114:18 116:10 section 49:15 50:1,25 61:19 86:12,17 87:22 96:21 97:8 securities 4:9 4:10 40:8,9 43:14,20,22 44:5,7,20 45:21 46:18 48:2 49:24 security 8:17 9:2 10:8,18 11:17,22 12:3 12:4,17,19,24 13:16 14:1,7 14:16,18,20 15:3,9,24 16:9 16:14,22 17:9 45:18 47:23,23 48:5 49:23 50:4 52:3 57:5	57:7 58:3 64:3 64:14,17,24 65:9,16,23 66:5,13,17 68:18 80:8 113:5 114:3 115:7 securityhold... 103:7 sec's 40:25 45:9,15 47:20 48:20 49:11 see 7:5 22:23 32:18 33:9,18 42:7 57:20 59:9 62:9 67:24 73:5 78:10 83:13,24 84:13,19 94:15 109:6 110:19 113:12 116:23 117:5 seek 55:18 86:2 117:18 seeking 28:15 seem 11:25 40:6 107:15 seemed 23:3 seems 12:17 15:9 16:5 23:5 23:14 31:14 40:23 67:12 seen 22:20 105:1 119:13 segregates 57:24	selected 7:14 101:7 selection 7:12 59:3 selfies 8:1,7,9 11:4 64:9 65:17 68:15 112:11,23 sell 9:16 68:3 seller 18:3 selling 52:2 115:20 senators 42:18 send 22:24 sending 33:21 sense 14:4 32:2 61:4 94:15,19 99:3 110:22 sensitive 13:22 17:4 63:18 64:2 sent 11:16 42:17 44:18 54:2 75:7 112:18,19,21 sentence 22:2 23:24 27:4 sentenced 94:25 sentencing 92:23 separate 32:24 76:1 103:13 september 54:3 serious 86:6
---	--	---	--

[serve - spaces]

Page 39

serve 103:13	showed 107:21	size 78:3	solve 100:2
servers 57:4	showing	skeptics 59:14	somebody 11:3
service 13:9	116:24	slade 3:23	12:18,18,21,23
116:22	shut 30:23 49:1	23:19,21,21,24	13:14 14:5,16
services 57:4	101:17	24:4,8,12,14	19:23 21:21
serving 79:14	sidelines 25:16	109:1 112:16	25:9,19 26:2
101:2	signature	117:10	27:8,18 30:6
set 9:10 13:13	122:6	slam 77:1	30:18 32:9
19:7,8 31:4	significant	slippage 14:3	67:17 85:22
75:13 91:21	37:19 38:2	small 115:11	90:4 91:15
setting 39:17	52:24 77:4	smaller 37:16	94:7 104:6
settle 77:17	significantly	snarliest	117:6
settlement	99:18	102:22	somebody's
76:12 77:15	silent 27:7	snarling	10:18 15:24
78:1,2,3,9,15	similar 52:15	119:12,17	something's
78:22,25 79:4	95:11,13,21,22	social 8:17 9:2	25:25
101:12,19,23	similarly 20:24	10:8,18 11:17	somewhat
settlements	48:1 50:9	11:21 12:3,4	37:22
76:6 77:3 78:5	88:14 91:6	12:17,19,24	sonya 2:25
settling 77:10	100:2 106:1	13:15 14:1,7	122:3,8
78:4,19	simple 62:22	14:15,17,20	soon 36:25
several 40:4	simply 9:21	15:3,9,24 16:9	85:12 98:7,8
41:10 59:11	26:9 29:19	16:14,22 17:9	sorry 17:24
81:17 117:15	47:4 48:6	64:3,14,16,24	27:5 36:3
severe 41:7	55:20 64:23	65:9,16,23	sort 23:15
shareholder	92:14 93:25	66:4,13,17	32:11 40:25
75:15 81:20	94:6 100:8	68:17 113:4	sorts 31:20
shareholders	111:3,9	114:2 115:7	sought 56:18
19:23 75:5	single 96:12	sole 103:24	57:12 58:14
81:18,25 82:5	119:14	solely 56:14,15	115:14
shocks 41:8	sitting 32:4	57:22	sounded 23:16
short 87:17,20	situation 22:5	solicitation	source 10:17
shorthand	117:23	83:4,8	sources 9:19
49:14	six 62:9 67:16	solution 98:23	southern 1:2
shouldn't 30:1	69:7 93:25	solutions 34:1	108:9
111:1	94:2 96:7	122:20	spaces 102:10
	97:17		

speak 16:19 23:3 25:25 29:10 30:21 32:1 114:13 speaking 29:13 38:8 82:10 speaks 33:25 special 3:11 5:9 35:7 76:17 76:19,22 77:1 78:9 80:19 81:10 species 93:15 specific 16:18 26:8 48:3 49:9 49:23 52:19 54:7 specifically 39:13 49:5 74:8 87:10 93:16 specified 19:17 89:22 105:18 specify 112:6 spelling 37:2 spent 94:2 spoke 101:18 spoken 31:3 33:5 springs 17:20 st 4:18 staff 45:17,20 45:25 46:2,9 51:3 53:18 120:8 staff's 46:5	stage 72:12 110:17,23 stake 43:8 stale 66:6 stand 18:24 33:4 standard 19:21 55:25 57:2 73:4 90:1 standards 57:9 58:5 standing 96:14 108:17 start 8:5 18:2 56:5 started 13:3 111:4 state 22:11 45:10 53:15 54:3,15,15 71:25 72:19,21 82:16 85:6,10 85:21 95:17,20 95:23 96:10,11 96:14 97:5 98:9 99:4 stated 45:13 52:22 53:8 71:3 82:23 83:2 107:10 statement 38:7 49:3,4 52:18 53:8,19,24,25 54:5 55:7,21 56:2,9,10,17 57:14 70:2,3,5 70:25 72:1,4	72:14,17,18,20 73:5,8,12,16 statements 8:1 8:12 11:4 41:20 42:4 58:11 64:10 68:16 states 1:1,11 4:1,17 5:1,2 21:1 23:2,15 32:2 49:16 54:15 57:21 61:24 62:2,7 62:17,18 70:1 75:21 76:7 82:8,10 84:22 86:13 87:7,9 91:8 95:20 96:1,20 97:22 98:1,4,11,16 98:21 stating 76:8 statue 87:7 statute 51:12 51:19 85:21 statutory 86:18 92:25 93:9 stay 34:12,13 34:14,18 104:12 108:13 108:18,21 109:14,18 111:24 117:16 117:24 step 14:3,24 67:12	stepped 27:20 stepping 93:20 steps 27:18 93:23 stirred 28:4 stock 99:13,16 99:17,20,23 106:16 stockton 5:15 stop 24:5 31:9 31:13 48:6,24 50:4,10 56:1 92:13 115:7 stopped 20:16 38:13 50:13 87:16 89:3 90:24 stopping 93:19 storage 57:6 store 67:24 stored 57:3 stores 112:9 strayed 37:22 street 4:11 5:3 strict 36:16 61:17 strictly 37:23 60:23 75:9 strong 78:18 78:20 structure 80:7 stuff 13:17 stupid 19:24 subject 21:22 22:4,12 36:16 40:4,7,9 41:7 45:20,23 52:23
--	---	---	--

69:21 76:23 80:8 81:4 94:24 107:12 submission 44:15 100:9 submissions 38:22 92:10 120:10 submit 66:17 submitted 36:22 59:13 subpoena 53:19 54:2 substance 24:25 substantial 56:22 107:17 substantive 55:9,10 successor 49:19 sudden 41:12 suddenly 85:18 sue 19:23 sued 90:3 suffered 81:22 82:5,7 sufficient 52:17 70:14 71:10 72:2,18 suggest 17:11 26:24 30:18 33:15,16,21 40:22 45:2,6 52:13 55:20 73:25 80:18 107:19	suggested 11:18 12:1 44:3,8 54:21 61:3,12 62:21 64:18 100:19 114:24 suggesting 43:25 58:22 suggestion 25:22 27:7 52:8 94:5 95:2 106:10 suggests 54:16 suite 122:22 sullivan 3:10 superfluous 107:15 supervised 83:16,19 supplemental 92:10 93:13 support 42:24 51:4 52:1 59:19 78:9 supported 66:19 114:11 supports 72:24 101:24 supposed 21:10 45:3 46:14 supposedly 54:25 113:14 sure 18:23 25:4 35:8 41:19 42:14 58:18 73:5 95:10	109:17 111:6 112:3 118:22 surprised 111:12,15 susheel 3:15 35:6 suspect 108:16 sweep 98:14 sweeping 80:21 sworn 57:14,16 sympathize 78:22 system 15:20 67:10 t t 122:1,1 tailored 80:25 take 22:25 25:23 33:18 35:3 43:21 44:6 46:1 53:3 59:25 62:16,23 69:10 82:19 85:25 87:18 94:1 97:12 110:25 114:5,9 114:21,22 116:13,24 taken 20:12 29:12 39:21 45:19,23 50:4 55:23 72:6 73:11 81:22 82:18 85:16 87:7 90:19 95:3 103:5	takes 13:25 87:10 117:22 talk 115:8 talking 16:24 29:8,8 115:5 117:15 targeted 10:24 tax 38:20,20,23 38:23 105:21 105:22 technical 12:9 technically 96:20 technology 9:19 10:12 tell 14:8 21:23 26:1,6 29:25 30:20 47:14,16 51:2 67:16 68:4,23 87:5 telling 14:18 15:17 27:10 tells 21:21 24:2 temporal 28:24 ten 33:13 tend 37:16 tentatively 64:7 84:24 term 32:8 50:25 65:21 79:12 81:9 108:24 termination 36:18 terms 15:22 16:14 19:4 21:2 32:6,7
---	---	--	--

49:12 60:11,12 63:17 72:3,4,7 72:24 75:6 77:24 81:8 84:19 91:10 98:1 101:23 105:10 108:2 testified 58:12 71:14 100:24 101:2,14,17 testimony 57:12 68:21 71:22 77:23 78:1,3 texas 18:24 22:11 54:15 71:25 72:5,11 72:19,21,23 73:7,14,22 74:2,8 95:20 96:11,13 thank 7:16 17:14 22:14 24:14 29:11 31:15 34:8,15 35:24 36:10 37:13 65:4 66:21 68:9 69:14,20 109:10,11,21 110:2 111:14 114:13 115:20 115:21,23 117:8,10 118:9 119:6,7,20,24 120:1,3,8,10	thanks 68:7 120:8 that's 10:23 12:13 14:25 16:1,1,2 19:21 22:12 23:14 24:8,22 26:19 32:3,10,15,24 66:21 67:1,23 68:1,6,13 90:1 94:19,22 108:16 109:1,6 110:14 111:9 112:3,10,15,19 113:22 115:5 116:24 119:21 theories 48:7 theory 79:23 83:16 therese 4:14 36:3 there's 9:5,9 10:1,1,2,24 12:8 21:13 22:23 24:1,3,5 25:17 26:16 30:23 31:21 50:7,19 64:13 69:12 111:7 113:7,8,9,10 114:2 116:11 118:5 they're 15:8 21:18 26:22,23 27:12 51:18 86:1	thing 13:14 23:19 93:25 96:4 99:24 things 19:3 21:9 30:16 33:14 35:9,16 39:9 41:5 43:11 63:22 83:21,23 89:9 97:17 106:3 107:23 114:20 117:15 think 9:6,9,18 13:5 15:8,15 16:18,21 21:7 21:17 22:5,6 22:11,23 23:4 23:8,12,14,14 24:24 25:6,8 25:21,25 27:15 27:16,22 28:9 29:2,3,12,14 30:6,13,17 32:1,8,10,13 32:15 34:2,14 35:1,3 39:16 44:10,16 47:6 50:19 51:9 55:3 61:13 66:22 67:20 69:21 70:5 71:10 74:2,5 81:13 86:5 88:23 91:17 92:1 93:17 95:1,6 97:5,9 102:22 103:4	106:7,10 110:15,23 112:10,16 113:23 114:1,3 115:18 116:4,6 thinking 51:3 thinks 29:23 47:23 48:5 72:12 87:14 108:5 third 10:12,19 11:23 13:9 15:21 16:6 19:16 57:7 63:3 75:24,25 76:3 79:23 89:21 91:21 119:10 thought 48:1 60:7 65:5 104:15 119:25 thousand 69:3 thousands 28:2 28:2 95:9 three 9:18 24:17 41:9,10 62:4,19,25 76:22 77:7 78:6 97:3,7,14 throat 59:25 throw 10:23 110:21 thursday 37:7 time 10:7 11:22 13:4 14:9 21:20,21 21:23,25 33:6
--	---	---	--

35:3 50:3 56:12 58:19 60:16,17,20 61:7 64:4,8,23 65:2,5 66:8,22 67:23 72:22 73:18,20 83:7 85:7 89:11 95:14 96:5 99:1,9 110:8 115:24 117:8 117:22,24 120:3 times 37:21 59:11 81:17 timing 36:17 tired 118:10 119:11 today 9:24 33:1 36:24 37:10 81:14 88:9,15,19 94:6 108:11 118:19,22 together 23:11 79:9 toggle 50:12,19 71:2,8,17 92:20 token 44:1 45:18 46:16 47:22 48:4,7 49:23 50:2,5 52:2 105:5,7,9 106:24 tokyo 57:4	told 17:9 33:10 45:17,20 49:9 50:10 94:23 116:17 tomorrow 33:19 69:19 93:22 108:21 tons 10:22,22 took 13:4 31:13 49:3 81:21 85:18 touch 117:4,5,6 towards 23:9 23:24 78:19 townsend 5:15 7:11 tracy 5:22 118:14 trade 75:20 traded 48:4 105:8,24 106:1 trades 67:3 trading 39:7 39:20 40:19 48:6 50:5 transaction 8:3 9:11 10:17,25 12:11,14 15:2 16:9 20:16 25:19 30:10 31:23 32:5 36:15,20 43:3 55:13 56:21 59:8,23 60:6,8 63:12 65:10 67:23 70:20 77:12 80:14	88:12 90:3,5 90:13,24 92:16 93:21,24 109:5 transactions 19:21 20:2,6 21:1 29:21 47:7 48:18 51:16 52:7 53:2,5,9,13 80:9,13 82:12 82:17 83:20 86:24 87:4,24 88:8,15,20 89:2,8,25 90:8 91:9 92:13 93:8,10,16 transcribed 2:25 transcript 36:21 37:2 122:4 transfer 63:4,6 63:12,24 64:2 64:9,11,14,16 65:19 66:17,18 68:15,17 104:7 112:12 transferred 56:11 57:1 60:17 61:15 63:9 68:12,13 70:7,11,22 112:2 transferring 7:25 transfers 7:19	translate 102:19 treasury 65:14 treat 7:18 37:16 106:11 treated 42:5 80:10 106:25 treatment 61:22 95:19 97:7,10,21 98:4,13 100:13 100:15 102:15 103:8 104:1 106:8 trevino 118:18 tried 13:2 22:13 37:19 97:17 119:11 trigger 36:18 trouble 9:3 true 58:17,18 119:21 122:4 truly 88:14 trust 56:15 60:23 61:17 70:12 trusted 59:20 trustee 4:2 28:12,12,19 32:23 34:13 54:15 70:1 75:22 76:7 82:8 84:23 103:22 109:15 109:23 110:4,5 110:7,12 119:11
--	---	--	---

truth 74:12	34:13 42:17	unclear 41:4	12:7 13:11
try 14:5 23:11	60:10,15,17,18	42:13	14:10 15:14,18
23:12 31:9	60:20,25 61:2	unconvincing	28:14,22 76:10
48:8 104:18	61:12 62:2,10	17:10	84:23
105:19 107:25	62:11,15,25	undeliverable	understood
108:2 115:10	63:5,11,13,14	104:19	36:7,9 108:22
117:18	63:16,20,23	under 19:14	119:25
trying 15:10	64:5,17,18,20	22:3,7 25:20	undertaking
17:7 27:6	65:7,19,23	26:23 37:18	93:15
30:22 32:1	66:7,14 68:14	49:20 50:12	undo 77:11
88:25 92:12	69:18 70:7,9	58:19 62:1,17	unenviable
117:14,17	70:20,21 71:1	63:8 66:1,18	43:2
turn 29:10	71:11 72:3,24	67:9 71:1,2,2	unequal
43:11 113:20	74:25 85:9	71:20 73:25	100:13
turned 58:11	86:16,22 87:8	77:24 87:22	unfair 95:18
tweaks 24:24	95:22 97:18,25	89:19 96:6	96:20
32:18 81:12	103:22 108:9	97:1 99:11	unfairly 96:18
two 7:25 13:15	108:24 109:15	103:9 105:10	96:25 105:5
17:7 28:14	112:1,13 114:8	113:10,11,14	unfortunately
30:7 33:13	116:5,12,16,22	underlie 71:18	37:24
43:16 53:18	117:14	understand	unintentionally
64:7,15 65:11	u.s.'s 87:16	11:19 13:18	36:18
68:14 76:15	109:4	14:19 15:10	unit 20:15
77:6 78:19	ucc 119:13	17:1,7 34:17	90:23
95:16 109:5	ultimate 107:4	36:11 45:14	united 1:1,11
110:24 111:20	107:6	48:11 59:13	4:1 5:1,2 21:1
113:17 115:24	ultimately	62:5 63:8	23:15 32:2
116:19	73:19 101:20	64:22 67:14,19	54:15 70:1
type 15:25	unable 31:6	78:16 79:21	75:21 76:7
types 40:18	52:9	91:19 102:18	82:8 84:22
80:21	uncertain 40:2	103:18 106:22	91:8
typical 31:18	40:15	107:25 115:2	units 53:10
91:15	uncertainties	115:13	unknowable
u	52:24	understanda...	40:17
u.s. 1:23 4:2	uncertainty	115:18	unknowingly
22:17 28:12,12	27:24 40:12	understanding	92:24
28:19 32:23		9:4 11:13,20	

unknown 9:15 79:19 unlicensed 44:7 unlock 31:8 unnecessary 107:16 unreasonable 86:6 90:4 unregistered 44:7 52:3 unsecured 78:10,11 79:8 100:21 101:4 101:11 110:5 unspecified 44:1 87:15 unsupported 21:4,5 52:20 61:23 62:8,14 62:20 91:12,13 97:16 99:7 100:15 untethered 81:3 unusual 37:14 39:23 95:5 unusually 37:10 unwilling 31:6 unwillingness 93:6 unwittingly 92:25 uploaded 8:1,9 11:4 64:10 68:16	uploads 65:18 116:20 urquhart 3:10 use 12:4 32:8 72:3,4,7,24 used 29:13 41:23 50:25 users 116:2,13 uses 10:12 using 50:15 usually 58:20 58:21 utterly 31:14 v v 78:11 83:24 vague 43:25 79:25 vaguely 51:21 87:14 vagueness 44:15 valuable 9:22 10:10,15 12:13 value 9:14,18 10:17 15:1,21 65:10,21 66:15 66:19 67:23 99:9,21 105:9 105:12,14,17 106:17,18 107:1 values 100:12 105:16 106:3 106:12,20,20 106:20,21 vanderbilt 5:10	variety 21:17 various 18:22 18:24 55:20 56:18 57:5,7 58:5 71:3 76:23 vary 99:8 veritext 122:20 vermont 95:23 version 34:11 82:20 119:23 vgx 30:8 45:18 46:15 47:22 50:2,7 87:15 105:5,5,7,9,12 105:12,16,17 105:24 106:6,7 106:13,22,24 vgx's 49:23 view 28:18 43:17 59:14 87:25 views 40:25 46:2,4 vigorously 78:20 violate 20:13 24:4,6 30:2 51:11 87:6 90:21 108:24 violated 91:6 violates 85:10 violating 44:20 44:21 violation 30:5 83:7 106:9	violative 51:19 virginia 57:4 virtually 40:17 voice 31:6 voices 111:16 volatile 9:25 volition 22:3 volumes 39:7 voluntary 75:9 vote 66:20 75:11 voted 96:23 97:4 113:16 votes 83:4 101:21 voting 101:21 113:13 114:12 voyager 1:7 7:4 9:16 10:14 11:15 12:16 13:8,14 14:13 16:8 18:8,9,10 36:13 37:12 39:25 41:6,10 52:2 53:14,20 53:21,22 60:19 61:16 63:5,5 63:15 77:12 79:12 92:19 98:17 101:15 101:17 102:16 104:10 113:9 116:1,13 voyager's 12:9 63:24 65:24 81:19,24 104:8
---	--	--	--

vtx 44:1	warrants 81:6	we'll 35:21,22	wished 97:12
w	warren 75:23	42:7 119:4	wishes 64:21
wait 8:7 29:10	washington	we're 7:2 10:1	88:14
32:25 41:18	4:12,19	24:14 26:8	withdraw
62:9 96:5	wasn't 22:2	31:20 59:24	56:23 58:1
97:16	118:22	88:22,25 115:5	61:1 115:25
waive 18:9	watkins 3:3	117:21,22	116:2,9,12
waived 18:8	65:6 109:4	119:11	withdrawal
116:1,13	111:25 114:8	we've 10:22	115:25 116:11
waiver 34:12	116:16	14:12 22:20	116:20,24
34:13	way 11:18 14:5	whatsoever	withdrawals
wallet 57:3	20:14 22:24	85:17,17 95:12	61:8
want 12:21	23:8 29:18	what's 14:21	withdrawn
14:7 15:11	35:8,20 38:15	31:9 32:11	74:5
16:4 17:2,6	41:23,23 42:9	102:18	witnesses
25:18 26:1	51:18 55:8	whoever's 29:8	58:12
28:6 32:18	69:4,15 90:23	wholesale	woman 22:10
33:10,17,18	92:20 96:21	63:12	33:24
35:25 37:13	98:5 99:18,20	wholly 86:6	won't 21:16
62:15 67:14,22	103:8 105:8	who's 29:8	69:17 80:1
67:24,25 81:16	110:11 115:15	who've 7:13	103:11 110:3
97:18,21	web 57:3	21:12	word 29:13
111:23 113:7	website 116:5	wider 40:23	36:23
115:2,3,10	116:8,22,23	wiles 1:22	wording 22:13
119:8 120:3	week 7:25	willful 31:22	22:14
wanted 11:5	13:15 30:22	80:17 84:4	wordsmithing
24:18 28:5,14	64:7,15 68:14	91:16	35:22 89:16,17
32:25 35:7,9	85:3 88:24	winddown	work 24:9
35:10 45:4,12	113:17	76:9 103:24	37:14 74:22
61:8 97:19	weeks 93:25	119:11	97:17 100:23
108:15 111:19	94:2	wings 26:4	100:25 102:2,7
114:25 119:17	welcome 67:22	wipe 66:24	103:6 105:22
wanting 35:8	68:1	67:7	107:24 108:2
wants 9:2	weren't 28:23	wisdom 39:13	118:4,7
12:18 14:16	119:12	59:2	working 34:21
24:22 68:19	we'd 22:24	wish 31:2	35:4
69:5 88:12	33:14	63:20	

[works - zero]

Page 47

works 15:16	yep 23:23
world 102:2,6	32:15
102:19 114:21	yesterday
worried 28:25	16:25 23:3
58:7 59:15	29:3,6 37:8
worries 106:22	49:4,7 80:23
worrisome	80:24 81:14
41:15	85:13,14,22
worth 37:9	99:5 103:10
76:20 78:21	104:14 106:10
wouldn't	110:22
110:21 115:3	york 1:2,13 3:6
117:25	3:13,20 4:4 5:4
would've 31:3	5:11,18 54:16
write 8:8	95:17,19,25
writing 22:24	96:4,5,6,8,10
written 22:23	96:14,18,19
28:20 31:19	98:24 99:2,4
43:15,25 73:23	104:5,8,12
74:6	108:10
wrong 44:25	yorker 96:12
45:1 55:20	you'd 14:14
wrongdoing	35:20
43:4,5	you're 12:3,22
wrongful 88:9	13:12 15:16
wrote 34:3	16:2,22 22:1
x	25:15 29:9
x 1:4,10 121:1	113:1 118:5
y	you've 11:18
yeah 9:8 24:3	12:1 17:9 28:4
32:12 112:16	35:22 119:13
112:20	z
year 13:1 41:8	zero 42:23
years 40:4,14	
95:10	